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#### Abstract

The addition of commercial nitrifying bacterial products has resulted in significant improvement of nitrification efficiency in recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS). We developed two nitrifying bacterial consortia (NBC) from marine and brackish water as start up cultures for immobilizing commercialized nitrifying bioreactors for RAS. In the present study, the community compositions of the NBC were analyzed by universal 16 S rRNA gene and bacterial amoA gene sequencing and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). This study demonstrated that both the consortia involved autotrophic nitrifiers, denitrifiers as well as heterotrophs. Abundant taxa of the brackish water heterotrophic bacterial isolates were Paenibacillus and Beijerinckia spp. whereas in the marine consortia they were Flavobacterium, Cytophaga and Gramella species. The bacterial amoA clones were clustered together with high similarity to Nitrosomonas sp. and uncultured beta Proteobacteria. FISH analysis detected ammonia oxidizers belonging to $\beta$ subclass of proteobacteria and Nitrosospira sp. in both the consortia, and Nitrosococcus mobilis lineage only in the brackish water consortium and the halophilic Nitrosomonas sp. only in the marine consortium. However, nitrite oxidizers, Nitrobacter sp. and phylum Nitrospira were detected in both the consortia. The metabolites from nitrifiers might have been used by heterotrophs as carbon and energy sources making the consortia a stable biofilm.


© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The aquaculture industry is driven towards more intensification under recirculation due to the compelling factors such as limited space, water conservation, and restrictions in water discharge and easiness in the management of diseases. RAS reduces the water demand and discharges by recycling water and increases the food conversion efficiency resulting in less waste generation from feed (Losordo et al., 1998). In saltwater systems, RAS plays an important role in the production of healthy and properly sized fingerlings for stocking in net pens or ponds (Fielder and Allan, 1997). The most prominent characteristic of any RAS is a nitrifying biofilter to prevent accumulation of metabolites like ammonia and nitrite; which at high levels undermine the commercial production as their toxic

[^0]impacts are manifested through impaired growth or chronic diseases (Tomasso, 1994; Cheng et al., 2004; Sovobodova et al., 2005). Fixed film biofilters are commonly used for total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) removal in RAS, where attached growth as biofilm offers several advantages such as handling convenience, increased process stability to shock loading and prevention of the bacterial population from being washed off (Fitch et al., 1998; Seo et al., 2001; Shnel et al., 2002).

Nitrification is the biological oxidation of ammonia to nitrate via nitrite by two groups of chemolithotrophic bacteria, ammonia oxidizers and nitrite oxidizers; both having a low specific growth rates (Prosser, 1989; Bock et al., 1991). Recent discoveries of anammox (Strous et al., 1999) and ammonia oxidizing archaea (Koenneke et al., 2005) have augmented the depth and breadth of ammonia oxidizing microorganisms. Nitrification in biofilters often relies on natural colonization of the nitrifying bacteria in the production systems. However, this natural method can take a relatively long time ( $4-8$ weeks) to establish a healthy and viable population of both ammonia and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (Manthe and

Malone, 1987; Masser et al., 1999). Moreover, the nitrifying bacterial population is much sensitive to chemical and physical stresses (Malone and Pfeiffer, 2006; Emparanza, 2009). Therefore, a viable start up culture is vital for enhanced performance of RAS, which can overcome the initial lag and can also be used for quick reactivation of the system whenever some inhibition occurs. Kuhn et al. (2010) observed a significant improvement in the nitrification efficiency of recirculating systems by the addition of commercial nitrifying bacterial products. Accordingly, for the application in tropical recirculating systems, we developed nitrifying bacterial consortia (NBC) from the marine and brackish water by enrichment method (Achuthan et al., 2006) and mass cultured them in indigenously designed fermentors (Kumar et al., 2009a). Since then, these consortia are being applied as start up cultures for the activation of commercial packed bed bioreactor (PBBR) (Kumar et al., 2009b) and stringed bed suspended bioreactor (SBSBR) (Kumar et al., 2009c) (http://www.nitrifying-bioreactor.com, Patent no. 241648). The NBC were successfully demonstrated for activation and performance of the bioreactors in larval rearing systems of Penaeus monodon and Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Kumar et al., 2009b, c), in the rearing of adult P. monodon (Kumar et al., 2010) and also in P. monodon maturation systems (Kumar et al., 2011). These consortia were also successfully employed for bioaugmenting nitrification in P. monodon grow out systems by immobilizing on wood particles (Manju et al., 2009).

