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## Section 1

General Introduction

## General Introduction

## 1. Background of the study

Freshwater prawns of the genus Macrobrachium Bate 1868 gained considerable attention in recent years both as a protein rich delicacy and foreign exchange earner. Aquaculture of freshwater prawns of the genus Macrobrachium has been emerging as a major industry on a global basis ever since the attainment of scientific techniques of seed production and innovative farming. Moreover, this group also supports a lucrative fishery in the inland water bodies of India, especially in Vembanad lake and adjoining rivers. Macrobrachium rosenbergii is most popular among them because of its larger size, high demand in external as well as internal markets, great culture potential and also by virtue of its contribution to commercial fishery. In recent years, the fishery of Macrobrachium rosenbergii of inland waters in general and Vembanad lake in particular are being dwindled alarmingly due to changes brought about in the aquatic ecosystem due to human interventions. Reasons attributed behind the depletion of natural stock of this species in the Vembanad lake are mainly the reduction brought about in the extent of its natural habitat owing to the intensification of agriculture, physical obstruction imposed on the migratory path of berried females and juveniles, over fishing and pollution hazards (Kurup et al., 1992; Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997b).

Among various species of the genus Macrobrachium, M.rosenbergii is the most preferred for commercial aquaculture. Commercial level prawn farming of this species is now getting widely spread, especially in south-east

Asian countries. With the availability of seed becoming a reality, there has been a renewed interest in the raising of this species by resorting to scientific farming in Kerala in general and polders adjacent to Vembanad lake in particular Major constraints met within the commercial farming of $M$. rosenbergii are the non-availability of seed in required numbers at right time and the highly skewed size disparity problems inherent in males of this species due to the differential growth associated with the developmental profile of male morphotypes and dynamics of their interaction. The mature male population of $M$. rosenbergii can be differentiated into three morphotypes based on the morphological characteristics, relative growth, reproductive potential and territorial habits, besides four transitional stages have also been described. Though the biology and fishery of M.rosenbergii was subjected to detailed studies in different parts of the country, howtever, no concerted attempts have so far been made to explicate the pattern of distribution of Macrobrachium spp. in Vembanad lake or to investigate the biological and biochemical variations if any, among various male morphotypes of the natural population of $M$. rosenbergii. Interestingly, M. striatus has been conferred with a distinctive taxonomic status very recently from the Vembanad lake though earlier workers considered this only as a striped variety of M. equidens, and therefore, the biology of this species remained unravelled. Although the seed production of M. rosenbergii became a reality, none of the hatcheries could so far been attained the designated production capacity due to non availability of mother prawns round the year and high mortality rates and failures encountered in production cycles. Brood stock rearing techniques have not been developed so far by giving adequate importance to variations in reproductive capability of different male
morphotypes. Aspects on seed production were studied only in M.rosenbergii as M.striatus donnot have any aquaculture importance. Against this background, a detailed investigation on the systematics, bionomics and seed production of Macrobrachium spp. of Vembanad lake was attempted with the following objectives.

1. to identify different Macrobrachium spp. of the Vembanad lake and to establish the allometric relationship between various morphometric characters among them and also among the morphotypes of M.rosenbergii
2. to prepare a key for the easy identification of Macrobrachium spp. of the Vembanad lake
3. to explicate the distribution of Macrobrachium spp. in different regions of the Vembanad lake and correlate their occurrence with prevailing physico-chemical characteristics of the lake
4. to study the aspects of bionomics viz. food and feeding habits, reproductive biology and breeding migration of various morphotypes of M.rosenbergii and males and females of M.striatus
5. to characterise different male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii biochemically and to provide a biochemical explanation for the size heterogeneity problem seen among them
6. to examine the reproductive capability of different male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii and also to assess their performance in broodstock rearing
7. to elucidate the safe duration for transportation of broodstock and early larvae of M.rosenbergil
8. to develop an improvised larval rearing system for M. rosenbergii and to assess its suitability in the commercial level larval rearing
9. to assess the effect of container colouration on the larval culture of M.rosenbergil.

The results of the present study are presented in ten chapters which are organised under four sections. A general introduction to the topic is provided in the first section, besides a brief review of relevant literature and a brief description of the study area.

The second section consists of two chapters, the former deals with the systematics and results of morphometric analysis while in the latter, the distribution of Macrobrachium spp. in the Vembanad lake is presented. A detailed morphometric description of male and female morphotypes of M.rosenbergii is also provided in chapter 1. Temporal and spatial variations in the occurrence and availability of different species of Macrobrachium are delineated and correlated with the prevailing physico-chemical parameters viz. temperature, pH , salinity and dissolved oxygen and the results are presented in the second chapter.

Third section deals with bionomics of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus and consists of 4 chapters. Chapter 3 deals with seasonal, maturity stage wise and morphotype wise variations in food and feeding habits, while in chapter 4 reproductive biology of different morphotypes of M.rosenhersii and males and females of M.striatus are presented. Breeding migration and variations in sex
ratio of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus are presented in chapter 5. In chapter 6 biochemical characterisation of different male morphotypes of M.rosenbergil is attempted

们:ridit
Section four consists of four chapters (Chapters 7 to 10 ) embarking various aspects of seed production of M. rosenbergii. A comparative evaluation of reproductive potential of male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii in broodstock rearing is provided in Chapter 7. Methods of packing for transportation of brood stock and early larvae of M.rosenbergii are discussed in chapter 8, While, result of two phase clear water system developed for the larval rearing of M.rosenbergii and effect of various container colouration on larval metamorphosis are presented in chapters 9 and 10 respectively. This is followed by summary and references.

## 2. Review of literature

Family Palaemonidae accommodates a wide array of species which inhabit different types of water bodies such as freshwater, brackish water and sea. Holthuis (1950, 1952a and b, 1956, 1966, 1969) recorded variation within and between species and made a detailed study on the distribution and taxonomy and also exhaustively reviewed the subfamily Palaemonidae. Literature regarding the taxonomy and distribution of palaemonid prawns in general and Macrobrachium in particular are those of Lanchester $(1901,1906)$, Nobili (1903), De Man (1904), Calman (1913), Roux (1935, 19ミ6), Kubo (1940), Holthuis (1949, 1950, 1952a \& b, 1956, 1980), Yaldwyn (1954, 1957), Johnson (1962, 1966, 1973) and Kensley and Walker (1982). Patwardhan (1937) studied biology, morphology, fishery and distribution of hiresenhergii.

Studies on the taxonomy and distribution of Macrobrachium spp. in Indian waters are limited to Henderson and Matthai (1910), Natraj (1942), Chopra and Tiwari (1947), Tiwari (1947a\&b, 1952, 1955 a\&b, 1961), Jones (1969), Tiwari and Pillai (1973), Kurian (1954), John (1958), Jayachandran (1984, 1987, 1991, 1992), Jayachandran and Joseph (1989a, 1992) and Raman et al. (1986). Descriptive accounts of new species of freshwater prawns of Indian waters are those of Tiwari (1949), Jayachandran (1987, 1989,) Jayachandran and Joseph (1988, 1992), Jalihal et al. (1988) and Pillai (1990a). Fishery of different Macrobrachium species were reported by Ibrahim (1962), Rajyalakshmi (1961, 1980), Rajyalakshmi and Ranadhir (1969), Rao (1967), Raman (1967) and Kurup et al. (1992a).

Morphometric studies on the palaemonid prawns were carried out notably by Yaldwyn (1957), Cole (1958), Misra (1958, 1959), Tiwari (1963), Rao (1967), Koshy (1969, 1971, 1972), Jayachandran and Joseph (1985b, 1986, 1988) and Jayachandran and Balasubramanian (1987) with a view to establish the relationship between the pattern of growth of body parts for bringing out sexual dimorphism if any, and also for delineating the species level differentiation. Length-weight relationship of various freshwater prawns were investigated by Rao (1967), Kadir et al. (1982), Natarajan et al. (1988) and Singh and Srivastava (1991).

The growth rate of males of M.rosenbergii in culture systems were ).
found to be not only highly differential but also exhibit variations in morphological features (Fujimura and Okamoto, 1972; Smith et al., 1978; Brody et al., 1980; Malecha et al., 1984). This variability was found to be
associated with morphotypic differentiation (Cohen, et al.,1981). Adult male population of M.rosenbergii can be differentiated into three morphologically distinguishable morphotypes viz. small males (SM), orange clawed males (OC) and blue clawed males ( BC ) representing three phases in the developmental pathway (Brody et al., 1980; Cohen et al., 1981; Kuris et al., 1987). The above male morphotypes differ from each other morphologically, anatomically, physiologically and the hierarchy among them are closely associated with social roles and reproductive behaviour (Telecky, 1984; Sagi, 1984; Sagi and Ra anan, 1988; Barki et al., 1991; Karplus et al., 1990a, 1992 a\&b). Two transitional stages of OC viz. weak orange clawed males (WOC) and pre-transforming strong orange clawed males ( t -SOC) are also distinguishable and therefore, the fully differentiated OC are known as strong orange clawed males (SOC) (Sagi and Ra'anan, 1988). Harikrishnan and Kurup (1997a) brought out the heterogeneous nature of BC population consisting of weak blue clawed males (WBC) as well as strong blue clawed males (SBC). BC with relatively smaller body size in terms of carapace length and body weight disproportionate with claw length is differentiated as old blue clawed males (OBC) (Sagi and Ra'anan, 1988). Sureshkumar and Kurup (1996) and Kurup et al. (1997a) studied the length-weight relationships of different male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii. Female population in culture system was known to be rather homogeneous (Cohen et al., 1981; Karplus et al., 1987) and does not have perceptible size variation. However, Harikrishnan (1997), Harikrishnan and Kurup (1997a) and Harikrishnan et al. (1998) distinguished the female morphotypes in the natural population and characterised them allometricalis.