Enumeration, characterization and identification of ammoniaand nitrite-oxidizing bacteria in environmental samples by traditional microscopical and microbiological methods are difficult, because of the limited species specific morphological variety, slow growth rate (Watson et al., 1989), and their low growth yields (Gay and Corman, 1984; Wood, 1986). The use of molecular techniques now enables us to circumvent these limitations. The availability of molecular tools such as 16 S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequence analyses have made it possible to explore slow growing or uncultivated bacterial species in different environments (Heal et al., 1998; O'Donnell and Gorres, 1999). In the present study, community composition of the NBC enriched from both the marine and brackish water systems have been analyzed using universal 16S rRNA gene and bacterial amoA gene sequencing and by FISH analyses.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Nitrifying bacterial consortia: culturing and storage

NBC were cultured in 21 fermentor (Bioflo 2000, NewBrunswick Scientific, USA) using simple seawater based medium (salinity adjusted to $15 \mathrm{gl}^{-1}$ for brackish and $30 \mathrm{gl}^{-1}$ for marine consortia) supplemented with $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ substrate $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ at an optimum temperature of $28{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH of 8.0. After attaining $\log$ phase, the cultures were harvested and maintained at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with periodic addition of the substrate and adjustment of pH (using $1 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ) to the optimum.

### 2.2. DNA extraction, amplification of the $16 S$ rRNA and amoA genes

DNA from each of the consortia was extracted following Burrell et al. (1998). Aliquots of 2 ml of the active consortium each were centrifuged at 12000 g for 5 min and the pellets were resuspended in $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ saline - EDTA ( $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, pH 8.0 ). After the addition of $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of freshly prepared $100 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ lysozyme the mixtures were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h and then subjected to four cycles of freeze-thaw sequentially at -20 and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thereafter, $100 \mu \mathrm{l} 25 \%$ (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate and $50 \mu \mathrm{l} 2 \%$ (w/v) proteinase K each were added to the mixtures and incubated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . This was followed by phenol-chloroform extraction, and
the residual RNA was removed by adding $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ RNAse and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The DNA at 100 ng concentration was maintained at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further use.

Bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes were amplified using primers 16S1 ( $5^{\prime}$-GAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCA- $3^{\prime}$ )/16S2 ( $5^{\prime}$-ACGGC-TAC CTTGTTACGACTT-3') (Lane, 1991) and Arch21F ( $5^{\prime}$-TCCGGTTG ATCCYGCCGGA- $3^{\prime}$ )/Arch958R ( $5^{\prime}$-YCCGGCGTTGAMTCCAAT T-3') (DeLong, 1992) respectively as per the conditions described by the authors.

For the amplification of bacterial amoA gene, primers amo A-1F ( $5^{\prime}$-GGGGTTTCTACTGGTGGT- $3^{\prime}$ )/amoA 1R ( $5^{\prime}$-CCCCTCKGS AAAGCCTTCTTC-3') (Rotthauwe et al., 1997) were used. The reactions were performed in a solution containing $1 \times$ PCR buffer $\left(10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, 0.1 \%\right.$ Triton $\times 100$, 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.8$ ), 20 nmol of each dNTPs, 30 pmol each primer, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of template DNA ( 100 ng ) and 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). The Taq polymerase was added after the first denaturation step. The reaction cycle followed, 5 min at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; pause at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to add Taq polymerase; then 42 cycles consisting of 90 s at $56.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~s}$ at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 60 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a final elongation of $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 7 min . Aliquots ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) of the PCR products were visualized in $1 \%$ agarose gels by standard electrophoresis procedures.

### 2.3. Cloning and screening

Fresh PCR products of 16S rRNA and amoA genes were cloned into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega). The ligation mix ( $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) consisted of $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ligation buffer ( $2 \times$ ), $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ vector ( $50 \mathrm{ng} \mu^{-1}$ ), $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of PCR product and $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of T4 DNA ligase $\left(3 \mathrm{U} \mu^{-1}\right)$. This was incubated at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The entire ligated mix was used to transform Escherichia coli JM109 competent cells prepared using calcium chloride method. The ligation mix was added to 10 ml glass tube previously placed on ice to which $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of competent cells were added and incubated on ice for 20 min . A heat shock at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was given for 90 s , immediately the tubes were placed on ice for 2 min and then $600 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of SOC medium was added and incubated for 2 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking at 250 rpm . The transformation mixture ( $100-200 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was spread on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates supplemented with ampicillin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ), IPTG ( 100 mM ) and X-Gal ( $80 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}{ }^{-1}$ ). After overnight incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the positive clones were selected using the blue/white screening. The white colonies were selected and streaked to purity on LB-Amp + Xgal + IPTG plates and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. To confirm the insert, colony PCR of the white colonies were carried out using the vector primers T7 (5'TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG3') and SP6 ( $5^{\prime}$ GATTTAGGTGACACTATAG3'). White colonies (template) picked from the transformed plate were dispensed into the PCR reaction mix ( $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) containing $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \times$ PCR buffer, $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l} 2.5 \mathrm{mM}$ dNTPs, $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$ 10 pmol $\mu 1^{-1}$ of T7 and SP6 primers, 0.5 U Taq polymerase, and the remaining volume was made up with MilliQ water. The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5 \mathrm{~min} ; 35$ cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 s , $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 s and a final extension of $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min .

Plasmids from the positive clones were extracted using the 'GenElute HP’ plasmid miniprep kit (Sigma). Plasmids were further screened by restriction digestion using EcoR1 enzyme (New England Biolabs) to release the insert. The reaction mix ( $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) consisted of $2 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \times$ buffer, $5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid DNA, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ EcoR1 enzyme ( $20,000 \mathrm{U} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ) and the rest was made up using sterile water (MilliQ). The reaction mix was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and the enzyme was heat inactivated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min .

### 2.4. Phylogenetic analyses

Screened clones were sequenced using ABI Prism 3700 Big Dye sequencer at Microsynth AG, Switzerland. After removing
the vector regions, the sequences were matched with the GenBank database using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) algorithm (Altschul et al., 1990). The non-chimeric sequences were aligned with other published sequences using clustalX 1.83 (Thompson et al., 1994). Distance matrices and phylogenetic tree were constructed from alignment data sets of the clone library using ARB software with the neighbor-joining method (with the Jukes-Cantor correction) (Ludwig et al., 2004). Bootstrap values were estimated using 100 replicates. Phylogenetic tree for amoA protein was created using the neighbor-joining algorithm with Dayhoff matrix alignments using Mega 5.0 software (Tamura et al., 2011). For visualizing the community structure of the consortia, 16S rRNA genes sequences were analyzed using a rapid visualization tool VITCOMIC (Mori et al., 2010). For statistical comparison of taxonomic compositions between consortia at $15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ and $30 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ salinities, similarity indices like Jaccard index, Lenon index and Yue and theta index (Chao et al., 2006) were calculated using the clustering results of VITCOMIC. Phylogenetic (P)-test and UniFrac significance test were also performed using UniFrac (Lozupone et al., 2006).

### 2.5. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

The FISH analyses of the consortia were carried out using universal bacterial probe (EUB 338) and nitrifiers specific probes, NSO 190 (ammonia-oxidizing $\beta$ subclass proteobacteria), NEU (halophilic and halotolerant members of the genus Nitrosomonas), NSV 443 (Nitrosospira spp.), NmV (Nitrosococcus mobilis lineage), NIT2 (Nitrobacter sp.), Ntspa 712 (Phylum Nitrospira) and S-Amx-0820-a-A-22 (anaerobic ammonium oxidizing bacteria) (Kumar et al., 2009c). The specificity and the hybridization conditions were confirmed with 'Probebase' (Loy et al., 2007). Actively growing consortia were harvested by centrifugation, and fixed in $4 \%$ paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (prepared at the respective salinity). The samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $1: 1$ mixture of PBS:ethanol until further processing. Hybridizations were performed on 6 well Teflon-coated slides (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA). Prior to the hybridization, the slides were coated with poly L-Lysin, and $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the fixed consortia were spread on to the well, dried at $46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , and dehydrated by successive passage through 50,80 and $98 \%$ ethanol ( 3 min each). Working solutions of the probes were prepared to obtain a final