Though morphotypic differentiation could be established in the grow out and natural population of M.rosenbergii (Cohen et al., 1981; Ra'anan and Cohen, 1985; Sagi and Ra'anan, 1988; Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997a) however, no concerted attempts have so far been made to characterise them biochemically. Sherief et al. (1992) studied the biochemical composition of pond reared M.rosenbergii giving due emphasis to fast growing bulls and stunted runts. A comparative study on the nutrient composition of hepatopancreas of M.rosenbergii and Penaeus indicus was attempted by Sherief and Xavier (1994). Maugle et al. (1980) studied the variation in carotenoid composition in juvenile M.rosenbergii during eye stalk ablation. Rubbi et al. (1985), while examining quality changes during short-term preservation, observed that the proximate composition of M.rosenbergii vary with sex, maturity, different anatomical proportions and also with different seasons. An increase in the protein, glycogen and lipid content was observed in the testes and ovary of M.kistnensis during breeding season (Sarojini, et al., 1982; Mirajkar et al., 1983). Sarojini et al. (1985) conducted an in depth study on the variation of protein, glycogen, lipid, DNA and RNA during the reproductive cycle of M.kristnensis. An increase in protein and RNA contents in muscle of M.rosenbergii after three consecutive injections of estradiol-17 beta was reported by Ghosh and Ray (1992). Joseph et al. (1991) studied the variation in the proximate composition of M.idella from three different biotopes of Kerala and observed that there exists no significant variation in protein and fat content among them.

John (1958) classified M.rosenbergii as an omnivore in its feeding habits and similar observations were made by Raman (1967), Rao (1967) and

Ling (1969a). Ling (1969a) identified aquatic worms, insects, insect larvae, small molluscs, crustaceans, fish remains, materials of plant origin, algae etc. from the stomach of $M$. rosenbergii. Lee et al. (1980), by studying the digestive enzymes, opined that M.rosenbergii is showing camivorous feeding habits. Costa and Wanninayake (1986) reported that food of M.rosenbergii of all size groups consists of detritus, plant and animals matter. Munshi et al. (1991) reported that M.rosenbergii is a filter feeder predator. Panikkar and Menon (1955) observed mud, sand and detritus in the food of prawns while John (1957) reported that preference to food changes with its environment. Rao (1965) observed that M.rosenbergii eats their exuvia after moulting and similar observation was made by Natraj (1947) in M.idella. Feeding habits of Mamericanum (Smitherman et al., 1974), Midella (Jayachandran and Joseph, 1989b), M.lanchesteri (Johnson, 1968), M.Choprai (Prakash and Agarwal, 1989) and M.equidens (Muthy and Rajagopal 1990) were also studied in detail. Cannibalistic nature of M.rosenbergii was reported by Rao (1969), Ling (1969a), Wickins (1972) and Peebles (1977). M.idella also showed similar tendency (Natraj, 1947). New (1990) opined that cannibalism in grow-outs is one of the major limiting factors of freshwater prawn in aquaculture. Langdon et al. (1985) stated that much of the failure in the development of artificial diets for larvae is due to the lack of understanding on the feeding behaviour and mechanism as well as digestive capabilities and processes.

Deru (1990) studied the morphological and ontogenic changes in the gut during larval development of M.rosenebrgii. Stephenson and Knight (1980) observed high food assimilation during larval stages when compared to adults in M.rosenhergil. Several digestive enzymes have been measured in
adult M.rosenbergii (Lee et al., 1980; Tsai et al., 1986), M.doryanum (Tyagi and Prakash, 1967) and M.lamerrei (Murthy, 1977). Moller (1978) reported that capture of food by larvae is a matter of chance encounter, ingestion depended on sensory cues, whereas post larvae utilise visual, chemical and rheotactic sense for the location and capture of food particles.

Kamaruddin et al. (1994) reported that variation in ontogenic digestive enzyme activities in developing prawn larvae seems to be coincided with development of hepatopancreas. Harpaz et al. (1987) provided a quantitative information regarding the changes in feeding behaviour that occur during moult cycle. The sequence of food intake and food rejection behaviour of M.rosenbergii when administered with Quinine (Bitter food) and BetaineHCl (Chemo-attractant) peilets was also demonstrated (Steiner and Harpaz, 1987; Harpaz and Steiner, 1987). Ponnuchamy et al. (1984) observed that increased population densities affect the physiological process of food conversion of M.lanchesteri and Caridina weheri and brought out the intergeneric variation in the regulation of food intake and growth.

Breeding habits of M.rosenbergii were studied by Rao (1965), Raman (1967) and Ling (1969a). Ling (1969a) and Ang et cl. (1990) reported this species as a multiple brooder. The appearance and their gradual increase in low saline area of the estuaries indicate the commencement of breeding season in prawns (Rajyalakshmi, 1961; Raman, 1967, Jinadasa, 1985; Kurup et al., 1992a). M.rosenbergii is reported to have a restricted breeding period in natural waters (Rajyalakshmi, 1961; Rao, 1967) whereas, Raman (1967) expressed the possibility of more than one spawning in a breeding season. Breeding season of M.rosenbergii in the Hooghly estuary is reported to be
during December to July (Rajyalakshmi, 1961; Rao, 1967), on the contrary, in Kerala waters the breeding season is from July to December (Raman, 1967; Kurup et al., 1992a). Jinadasa (1985) reported an year round spawning of M.rosenbergii in Bolgoda lake, Sri Lanka with two distinct peaks corresponding to the monsoonal showers. Ibrahim (1962) reported that M.malcomsonii of Godavari river is characterised by a prolonged breeding season extending from April to November with two peaks, whereas Rajyalakshmi (1974) observed that this species breeds from May to October with a single peak in July to September. A prolonged breeding was also reported in Palaemon idae in southern Kerala (Natraj, 1947; Jayachandran, 1984). M.tenellum (Arroya et al., 1982), M.amazonicum (Romero, 1982) M.acanthurus (Pinheiro, 1983) and M.feicinum (Inyang, 1984) were also reported to have breeding periods coinciding with the rainy season and consequent decrease in water temperature. Truesdale and Mermilliod (1979) studied the reproduction of M.ohione in relation to the aquatic environmental conditions. Raman (1967) opined that temperature is an additional factor which induces the breeding movements, besides salinity.

Rajyalakshmi (1961) reported that M.rosenbergii attained sexual maturity at the age of two years in West Bengal waters, whereas it attained sexual maturity within one year in Kerala waters ( Raman, 1967). Rao (1967) reported that the species attained a mean size of 155 mm at first maturity in Hooghly rivers while Goorah and Parameswaran (1983) estimated 118 mm as the size at first maturity in captivity. M.tenellum attained sexual maturity at a length of 74 mm (Arroya et al., 1982). Medium sized prawns such as M.idella,
M.felicinum and M.acanthurus attained sexual maturity at a length of 41,30 and 40 mm . respectively (Jayachandran, 1984; Inyang, 1984; Berber, 1984).

Ling (1962), Rao (1965) and Chow et al. (1982) studied the mating and courting behaviour of M.rosenbergil under laboratory conditions. Rao (1965) furnished a detailed account of the mating behaviour and mating postures of M.rosenbergii. Females of M.rosenbergii and M.kistensis are known to release a male attracting pheromones after pre-mating moult (Ling, 1969a; Sarojini et al., 1984) and a similar possibility in M.idella was also reported (Shyama, 1987). Rao (1965) is of the view that in M.rosenbergii females are inactive before mating and males are active participant in mating, on the contrary, Nagamine and Knight (1980) reported that females are active after premating moult and actively search a suitable male. Generally extrusion of eggs takes place within 24 hours after pre-mating moult (Ling, 1969a; Sandifer and Smith, 1975). Shedding of unfertilised eggs in M.rosenbergii (Ling, 1969a), M. idella (Shyama, 1987), M.equidens and M.stricius (Pillai, 1990a,b) were observed within two or three days.