Fig. 1. VITCOMIC merged mapping results for the nitrifying consortia isolates. Red dots indicate specific taxa of the brackish water consortia isolates; green dots indicates specific taxa of marine consortia isolates and the gray dot indicate common taxa shared by the brackish and marine consortia. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



 divergence.
concentration of $5 \mathrm{pmol} \mu \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ for CY3/5 and $8.3 \mathrm{pmol} \mu^{-1}$ for fluorescein labeled probes. Hybridization buffer ( 2 ml ) containing $360 \mu \mathrm{l}$ $5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 40 \mu \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{M}$ Tris- HCl ( pH 8.0 ), $4 \mu \mathrm{l} 10 \%$ SDS, and formamide according to the probe used in MilliQ water was prepared. For hybridization, $10 \mu$ l hybridization buffer was dispensed into the wells, and then $1 \mu$ l probe stock solution was added. A hybridization tube was prepared by folding a tissue paper onto a 50 ml Falcon tube into which the remainder of the hybridization buffer was dispensed. After addition of probes the slides were immediately transferred into the hybridization tube and incubated for 1.5 hat $46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a hybridization oven (Thermo Electron Corp. USA). Washing buffer containing $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tris} / \mathrm{HCl}$, 0.5 M EDTA at pH 8 , and NaCl as per the probe used was prepared in separate 50 ml Falcon tubes for each probe and made up to 50 ml by adding MilliQ water. Finally $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $10 \%$ ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) SDS was added and the washing buffer was preheated at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a water bath. On elapse of the incubation period, the hybridization slides were taken out, rinsed and transferred to the washing buffer, where the slides were incubated for $10-20 \mathrm{~min}$ at $48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the incubation the slides were rinsed with MilliQ water and dried. The cells were counter-stained with DAPI having the final concentration of $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ for 1 min , washed, dried and added an anti-fading mounting fluid (Vectashield, Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA). The slides were observed under Olympus BX 51 epifluorescent microscope (Olympus, USA) equipped with a monochromatic camera (Evolution VF, Media Cybernetics Inc, MD, USA). Images were processed using the "Image pro-express" software (Media Cybernetics Inc, MD, USA).

### 2.6. Accession numbers

The 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained during this study were deposited to GenBank under accession numbers FJ652050, FJ652051, FJ665506-FJ665508, FJ711762-FJ711766, GQ221067-GQ22 1084, GQ243709-GQ243718 and the amoA genes as HM14933, HM590612-HM590614.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Molecular analyses

On comparing 16S rRNA gene sequences from the consortia with the GenBank database using the BLAST algorithm, majority of the
hits were with the sequences of uncultured bacteria and hetrotrophs like Alcanivorax sp., Paenibacillus sp., Flavobacterium sp. and Gramella sp. Overall taxonomic compositions of both the consortia could be clearly visualized using VITCOMIC (Fig. 1). The font color of each species name corresponded to its phylum name. Large circle indicated boundaries of BLAST average similarities (inner most circle starting at $80 \%$ followed by $85,90,95$ and $100 \%$ similarity of the database sequence). The size of the dots indicated relative abundance of the sequences in the sample. The VITCOMIC diagram showed that most of the communities of both the consortia belonged to the phyla Proteobacteria and Bacteriodetes. The common taxa in both the consortia included Alcanivorax sp., Gramella sp. and Pseudomonas sp. The abundant taxa of the brackish water isolates were Paenibacillus and Beijerinckia spp. whereas in the marine consortia the genera detected were Flavobacterium, Cytophaga and Gramella spp. Comparative analysis showed a difference between both the communities as indicated by Jacard index, Lenon Index and Yue and Clayton Theta of $0.11,0.2$ and 0.1 respectively.