Many of the Macrobrachium spp. need estuarine condition for the successful completion of the larval metamorphosis. (Raman, 1967; Ling, 1969a; Rao, 1967; Lee and Fielder, 1979; Read, 1983; Pandian, 1987; Garrba, 1987). M.rosenbergii undertakes a spawning migration from freshwater habitat to estuarine regions and spawns in areas of salinity between 5 to 20 ppt (John, 1957) Raman (1967) observed downward movement of the stock of M.rosenbergii during monsoon for the purpose of breeding. Similar abservation was also made by Rao (1967) in the Hooghly estuary. After metamo.phosis the post larvae remain in brackish water areas for a few weeks and nigrate to
freshwater habitat (Ling, and Merican, 1961; Ling, 1969a; Natividad. 1982). Upstream and downstream migration of a species would be an adaptive advantage for getting specific salinity for the reproduction and subsequent development (Hughes and Richard, 1973). Lee and Fielder (1979) observed a large scale upstream migration of the population of M.australiense in Southeast Queensland and postulated that they acquire a positively rheotactic response to prevent themselves being washed into the sea. Females of M.rosenbergii moved more distance at night than males and exhibited a weaker tendency to return to home site (Peebles, 1979). Read (1983) observed that adults of M.petersi migrate to the estuary under flood conditions and upstream in response to elevated salinity. Breeding migration of Palaemon carcinus and P.mirabilis were studied by Rajyalakshmi (1961). Jinadasa (1985) reported two peak in fishery commensurate with the breeding migration of M.rosenbergii in Bolgoda lake, Sri Lanka. In M.olfersi and M.acanthurus, a bimodal endogenous rhythm of a 12 hr . period with high activity at dawn and dusk were repored by Gamba and Rodriguez (1987). M.malcomsonii was categorised as a larval migrant and performs no migration for breeding in Godavari estuary but the larvae are brought to the estuarine zone by the water currents (Ibrahim. 1962; Rajyalakshmi, 1980).

Generally brood stock for the hatchery operation is collected from grow-out ponds (New 1995) or from wild population (Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997b). As the occurrence of berry in the wild is highly seasonal (Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1996a and b) brood stock rearing in the hatchery premises itself is necessary for an year round operation of the hatchery. Varghese it al. : 1992) attempted brood stock rearing of Mrowenhergii and asserted that !:3.5 and

1:4.75 male: female ratio are superior in terms of berry production, least time for incubation and larval survival. Daniels et al. (1992) obtained optimal results in berry production when keeping a ratio 2 BC males with 20 females, whereas Malecha (1983) opined that $1: 4$ to $1: 5$ sex ratio is optimal for the brood stock rearing of M.rosenbergii.

Daniels et al. (1992) suggested a temperature of $27-32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 15 ppt is ideal for optimal brood stock rearing. Lower temperature may reduce number of eggs, increase incubation periods and render the eggs prone to fungal infection. Lewis et al. (1991) correlated nutrient composition of eggs to viability of eggs and opined that it will reflect the nutrient demand of the developing embryo and larvae. Lewis et al. (1991) also brought out and compared the variation in (n-3) HUFA content of eggs of wild and pond reared brood stock. Marian and Murugadas (1991) assessed the effect of eyestalk ablation on the reproductive growth of M.malcomsonii. Murugadas and Pandian (1991) studied the effect of dietary protein on the reproductive growth and egg production of M.nobilii in captivity whereas Ang et al. (1992) delineated the protein requirement of brood stock of Mrosenbergii. GomezDiaz and Ohno (1986) assessed the effect of rearing condition of ovigerous females on the morphological development, survival rate and adaptation to temperature.

Gomez-Diaz (1987a and b) studied the variation in the effect of temperature and salinity on the larvae of intraspecific strains of three different parental stock and on their hybrids. Malecha (1987) commented on the selective breeding and interspecific and intraspecific hybridisation of crustaceans especially Macrobrachium spp. Sankolli et al. (1982) attempted
cross breeding of M.rosenbergii and M.malcomsonii and succeeded in the production of a hybrid, whereas, a recent attempt by Sundarapandian et al. (1995) on similar lines failed in the production of hybrid between above two species. Larval development of interspecific hybrid of M.asperulum and M.shokitai was studied by Shokita (1978). Mashiko (1984) attempted intraspecific hybridisation of estuarine population and upper freshwater population of M.nipponense.

As there is a distinct localisation of berry collection centre, hatchery and grow-out, transportation of berried prawns and earlier larval stages are inevitable for year round seed production in commercial hatcheries (Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1996a; New and Singholka, 1985). Singholka (1982a) devised a simple cool truck for the transportation of live animals especially post larvae of M.rosenbergii. Alias and Siraj (1988) assessed the effect of packing density and habitat material on the survival of post-larvae of M.rosenbergii. Vadhyar et al. (1992) proved that introduction of plastic straws as a habitat material will improve the survival time as well as rate. Smith and Wannamaker (1983) studied the transportation of juvenile and adults of M.rosenbergii. Transportation of brood stock of M.malcomsonii under oxygen packing in hypo thermal conditions could help in increasing the survival (Venketaswamy er al., 1992). Joshi and Raje (1993) attempted packing trials to standardise packing density, means of packing, mode of transportation and size of post lan ae of M.rosenbergii on the seed survival. New (1995) suggested optimum packing density and corresponding safe duration for post larval transportation using inflated oxygen filled plastic bags. Schmitt and Uglow (1993) reported that sudden changes in temperature causes stress in M.rosenhergii. Venugopalan and

Thampi (1992) observed no mortality on abrupt transfer of post larvae of M.rosenbergii from freshwater to different salinity. Armstrong et al. (1978) studied the interaction of ionised and unionised ammonia on the short time survival of M.rosenbergii post larvae.

Two conventional systems being used for the larval rearing of M.rosenbergii are the classical green water system (Ling, 1969b; Fujimura and Okamoto, 1972; Kok and Sin, 1982; Lee, 1982; Aniello and Singh, 1982; Hudon et al., 1989) and improvised clear water system (Aquacop, 1977, 1983; Malecha, 1983; Chineah, 1982). Suharto et al. (1982) described a method of rearing larvae of M.rosenbergii in conical fibre glass tanks. Menasveta and Piyatiratitivokul (1980) compared various systems of larval rearing and reported that production fluctuated more in static system than in closed recirculating system. Cook and De-Baissac (1994) reported that although phytoplankton cells have been observed in the gut of larvae, the ability of larvae to digest and assimilate algal cells have not been demonstrated. Cook and De-Baissac (1994) concluded that phytoplankton contributed little to larval energy metabolism. In inland hatcheries, sea water supply will be limited and recirculating system can be adopted for effective utilisation of the limited supply of sea water (Singholka and Sukapunt, 1982; Manasveta, 1982; Chavez and Ramirez, 1983; Ong, 1983; Vu et al., 1989; Cohen and Ra'anan, 1991, Angell, 1992, 1994; Daniels and D'Abramo, 1992; Daniels et al., 1992; Choudhury et al., 1993). For getting good results biological filter shall be $6 \%$ of the total volume of larval rearing medium (Griessinger et al., 1989). Hummel et al. (1987) and Hudon et at. (1989) compared various factors affecting the mortality in different larval rearing systems. In recirculating systems, two partial ( $20 \%$ ) water exchange on
$10^{\text {th }}$ and $20^{\text {th }}$ day of 35 day culture were found to be beneficial (Angell, 1992). Chineah and Chooramun (1987) described larval rearing in a recirculating system without the use of antibiotics, water exchange and phytoplanktons. Inland hatcheries were using trucked sea water to meet their requirement both in India (Prakash, 1988) and Thailand (Manasveta 1991). Larval rearing using artificial sea water was attempted by Reddy et al. (1991) and Nair and Hameed (1992) whereas, Yambot and Cruz (1986) and Prakash (1988) successfully reared larvae of M.rosenbergii in a medium prepared using common salt and salt pan residue. Menasveta (1991) reviewed the use of salt pan brine for prawn larviculture in static water, closed recirculating system and open water culture system. Qureshi et al. (1993) attempted seed production of M.malconsonii in synthetic sea water. Menasveta (1980) observed an improvement in survival of larvae when ozone treated water was used for larval rearing.

Simple methods for the separation of post larvae from the mixed culture system were devised by Smith and Hopkins (1977a) and Martinezpalacios et al. (1985) which were found to be advantageous over dip-net harvesting. However, Daniels et al. (1992) found dip-net is more simple and effective. Howlader and Kiortsis (1978) observed more percentage representation of males in the post larvae collected during the early days from the initial period of metamorphosis and larval development and also suggested a method for the selection of fast growing male seeds. Smith and Hopkins (1977b) devised a clear acrylic tank to facilitate hatching and collection of larvae of M.rosenbergii. Singh and Philip (1995) explained a photo-flow devise for the separation of weak larvae of M.rosenbergii based on the photopositive nature of healthy larvae

Commercial level larval rearing as well as laboratory culture was attempted in M.equidens (Pillai, 1980), M. lamarrei lammarei (Jalihal et al., 1982) M.jelskii, M.amazonicum (Gamba, 1984), M.americanum (Holtschmit and Pfeiler, 1984) M.holthuisi (Moreira et al., 1979), M.australiense (Lee and Fielder, 1981), M.lar (Atkinson, 1977), M.iheringi (Brueno and Rodreguez, 1995), M.choprai (Prakash et al., 1987) and M.vollenhovenii (Willfuehr et al., 1993). Abbreviated larval development of freshwater prawns, M.niphane from Thailand, M.hainannense from China and M.pilimanus from Singapore were described and illustrated in detail (Shokita et al., 1991; Wong, 1989; Chong and Khoo, 1987). In vitro incubation of eggs of M.rosenbergii and M.nobilii were attempted by Stolpe (1976) and Balasund and Pandian (1981) respectively. Pillai (1993) extensively studied the larval development and morphology of 11 caridean prawns including M.idella, M.equidens and M.striatus of Southwest coast of India

Colorni (1985) studied the bacterial flora associated with the larvae of M.rosenbergii. Alcaligenes sp. and Vibrio sp. were found to be the most frequently encountered genera of aerobic heterotrophic bacteria in freshwater prawn hatcheries (Anderson et al., 1989). Singh and Philip (1993) found prawn infusion agar is most suitable for the primary isolation of heterotrophic bacteria. Roegge et al. (1977) assessed 10 chemicals to control Zoothamnium in the larval rearing system of M. . rosenbergii and found that 50 ppm formalin was effective in completely controlling the parasite.

Stephenson and Knight (1980) studied oxygen consumption, growth, caloric content and ash content in the larvae of M.rosenhergii. Silverthone and Reese (1978) concluded that post larvae stocked in cool ponds
from warm hatcheries might benefit from pre-acclimation to lower temperature. Yaakob (1992) found that the sources of breeders were one of the factors affecting the growth of M.rosenbergii larvae in the hatchery. M.nipponense was found to have $20 \%$ higher survival and faster rate of metamorphosis than M.rosenbergii (MacLean and Brown, 1991). The euryhalinity of M.petersi larvae was compared with other Macrobrachium spp. and different levels of larval survival were discussed in relation to salinity (Read, 1986).

Meyers and Hagwood (1984) conducted studies with a view to evaluate the acceptance of flake feeds by the larvae of M.rosenbergii. Lavina and Figueroa (1978), Manzi et al. (1980) and Sorgeloos (1980) suggested Artemia as a principal diet of larval stages of M.rosenbergii. Better results in terms of growth, metamorphosis rate, survival and stress resistance were observed in larvae of M.rosenbergii fed with Artemia enriched with Omega-3 HUFA. Lovett and Felder (1988) reported that larvae of M.rosenbergii fed on a diet restricted to Brachionus plicatilis had a significantly lower survival and rate of metamorphosis than the larvae fed on a diet that include Artemia. Tuna flesh as a feed for the larviculture of M.rosenbergii is being used in hatcheries in Fiji (Kwong, 1984). Studies on the replacement of Artemia with Moina were conducted by Aniello and Singh (1982) and Alam et al. (1995). Significantly higher production was obtained when larvae fed with egg custard augmented with cod liver oil during day and overnight with Moina (Alam er al., 1995). Kumlu and Jones (1995) evaluated feeding and digestion of larvae of M.rosenbergii fed with Artemia and microgranulated diets. Alam et al.(1993b) attempted to wean M.rosenbergii larvae from Artemia to Moina and observed a
faster development in larvae fed with weaned food when compared to those fed with Artemia.

Published information are enormous on the status and methods of larval rearing of M.rosenbergii from different regions of the world. In Fiji (Kwong, 1984); Singapore (Tay and Ng, 1980) Indonesia (Adisukresno, 1982; Ismail and Cholik, 1982), Malaysia (Ong, 1982) and Thailand (Singholka, 1982a) larval culture of M.rosenbergii is being carried out most successfully. Adisukresno et al. (1980) reviewed the progress made in the larval rearing of M.rosenbergii in Brackish water Aquaculture Development Centre, Japara, Indonesia. Samarasinghe (1983) pointed out the difficulties encountered during the breeding trials on M.rosenbergii in Sri Lanka.

An average production of $50 \mathrm{PL} / 1$ was reported from high intensity culture of M.rosenbergii with the usage of antibiotics (Aquacop, 1977). Alikunhi et al. (1980) attained $90 \%$ survival of larvae when fed with Moina and 'thelly' meat. Adisukresno et al. (1980) observed $72 \%$ survival when initial stocking density was maintained at 15L/l while, Malecha (1983) and New (1988, 1990) reported a production of $30 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{I}$ and $30-50 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{I}$ in Hawaiian and Thai hatcheries respectively. •In India a survival of $20 \%$ could be achieved in successful runs which is worked out to be $12 \mathrm{PL} / \mathrm{l}$ (Sebastian and Nair, 1995), however, higher survival up to $75 \%$ could also be obtained in exceptional cases (Sebastian et al., 1993). A post larval production of 6.6 to $40.6 / 1$ was achieved when synthetic sea water was used in closed recirculating system for larval rearing (Sandifer and Smith, 1975). Prakash (1988) obtained 74-80\% larval survival with a production of $14-15 \mathrm{PL} / \mathrm{l}$ when larval rearing was carried out using artificial sea water. Yambot and Cruz (1986) reported a low survival
( $6.71 \%$ ) when a combination of sea salt and deionised water was used for the larval rearing of M.rosenbergii. A survival rate of $80 \%$ was achieved at metamorphosis in recirculating system when larvae were stocked at a density of 50/l (Daniels et al., 1992).

Nghia (1991) reported improvements in output and cost effectiveness of $M$. rosenbergii larviculture adopting improved management and feeding strategies. Fuller et al. (1992) analysed the economics of commercial production of M.rosenbergii and opined that minor variations in survival resulted in substantial variability in net returns. Wang and Williamson (1977) described a pilot hatchery capable of producing a continuous output of post larvae of M.rosenbergii. Subrahmanyam (1986)presented a design of freshwater prawn hatchery for the successful conduct of larval rearing. Rao (1986) highlighted the important factors affecting hatchery operation of freshwater prawns such as environmental conditions, mechanical failure, power breakdown and human negligence.

As already mentioned, in M.rosenbergii, 11 larval stages were reported by Uno and Kwon (1969) representing 11 successive moulting. Under controlled conditions the transition from a free swimming larva to crawling adult like post larva takes place over a period of 15 days (Ling, 1969a) and it may extend up to 36 to 42 days (Suharto et al., 1982; Adisukresno et al., 1980). Moreover, New (1995) pointed out that the spectral quality required for the optimal development of larvae is quite unknown. In Thailand, larval rearing tanks of backyard hatcheries were covered with black tarpaulin to prevent suncancer and spread of disease, while, Indian hatcheries preferred semitransparent roofings (Raju and Nair, 1992; New, 1995). Transparent roof tiles
and special lamps are being used in Taiwan to illuminate the larval rearing tank. (Hsieh et al., 1989). Lin and Omori (1993) reported reduced feeding due to excitation in lighter coloured containers and suggested dull or non-bright coloured environment for the successful larval rearing.

Post larvae showed a rapid increase in size variation following metamorphosis due to large difference in growth rate among individuals within a population (Sandifer and Smith, 1975; Malecha, 1977; Ra'anan and Cohen, 1984). Two distinct types of juveniles have been described viz. jumpers and laggards based on their relative growth rates (Willis and Berrigan, 1977; Karplus and Hulata, 1995). Determination of growth pattern of juvenile prawns was found to occur sometimes between metamorphosis and the early juvenile stage (Karplus et al., 1990b). Howlader and Kiortsis (1978) observed a variation in sex composition in adult population with age at metamorphosis, whereas, Sandifer and Smith (1979) and Karplus et al. (1990b) could find out no significant difference in growth of early and late metamorphosing juveniles when raised under laboratory conditions.

## 3. Vembanad lake

The Vembanad lake, extending to a stretch of 60 km from Cochin bar mouth in the north to Alleppey in south, is the largest estuarine system in the South-west coast of India. It is located between $9^{\circ} 28^{\circ}$ and $10^{\circ} 10^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ latitude and $76^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$ and $76^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ longitude with an estimated area of $21,050 \mathrm{ha}$. The present investigation was undertaken giving due emphasis to the extend of water body designated as "Vembanad lake" whereas, the confluent brackish
water area lying north of Cochin bar mouth and extending up to Azhikode in the north was excluded from this study.