Table 1
Fluorescent in situ hybridization results of the nitrifying bacterial consortia.

| Probe | Reference | Target organisms | Results |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Brackish | Marine |
| EUB338 | Amann et al., 1990 | Bacteria | + | + |
| NSO190 | Mobarry <br> et al., 1996 | Ammonia-oxidizing $\beta$ Subclass proteobacteria | + | + |
| NEU | Wagner et al., 1995 | Halophilic and halotolerant members of the genus Nitrosomonas | - | + |
| NSV443 | Mobarry et al., 1996 | Nitrosospira spp. | + | $+$ |
| Nm V | PommereningRoeser et al., 1996 | Nitrosococcus mobilis lineage | + | - |
| NIT2 | Wagner et al., 1996 | Nitrobacter species | + | + |
| Ntspa712 | Daims <br> et al., 2001 | Phylum Nitrospira | + | + |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { S-Amx-0820- } \\ & \text { a-A-22 } \end{aligned}$ | Schmid <br> et al., 2000 | Anaerobic ammoniumoxidizing bacteria | - | - |

Moderate difference among the communities were supported by the UniFrac significance and $P$ values ( $<0.01$ ). During the mass production, brackish and marine nitrifying bacterial consortia exhibited an ammonia removal of 1.24 and $1.95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ respectively with a maximum specific growth rate of 0.112 and $0.105 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}$ (Kumar et al., 2009a). Tal et al. (2003) characterized a nitrifying microbial consortium from a moving bed bioreactor (MBB) connected to a marine recirculating aquaculture system using DGGE of amplified 16 S rRNA gene fragments and found ammonia oxidizer Nitrosomonas cryotolerans and nitrite oxidizer Nitrospira marina associated with the system as well as a number of heterotrophic
bacteria, including Pseudomonas sp. and Sphingomonas sp. and two Planctomycetes sp. The dentirification had not been measured in the studies with the nitrifying bioreactors as the systems were adequately aerated. However, in the present investigation denitrifying Psuedomonas spp. were observed in both the consortia while Paracococcus denitrificans was limited to marine consortium. Cytryn et al. $(2003,2005)$ observed $P$. dentrificans in the digestion basin as well as in the fluidized bed reactor of a zero water exchange mariculture system. It was noticed that both the consortia consisted of different hydrocarbon degrading bacterial species like Alcanivorax, sp., Rhodococcus sp., Rugeria sp., Flavobacterium sp. and


Fig. 3. Representative FISH images ( $600 \times$ ) and DAPI staining of the nitrifying bacterial consortia. The specific probes hybridized are written below each image.

Paenibacillus sp. Many of the recent studies demonstrated the hydrocarbon degradation capability of various ammonia oxidizers by cometabolism (Arp et al., 2001; Wahman et al., 2006; SayavedraSoto et al., 2010). However, such phenomena and significance of the existence of these bacteria in the NBC, which are cultured in a minimal mineral based medium, require further analysis.

The amplification of bacterial amoA gene resulted in a PCR product of 491 bp size and this was used to construct clone library. Obtained sequences were aligned with amoA proteins of nitrifiers as present in the GenBank and a phylogenetic tree was constructed (Fig. 2). The evolutionary distances were computed using the Dayhoff matrix based method and are in the units of the number of amino acid substitutions per site. High similarity was observed between the sequences of both the consortia. All the clones were clustered together with high similarity ( $<98 \%$ ) with species of Nitrosomonas and uncultured beta Proteobacteria. Ammoniaoxidizing bacteria (AOB) are generally members of the betasubdivision of the class Proteobacteria (Teske et al., 1994). In a study on marine biofilter, Foesel et al. (2008) noticed Nitrosomonas sp. NM143 lineage and Nitrosomonas marina species as the dominant AOB in the system. Studies have revealed that Nitrosomonas sp . formed an important group involved in ammonia oxidation in marine aquaria and moving bed bioreactors of closed RAS (Hovanec and DeLong, 1996; Tal et al., 2003; Schreier et al., 2010). While studying the nitrifying functional genes in coastal aquaculture systems of India, Krishnani (2010) detected amoA sequences exhibiting $82 \%$ identity to Nitrosomonas europaea and Nitrosococcus mobilis and 81\% identity to Nitrosomonas eutropha.