The northern part of the Vembanad lake, near bar mouth is comparatively deeper than its southern region owing to the presence of navigational channel where depth varies from $8-12 \mathrm{~m}$. The other parts of the lake is comparatively shallow with depth ranging from 0.75 to 5 m . Width of the lake varies from about 100 m at a few places to about 9 km at Kumarakom. The lake is connected to Arabian Sea at Cochin through a 425 m wide channel which is the only source for tidal incursion in to the lake. Tides are of semidiurnal type, showing substantial range and time. Average tidal amplitude near the mouth of the estuary is 0.9 m . Until two decades ago, tidal effects were reaching up to Pulinkizhu, approximately 80 km south of the Cochin and the deltaic region of the lower Kuttanad used to become brackish gradually from December onwards, attaining salinity up to 28 ppt in the interior waters (Josanto, 1971)

The lake receives inflow of freshwater through a network of rivers such as Pampa, Manimala, Achencoil, Meenachil and Muvattupuzha. Pampa, and Manimala rivers join together before meeting the Achencoil river at Veeyapuram and all these rivers influx at the southern most part of the lake. River Meenachil opens at the middle of the lake, whereas, River Muvattupuzha opens at northern part in the downstream region. The lake and adjoining canals and rivers have been supporting a lucrative fishery. Fishing had been an important traditional occupation for the inhabitants of the various villages situated adjacent to this lake.

A salinity barrier of 1402 m length was commissioned at Thanneermukkom in 1976 for preventing salt water incursion in to the Kuttanad during December to March and thereby protecting Punja crop. The barrier was originally envisaged to be closed for a period of three months from $15^{\text {th }}$ of December to $15^{\text {th }}$ of March every year while shutters remained open during monsoon months so as to facilitate the evacuation of flood water. However, alterations in the operation schedule such as prolonged closure period up to April-May has brought in some adverse effects besides causing serious conflicts between fishermen and agriculturists. Furthermore, a shifting of salinity gradient zone towards the north of the lake has also been resulted. There is practically no tidal exchange in the areas south of the barrier through out the year. Thus, the lake is separated into two entirely different ecosystems, retaining estuarine conditions in the regions from Cochin to Thanneermukkom and transforming the region from Thanneermukkom to Alleppey into almost a freshwater habitat. In the present study the part of lake extending from Cochin to Thanneermukkom is regarded as "downstream region" while the region lying between Thanneermukkom and Alleppey was taken as the "upstream region". The 5 km stretch of the confluent portion of the five major rivers emptying into the lake are designated as "riverine region".

## 4. Fishery Survey Cruises

The entire stretch of lake extending from bar mouth at Cochin to Alleppey was apportioned to 10 zones (Fig. 1 ), besides each 5 km stretch of the adjoining rivers Pampa, Manimala, Achencoil, Meenachil and

Muvatttupuzha were taken as three zones (Fig. 1 ). Boundaries and approximate area of each zones are presented in Table l. Cruises were carried out in Vembanad lake and adjoining rivers on a monthly basis from March 1994 to February 1996 with the help of a 25 feet fibre glass boat, M.B. King Fisher of the School of Industrial Fisheries. 29 stations representing the 13 zones of the lake were also selected for sampling to delineate the pattern distribution of different Macrobrachim spp. in the lake (Fig. 1 ). The availability of different species in various zones of the lake was assessed by examining the exploited catch and also by conducting local enquiry and experimental fishing. Surface and bottom water samples from one station representing each zone were also collected for recording physico-chemical parameters viz. temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen and pH . Samples of M.rosenbergii for bionomics were collected during the fishery survey cruises from the upper regions of the lake in order to assure an year round availability of specimens. Whereas, samples of M.striatus were collected from Kumbalam (Fig. 1) situated in the downstream region of the lake as there was regular operation of the indigenous gear ('padal') for the exploitation of this species. Different male morphotypes and berried females for the experiments on broodstock rearing, broodstock transportation and larval rearing was collected from the lake during the fishery survey cruises and transported to the laboratory as per standard procedures.


Fig. 1. Vembanad lake showing cruise zones and stations of sampling

| Table 1. | Boundaries and area of cruise zones in the Vembanad lake selected for the observation of physico-chemical parameters of water and sampling. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zones | Boundaries | Area <br> (ha) |
| 1 | Cochin bar mouth - Thevara | 1745.0 |
| 2 | Thevara-Arookutty | 2497.0 |
| 3 | Arookutty - Chenganda | 1237.0 |
| 4 | Arookutty - South Paravoor | 3076.0 |
| 5 | South Paravoor - Manpuram | 1085.0 |
| 6 | Neriakadavu - Thanneermukkom | 2803.0 |
| 7 | Thanneermukkom - Pathiramanal | 2000.0 |
| 8 | Pathiramanal - North of Marthandam kayal | 3689.0 |
| 9 | Rani kayal - Punnamada | 2196.0 |
| 10 | Punnamada - Nedumudi - Kainakari | 725.0 |
| 11 | Kainakari - Kavalam - C-block | 1087.1 |
| 12 | Kaipuzha river | 395.7 |
| 13 | Muvattupuzha river | 150.0 |
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## Chapter 1 <br> Systematics of Macrobrachium spp.

## Introduction

Palaemonid prawns support a lucrative fishery in inland water bodies on a global basis and the genus Macrobrachium Bate, 1868 accommodates a number of species having commercial importance. The freshwater prawn fishery of Vembanad lake is mainly constituted by the genus Macrobrachium with six species in the exploited stock. The morphological variations shown by the species are basically used as taxonomic tool in the crustacean systematics and the characters often given due importance are nature of rostrum and its spines, carapace, carinae and sulcii, carination of abdomen, telson and appendages (George, 1969). Johnson (1973) expressed the view that changes in the shape and armature of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped due to simple allometric growth process may serve as a useful character in differentiating closely related species. De Man (1904), Calman (1913) and Kubo (1940) provided detailed taxonomic descriptions of the genus Palaemon collected from Tahiti Islands, Madagascar and Japan respectively. Holthuis (1950, 1952a and b, 1956, 1966, 1969) recorded variations within and between species, made a detailed study on the distribution and taxonomy and also exhaustively reviewed the subfamily Palaemonidae. Lanchester (1901, 1906) studied taxonomy of crustaceans including Macrobrachium spp. while Roux (1935, 1936) revised the geographical distinction of Decapod Crustaceans collected from Malay peninsula. Studies on the taxonomy and distribution of Macrobrachium spp. of Indian waters are limited to Henderson and Matthai (1910), Patwardhan (1937) Natraj (1942), Kurian (1954) and John (1958). A detailed survey on the
palaemonid resources of south-west coast of India was carried out by Jayachandran $(1984,1987)$ and Jayachandran and Joseph (1989a) and reported 17 species belong to genera Palaemon and Macrobrachium. Description of new species of freshwater prawns of the Indian waters are those of Tiwari (1949), Jayachandran and Joseph (1985a, 1986, 1992), Jalihal et al. (1988) and Pillai (1990a). Fishery and biology of $M$. rosenbergii have been reported from Bengal (Chopra, 1943; Rajyalakshmi, 1961) and Kerala (Panikkar and Menon, 1955; Raman, 1967; Kurup et al., 1992a).

Sokel and Sneath (1963) appraised the importance of numerical methods for evaluating the extent of affinity or similarity between taxonomic groups. Whereas, Huxley (1932) tried to put a quantitative expression to the differential growth between body as a whole and an organ whose proportion changes during growth. Morphometric studies on palaemonid prawns were carried out notably by Tiwari (1963), Rao (1967), Koshy $(1969,1971,1972)$ and Jayachandran and Joseph $(1985 b, 1988)$ with a view to establish the relationship between the pattern of growth of body parts, to bring out sexual dimorphism and also for species level differentiation. Tazelaar (1930) established a bimodality in claw length of $M$. rosenbergii ( $=$ P.carcinus) from natural habitat. Yaldwyn (1957) studied the morphometric characteristics of Palaemon affinis while Jayachandran and Joseph (1985b \& 1988) and Jayachandran and Balasubramanian (1987) established the morphometric relationships of body parts of M. idella and M.scabriculum. However, very few number of morphometric characters were used in the above studies. Misra (1958) coined a new equation for studying the growth gradient in the podomeres of second cheliped of Palaemonids based on the data of Palaemon henderson:ii. Misra
(1959) also studied the growth of podomeres of the second cheliped of four species of Macrobrachium. Cole (1958) reported the morphometry of Palaemon serratus while Koshy (1969) examined morphometry of M.lamerrei. Sex specific variations in the growth of M.dayanus (Koshy, 1971), M.lamerrei (Koshy, 1972) and M. malcomsonii (Rajyalakshmi, 1980) have already been established. Weight, the usual measurement of overall growth does not provide a most useful reference dimension for studying the relative growth of body parts of M.rosenbergii because the changes in body parts will also contribute to the changes in weight (Kuris et al., 1987).

In grow-out systems, males of $M$. rosenbergii are characterised by the heterogeneous individual growth (HIG) (Smith et al., 1978; Brody et al., 1980). In the adult male population of $M$. rosenbergii, morphologically distinguishable individuals were differentiated viz. small males (SM), orange clawed males ( OC ) and blue clawed males $(\mathrm{BC})$ based on colouration, relative body size, cheliped characteristics, growth pattern and hierarchical dominance (Cohen et al., 1981; Ra'anan, 1982; Sagi, 1984; Teleckey, 1984; Ra'anan and Cohen, 1985; Ra'anan and Sagi, 1985; Kuris et al., 1987; Karplus et al., 1992a ! "; •• and b). The above three morphotypes differ each other morphologically, anatomically and physiologically and the hierarchy among them are closely associated with social roles and reproductive behaviour (Sagi and $\mathrm{Ra}^{\prime}$ anan, 1988). These three morphotypes represent the developmental stages in the maturation process of males of $M$. rosenbergii and are known to undergo transformation from $\mathrm{SM} \rightarrow \mathrm{OC} \rightarrow \mathrm{BC}$ in an irreversible order (Cohen et al., 1981). Besides, two transitional stages of OC viz. weak orange clawed males (WOC) and pre-transforming orange clawed males (t-SOC) were also
distinguishable of which the former being an intermediate stage between SM and $O C$ and the latter between $O C$ and $B C$ and, therefore, fully differentiated OC are known as strong orange clawed males (SOC). Small males occupy the initial stage of developmental pathway and they are subordinates, not territorial, reproductively competent and sexually active (Ra'anan, 1982; Sagi, 1984; Teleckey, 1984; Ra'anan and Cohen, 1985). In contrast, OC are subdominant, not territorial and reproductively submissive and this is a stage of faster somatic growth (Ra'anan, 1982; Sagi, 1984.Ra'anan and Cohen, 1985). BC represents the final stage of morphogenesis which are large, reproductively active, territorial, dominant and is characterised by slow growth rate (Ra'anan, 1982; Sagi, 1984; Ra'anan and Cohen, 1985). Harikrishnan and Kurup (1997a) identified morphotypic differentiation in natural population of M.rosenbergii similar to that in the culture system and brought out the heterogeneous nature of the BC morphotype comprising of weak blue clawed (WBC) and strong blue clawed males ( SBC ). BC with relatively small body size with disproportionate second cheliped is differentiated as old blue clawed males (OBC) (Sagi and Ra'anan, 1988). The above morphotypes are phenotypically distinct and their morphometric variations were studied in detail with a view to differentiate and distinguish them morphologically (Kuris et al., 1987; Kurup et al., 1997a; Harikrishnan, 1997). Colour and spination generally provide a reliable basis for the easy separation of these morphotypes (Kuris et al., 1987).

The female population is rather homogeneous with regard to size and weight and hitherto no morphotypic differentiation was reported in earlier studies based on grow-out systems (Cohen et al., 1981; Ra'anan and Cohen, 1985; Kuris et al., 1987). However, three morphotypes among females were
reported on the basis of their stage of maturity viz., virgin females (VG or VF), berried females (BE or BF) and open brood females (OP or OF) (D'Abramo et al., 1991; Daniels and D’Abramo, 1994). Recently, Harikrishnan and Kurup (1997a) and Harikrishnan et al. (1998) established the morphotypic differentiation in the female population also identical to their male counterparts. Though the size and morphological difference were not found so conspicuous in females as in the case of males, it can easily be differentiated by noting the claw colouration, claw characteristics and also on the basis of morphometry (Harikrishnan, 1997; Harikrishnan et al., 1998). The female morphotypes so identified are small females (SF), orange clawed females (OF) and blue clawed females (BF). Small females morphologically resemble SM but for the absence of appendix masculina and the genital pore is situated at the base of $3^{\text {rd }}$ pereopod. The transitional stages of orange clawed females viz. weak orange clawed females (WOF) and transforming orange clawed females (TOF) are also distinguishable from the fully differentiated strong orange clawed female (SOF) similar to their male counterparts. Similarly, weak blue clawed females (WBF) and strong blue clawed females (SBF) could also be differentiated. On the contrary, female counterpart of OBC males could not be encountered in the population (Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997a).

Against this background an attempt was made to study the allometric relationships in different species of Macrobrachium as well as various morphotypes of Mrosenbergii collected from the exploited stock of Vembanad lake.

## Materials and Methods

Specimens for the present study were collected from various fishing gears operated at 29 different stations representing 13 zones of the Vembanad lake (Fig. 1). Species level identification of the genus Macrobrachium was done following George (1969) and Jayachandran and Joseph (1992). Detailed descriptions of various species were made on the basis of present observations and also in due consultation with George (1969), Holthuis (1980), Jayachandran and Joseph (1992) and Pillai (1990a). Male and female morphotypic forms of $M$. rosenbergii were also identified on the basis of Harikrishnan and Kurup (1997a).

Morphometric analysis was carried out in six species so identified from the lake, based on 15 different body parameters with a view to establish the variations, if any, in the relative proportion of various morphometric measurements. The parameters so considered are total length, carapace length, rostral length, length of telson, pleural width, length of first cheliped, lengths of each podomeres in the second cheliped viz. ischium, merus, carpus, propodus and dactylus, total length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped and length of $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ walking legs (Fig. 1.1) All the measurements were taken in millimetres. Total length was taken as the length between tip of the rostrum to tip of the telson with the help of a ruler whereas carapace length and rostral length were measured using Vernier-Caliper, from posterior margin of right orbit to the posterior most margin of the carapace and tip of the rostrum to the base of the last rostral spine respectively. Telson was measured from its proximal margin to the distal tip and the pleural width was measured at the widest part of the pleural flap of the second abdominal segment. Total length of the chelipeds and walking legs were

> lcht-Length of $1^{\text {st }}$ Cheliped 2 chl - Length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ Cheliped 3wl - Length of $3^{\text {rd }}$ Walking Leg 4 wl - Length of $4^{\text {th }}$ Walking Leg $5 w 1$ - Length of $5^{\text {th }}$ Walking legg Fig. 1.1 Schematic diagram showing various morphometric measurements recorded in Macrobrachium rosenbergii and M.striatus
taken along their extended length with a ruler from the proximal base of the ischium to the distal end of dactylus. Measurement of each podomeres were recorded following Kuris et al. (1987). Morphometric characters used for the differentiation of male and female morphotypes were total length, carapace length, rostral length, length of telson, width of second abdominal pleura and length of each podomere and total length of second cheliped. In M.scabriculum, the chelipeds are unequal and therefore the measurements of the larger cheliped was recorded.

435 males and 189 females of $M$. rosenbergii belonging to various morphotypic forms were analysed for elucidating the morphotype wise difference, while an assorted group comprising 106 males and 117 females were used for the species level analysis. 132 males and 106 females of M. idella, 98 males and 107 females of $M$. equidens, 112 males and 103 females of $M$. striatus, 40 males and 30 females of M.scabriculum and 15 males of M. rude were also used for morphometric studies. The data so obtained were subjected to statistical analysis (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967). For the morphometric analysis males of M.rude could only be collected during the present study as the presence of this species could be found sparsely only from one station.

Ratios of above morphometric measurements with the respect to total length, carapace length and lengths of carpus and merus of second cheliped were worked out. These ratios were tabulated and compared in order to delineate the species level and morphotype wise differences.

Allometric growth technique (Kuris et al., 1987) was employed by applying the equation $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{bX}$, where a and b are regression parameters. Rate of growth of independent variable relative to reference character was
considered negatively allometric if $b<1$, positively allometric if $b>1$ and isometric if $b=1$ following Kuris et al. (1987). Comparative variations in rostral length and lengths of merus, carpus and propodus with respect to total length and carapace length were usually considered as basic criteria for the differentiation of species of the genus Macrobrachium (George, 1969; Jayachandran and Joseph, 1992) and therefore these characters were selected for detailed statistical analysis. Regression coefficients (b) of different species estimated for rostral length, lengths of merus, carpus and propodus of second cheliped and total length of second cheliped by treating total length and carapace length as independent variables were compared using analysis of covariance (ANACOVA) and the difference between the individual species were tested using $t$-test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967). Coefficient of determination (d) which is an index of relationship between two variables was also calculated for each species.
$D^{2}$-Analysis (Rao, 1957) was carried out with the above fifteen morphometric characters to quantify the extent of sexual dimorphism seen in individual species and also to bring out the morphological variation among the species. Preliminary studies showed that there exist clear sexual dimorphism and therefore, sex wise analysis was done in all the species studied. In both M.scabriculum and M.rude, many of the relationships were found to be nonlinear and therefore, for $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ analysis only those characters showing linearity viz. Total length, Carapace length, Rostral length, Length of $1^{\text {st }}$ cheliped, Lengths of $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ walking leg were only considered.

In order to differentiate M.equidens and M.striatus morphologically, 105 different ratios were worked out with the selected 15 morphometric
measurements as given above to nullify the variation in the size of samples. 15 ratios which showed linearity to each other were selected for further analysis using $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ statistics for differentiating the variation in morphology between $M$. equidens and M. striatus..
$\mathrm{D}^{2}$ analysis and analysis of covariance were not performed in male and female morphotypes of $M$. rosenbergii because detailed analysis in these lines have already been carried out (Harikrishnan, 1997).