Many of the bacterial products available for enhanced nitrification in aquaculture systems usually comprise of ammonia oxidizing and nitrite oxidizing bacteria like Nitrosomonas eutropha and Nitrobacter winogradskyi (Kuhn et al., 2010; Dhanasiri et al., 2011). Nitrification has been reported to be faster in mixed cultures than in pure cultures (Steinmüller and Bock, 1976). Previous studies on nitrifying biofilms showed the coexistence of a high level of heterotrophs with nitrifiers in autotrophic nitrifying biofilms cultured without an external organic carbon supply (Okabe et al., 1999, 2002). Kindaichi et al. (2004) stated that heterotrophs may be responsible for mineralizing different low and high molecular weight organic compounds produced or released by nitrifiers in an autotrophic nitrifying biofilm, and the heterotrophs may play an important role in the stability of biofilms. This is substantiated by the fact that the biofilms of both the consortia in activated bioreactors were stable with optimum performance for months under field conditions (Kumar et al., 2011). In addition to bacteria, the members of kingdom Crenarhaeota within the archaeal domain also was found to play an important role in ammonia oxidation (Dhanasiri et al., 2011) in natural and engineered systems (Nicol and Schleper, 2006; Erguder et al., 2009). However, our study could not detect archaeal rRNA genes in both the consortia.

### 3.2. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) of the consortia

Fluorescence in situ hybridization analyses of both the consortia established the presence and diversity of autotrophic nitrifiers (Table 1). Representative images of hybridized probes are shown in Fig. 3. Taking the consortia altogether, except the probe S-Amx-0820-a-A-22 (anaerobic ammonium oxidizing bacteria), all others gave positive signals. The absence of anammox was expected since the consortia were cultured under highly aerobic conditions. Most of the nitrifiers observed in the consortia were in the form of aggregates. FISH with universal bacterial probe in combination with DAPI staining explained the bacteria as the main constituent of the consortia. Ammonia oxidizers belonging to $\beta$ subclass of proteobacteria and Nitrosospira sp. were detected in both the consortia,
whereas Nitrosococcus mobilis lineage was detected only in the brackish water consortia and the halophilic Nitrosomonas sp. was limited to marine consortia. However, nitrite oxidizers, Nitrobacter sp . and phylum Nitrospira were detected in both the consortia.

The presence of K-specialist bacterial groups with high substrate affinity, Nitrosospira and Nitrospira in both the consortia, can have an advantage in nitrification at low ammonium and nitrite concentrations (van Kessel et al., 2010). Kindaichi et al. (2004) analyzed ecophysiological interaction between nitrifying and heterotrophic bacteria in an autotrophic nitrifying biofilm by microautoradiographyfluorescence in situ hybridization and found that the biofilm was composed of $50 \%$ nitrifiers and $50 \%$ heterotrophs. By FISH analyses of nitrifying bacterial enrichment from a shrimp farm, Paungfoo et al. (2007) detected Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroidetes, and Proteobaceria (beta subdivision) phyla as the dominant groups, while other published FISH probes for Nitrobacter and Nitrospira were negative. These wide variations in reported molecular analyses of the nitrifying bacterial communities suggest that other unknown communities might also be playing a definite role in nitrification.

## 4. Conclusions

Molecular analyses of the nitrifying consortia based on $16 S$ rRNA and amoA gene sequencing and FISH established that both the consortia consisted of autotrophic nitrifiers, denitrifiers as well as heterotrophs. The PBBRs and SBSBRs activated with the consortia showed an instant nitrification under field conditions (Kumar et al., 2009b, c). Current results also emphasize the requirement of a polyphasic approach in nitrifying community analyses, as all the species could not be identified by either $16 S$ rRNA gene, amoA gene sequences or FISH alone. Our earlier studies on FISH of the biofilms from the reactors showed the presence of almost all the nitrifying species present in the original consortia suggesting the capability of the consortia to form a stable biofilm. The heterotrophs in the consortia might be utilizing the metabolites of the nitrifiers and thus forming an integral part of the consortia.
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