## Results

6 species of the genus Macrobrachium Bate, 1968 viz. M. rosenbergii, M. idella, M. equidens, M. striatus, M.scabriculum and M. rude were identified from the Vembanad lake.. Male morphotypic forms of M. rosenbergii viz. small males (SM), orange clawed males (OC) and blue clawed males (BC) could be collected and identified during the present study. Transitional stages of orange clawed males such as weak orange clawed (WOC), strong orange clawed (SOC) and pre-transforming strong orange clawed males (t-SOC) as well as the transitional stages of blue clawed males such as weak blue clawed (WBC), strong blue clawed (SBC) and old blue clawed males (OBC) could also be collected and identified. Detailed description of the above six species together with complete synonymy and pattern of regional distribution are presented. Besides, various morphotypes and their transitional stages of $M$. rosenbergii are also described.

## 1. Description of Species

## Taxonomic position

Sub order : Natantia Boas, 1880
Infra order : Caridea Dana, 1852
Super family : Palaemonoidea Rafinesque, 1815
family : Palaemonidae Rafinesque, 1815
Genus : Macrobrachium Bate, 1868

### 1.1 Macrobrachium Bate, 1868

Characters of taxonomic value:
Pleura of second abdominal somite overlapping those of first and third segments. Carapace cylindrical with a prominent laterally compressed rostrum with teeth on dorsal and ventral sides. Gills phillobranchiate, upper antennular flagellum bifid. No epipodites on legs. Carpus of $2^{\text {nd }}$ pair of pereopods entire, not segmented. No chela on third pereopod. Branchiostegal spine absent, anterior margin of carapace below antennal spine unarmed. Hepatic spine present dactylus of last 3 legs normal, not bifid. Males having appendix musculina and an appendix interna on the endopodes of second pleopod.

General distribution:
Members of the genus Macrobrachium are distributed through out the tropical and sub-tropical zones of the world. Holthuis (1980) detailed useful information on distribution, local names and habitat of commercial species coming under this genus. Many Macrobrachium spp. have been transplanted from their natural habitat to other parts of the world both for research and farming (New and Singholka, 1985)
1.2 Key to the Species of the Genus Macrobrachium Bate, 1868
of the Vembanad lake

1. Rostrum long and upturned .....  2
Rostrum short and dorsally convex ..... 5
2. Propodus (chela) longer than carpus ..... 3
Propodus shorter than carpus ..... M. idella
3. Rostrum very long with a prominent basal crest, serration not uniform M. rosenbergii
Rostrum moderately long, uniformly serrated ..... 4
4. Rostrum straight and extending barely up to anterior margin of antennal scale. 5-6 longitudinal stripes on the body .................... ...M. striatusRostrum slightly upturned and reachingbeyond antennal scale. Greyish spotson the body with larger spots on carapace ..... M. equidens
5. Carpus distinctly longer than merus, $4-5$ ventral spines on rostrum ..... M. rude
Carpus equal to or slightly longer thanmerus, 2-3 ventral spines on rostrum.........M.scabriculum

Palaemon rosenbergii De Man, 1879

## Synonymy:

Palaemon carcinus rosenbergii Ortman, 1891
Palaemon whitei Sharp, 1893
Palaemon (Eupalaemon) rosenbergii Nobili, 1899
Palaemon spinipes Schenkel, 1902
Palaemon decqueti Sunier, 1925
Cryphiops (Macrobrachium) rosenbergii Johnson, 1966

## Distinguishing characters

Rostrum slender, long and upturned which extending beyond the antennal scale, with a prominent basal crest. Both the margin of rostrum are armed with normally 11-14 dorsal and 8-14 ventral teeth. The second chelipeds are strong and equal or sub equal, more than body length and with strong spines in adult males whereas more than half the body length with feeble spination in sub-adults of males and females. The joints in second chelipeds are beset with broad based spines which are less strongly developed in ischium and movable finger. Carpus of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ pereopod in adult male slightly longer than half as long as chela. Fingers of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped is of same length as the palm. Tip of the telson is acutely pointed and the sub-terminal spinules do not reach the tip of telson.

Colouration: Body dark grey or yellowish grey with 5 to 7 horizontal stripes in carapace of juveniles. Often orange patches at the articulations of the
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A. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man) -- Dorsal view

B. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man) -- Lateral view
abdominal segment. $2^{\text {nd }}$ pereopods deep blue or orange or bluish orange and the pubescence grey. Rest of the pereopods and pleopodes dull white with yellowish or bluish hue.

## Distribution and economic importance

Macrobrachium rosenbergii is the most commercially important species of the genus Macrobrachium and is indigenous in the whole south and south west Asian areas as well as northern Oceania and in the western Pacific islands (Holthuis, 1980). Quereshi (1956) reported the occurrence and fishery of this species from Pakistan. Occurrence of M. rosenbergii and its exploitation have reported from Bangladesh (Ahmad, 1957), Malaysia and Indonesia (Johnson, 1968), Thailand (Longhurst, 1970) and Sri Lanka (Jinadasa 1985). M.rosenbergii has been transplanted to more countries especially to Hawaii, Mauritius, Central and South America, Tahiti etc. (New and Singholka, 1985).

Jones (1967) reported a regular fishery of this species from different parts including Bombay coast, Kerala and Northern half of the coast of Bay of Bengal and Raman (1967) dealt extensively with the fishery and biology from the Vembanad lake, South India. Longhurst (1970) stated that in S.W. India M. rosenbergii caught in very limited quantities in certain areas only. Kurian and Sebastian (1976), Raman (1967) and Kurup et al. (1992a) delineated monsoon and post-monsoon as the peak fishing seasons, however, the catch started dwindling owing to indiscriminate fishing and man-made ecological transformations.

## Morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man)

## 1) Male morphotypes

Three male morphotypes viz. (1) small male (SM), (2) fully differentiated orange clawed male, strong orange clawed males (SOC) and (3) fully differentiated blue clawed male, strong blue clawed males (SBC) could be collected from the Vembanad lake. Two transitional stages of OC viz. weak orange clawed (WOC) and pretransforming orange clawed male (t-SOC) and that of BC viz. weak blue clawed male (WBC) and old blue clawed male (OBC) could also be differentiated from this water body.

## i) Small Male (SM)

SM is the first stage of male morphogenesis. Body very small ranging from 75 to 139 mm in total length and the colour pattern is quite variable. Body translucent with light greyish colouration. $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped also translucent with bluish hue on the sides of the propodus and fixed finger blue. Carpus may have a red band on the distal end. A red spot on propodus at the point of articulation with dactylus. Dactylus is slightly yellowish. Chelipeds devoid of spination and the surface is more or less smooth.

WOC is the transitional stage between SM and SOC. Characterised by weak $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped which is orange in colour. Spination of $2^{30}$ cheliped feeble that imparts its surface a rough appearance. Most of the portion of propodus is orange in colour. Inner median sides of the ischium. merus and
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## A. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man)

Small Male (SM)


## B. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man)

Weak Orange Clawed Male (WOC)
carpus with orange chromatophore, whereas, outer proximal area suffused with blue pigments. Dactylus yellowish orange and naked.
iii) Strong Orange Clawed Males (SOC) (Plate 1.3A)

Representing second stage of male morphogenesis. Large animals ranging in total length from 155 to 284 mm , characterised with strong chelipeds with orange colouration. Ischium, merus and carpus possess stout spines and the colouration is similar to that of WOC. Propodus orange in colour with whitish medial face. Spines on propodus fragile and orange in colour with a black horny tip. Spines on the cheliped form an acute angle ( $30-45^{\circ}$ ) with the surface of the chelipeds. Dactylus fully covered with greyish brown hairs.
iv) Pre-transforming Strong Orange Clawed Males (t-SOC) (Plate 1.3B)

This is the transitional stage between OC and BC. This group is found to be more heterogeneous in nature as the perceptible variation could be observed in total length from 106 to 293 mm . Body size and colouration resemble WOC and SOC, but can easily be distinguished by the presence of bluish colouration which may be replacing orange colouration which can be taken as the first sign of transformation to BC .
v) Weak Blue Clawed Males (WBC)

Body size of this group rather heterogeneous with total length ranged from 108 to 258 mm . Chelipeds characterised by deep blue colouration
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Strong Orange Clawed Males (SOC)

B. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man)

Pre-Transforming Strong Orange Clawed Male (t-SOC)
with feeble spination and naked dactylus. Inner surface of ischium, merus and carpus are light bluish.
vi) Strong Blue Clawed Males (SBC)
(Plate 1.4B)

Representing $3^{\text {rd }}$ morphotypic stage of male morphogenesis. Large animals with total length ranging from 178 to 289 mm . Characterised by the presence of a deep blue or peacock blue, large and strong cheliped. Stout spination on ischium, merus and carpus and the spines are deep blue in colour and forms an angle $60-75^{\circ}$ with the surface of cheliped. Dactylus have a thick covering of greyish brown plumes.

Largest individuals ranging from 248 to 354 mm in total length, representing the terminal position of male morphotypic transformation pathway. Presence of exceptionally large cheliped longer than total length which are disproportionate with body length. Colouration and spination similar to that of SBC.

## 2) Female Morphotypes

Three morphologically distinguishable forms could be identified among female population, identical to that of male population. Eventhough there is no perceptible variation in their size and morphology similar to that of their male counterparts, however, their easy differentiation is possible based on colour pattern and pattern of spination on the podomeres of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped. Three

Plate 1.4

A. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man) -

Weak Blue Clawed Male (WBC)

B. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man)

Strong Blue Clawed Male (SBC)
female morphotypes such as small females (SF), strong orange clawed females (SOF) and strong blue clawed female (SBF) could be distinguished in the female population. Two transitional stages of SOF viz, weak orange clawed females (WOF) and transforming orange clawed females (TOF) and one transitional stage of SOF viz. weak blue clawed female (WBF) could also be differentiated in the exploited stock. Only a very few SF could be collected during the present investigation.
i) Small Female (SF) (Plate 1.5B)

Females having very small translucent body ( $\approx 98 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Podomeres of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped are also translucent, suffused with light blue pigments. Resembles small males but distinguishable by the lack of appendix masculina and position of opening of genital pore at the base of $3^{\text {rd }}$ pereopod
ii) Weak Orange Clawed Female (WOF)
(Plate 1.6A)

Representing transitional stage between SF and SOF. Total length ranges from 159 to 210 mm . Characterised by a weak $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped with light yellowish or orange colouration and feeble colouration. Outer face of ischium, merus and carpus suffused with light blue pigments. Lateral and dorsal surfaces of propodus orange in colour while tip of the fingers ending in bluish spine.
iii) Strong Orange Clawed Female (SOF)
(Plate 1.6B)

Representing second female morphotypic stage. $2^{-0}$ cheliped is large with distinct spines on propodus when compared to that of WOF. Inner
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A. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man) Old Blue Clawed Male (OBC)

B. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man)

Small Female (SF)

Plate 1.6

A. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man) -

Weak Orange Clawed Female (WOF)

B. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man)

Strong Orange Clawed Female (SOF)
and medial face of ischium, merus and carpus orange in colour while outer face slightly bluish. Propodus deep orange in colour with prominent spination. Dactylus naked and yellowish grey with blue tips.

Transforming Orange Clawed Female (TOF)

Representing the transitional stage between SOF and WBF. Total length varies between 142 to 258 mm . Ischium, merus and carpus slightly bluish with orange hue. Propodus biue at the sides and dorsally, inner ventral side suffused with orange pigments. Dactylus naked and bluish black in colour. Prominent spines seen in larger animals.
v) Weak Blue Clawed Female (WBF) (Plate 1.7.2)

Characterised by the presence of a weak, deep blue coloured $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped. Ischium, merus and carpus having deep blue or bluish black pigmentation with whitish or light bluish inner face. Propodus bluish black with a faint orange ring at the point of articulation of the dactylus. Fixed claw with dull white colouration while movable finger is naked and deep blue in colouration. Both the fingers end in a reddish spine.
iii) Strong Blue Clawed Female (SBF)

SBF represents the $3^{\text {rd }}$ female morphotypic stage of $M$. rosenbergii. Ischium, merus and carpus deep blue coloured with small whitish spines. Propodus deep blue with prominent bluish black spines. A prominent orange

## Plate 1.7


A. Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man)

1. Transforming Orange Clawed Females (TOF)
2. Weak Blue Clawed Females (WBF)
3. Strong Blue Clawed Females (SBF)
patch at the site of articulation of propodus and dactylus. Dactylus with thick grey hairs and terminal position is naked.
1.3.2 Macrobrachium idella (Hilgendorf, 1898)
(Plate $1.8 \mathrm{~A} \& B$ )
Palaemon (Eupalaemon) idae idella Hilgendorf, 1898
Synonymy:
Palaemon (Eupalaemon) multidens Coutière, 1900

## Distinguishing characters

Body slightly compressed and robust. Rostrum extending up to the tip of antennal scale, uniformly toothed on upper margin with 12-14 dorsal and 4-7 ventral teeth, without an elevated basal crest. Second chelate leg tuberculated. Carpus of second cheliped slightly longer than propodus whereas merus distinctly shorter than carpus.

Colouration: Body light green with two elongated dark greyish blotches. Body normally translucent, large and old animals often opaque and darker coloured. Second pereopod of males and females rather translucent and gain dull green coloration with age.

## Distribution and economic importance

Macrobrachium idella is distributed in Indo-west Pacific region, East Africa, Madagascar and India (Holthuis, 1980). Baily and Chrichton (1971) reported this species as being exploited for food in Tanzania. however, it forms only of lesser economic importance. It is also distributed in Malayan archipelago (Henderson and Matthai, 1910)
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## A. Macrobrachium idella (Hilgendorf) -- Dorsal view


B. Macrobrachium idella (Hilgendorf) -- Lateral view

Kurian and Sebastian (1976) reported only a sustenance fishery of this species in the south-western and eastern regions of India. Jayachandran (1984, 1987) delineated its regional distribution in various water bodies of south west coast of India, giving special emphasis to Kerala waters.
1.3.3 Macrobrachium equidens (Dana, 1852) (Plate 1.9 A\&B)

Palaemon equidens Dana, 1852
Synonymy:
Palaemon sundaicus batavina De Man, 1897
Palaemon (Eupalaemon) sundaicus brachydactyla Nobil i, 1899
Paluemon (Eupalaemon) acanthosoma Nobili, 1899
Paluemon (Eupalaemon) sundaicus baramensis De Man, 1902
Palaemon (Eupalaemon) nasutus Nobili, 1903
Palaemon sulcatus Henderson \& Matthai, 1910

## Distinguishing characters

Body slightly compressed and robust.. Rostrum slightly upturned, without a basal crest and serrated with 9-13 dorsal and 4-6 ventral teeth extending up to the distal margin of antennal scale. Hepatic and antennal spines are well developed and are in one line.

Colouration: Body more or less translucent with greyish spots, those on carapace being larger and prominent. Second pereopod dull green with a characteristic dark brown or greyish and yellowish mottling on inner side. Mottling is prominent in fingers and both fingers are velvety in adult male. Pereopods transversely banded with yellowish orange altemating with greenish or greyish band which is very prominent in juveniles.
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A. Macrobrachium equidens (Dana) -- Dorsal view

B. Macrobrachium equidens (Dana) -- Lateral view

## Distribution and economic importance

M. equidens is widely distributed in Indo-west Pacific including south east Africa, coast of India, Andaman and Nicobar islands, South China and Malay archipelago (Holthuis, 1980). Commercial exploitation of this species has been reported from Indonesia (Djajadiredja and Sachlan, 1956), Philippines (Domantay, 1956) and Malaya (Johnson, 1966).

In India this species is widely distributed in Malabar coast (Panikkar, 1937), Andaman and Nocobar islands (Tiwari and Pillai, 1973) Karwar (Jagadisha, 1977), Netravathy- Gurpur estuaries (Natarajan et al., 1979) Vembanad lake (Nair, 1993), Krishna estuary (Ravindranath, 1982) and back waters of Kerala (Jayachandran, 1987) but do not have any commercial importance (Kurian and Sebastian, 1976)
1.3.4 Macrobrachium striatus Pillai, 1990
(Plate. 1.10 A\&B)

## Distinguishing characters

Closely resembles M. equidens. Rostrum well developed, straight and do not reaching the anterior margin of antennal scale, serrated and compressed. Antennal and hepatic spines are well developed and are in one line, the former has a strong carina which continues posteriorly some distance towards hepatic spine.

Colouration: Body robust with 5-6 greenish or greyish brown longitudinal wavy stripes running along the sides of the abdomen of which dorsal one or two are discontinuous. All the pereopods, pleopodes and uropod also striped in sub adult while stripes disappeared from the second pereopods in larger animals.
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## A. Macrobrachium striatus Pillai -- Dorsal view


B. Macrobrachium striatus Pillai -- Lateral view

Second pereopods of adult never showed mottled appearance on carpus and palm, only fingers are mottled.

Distribution and economic importance
This species was considered as a sub-species/ variety of $M$. equidens, and therefore, a detailed account on the distribution is lacking. Fishery is reported from Karwar (Jagadisha, 1977) and Vembanad lake (Nair, 1993) as a striped variety of $M$. equidens.
1.3.5 Macrobrachium scabriculum (Heller, 1862) (Plate. 1.11 A\&B)

Palaemon scabriculus Heller, 1862

## Synonymy

Palaemon dolichodactylus Hilgendorf, 1879
Palaemon (Parapalaemon) scabriculus De Man, 1987
Palaemon (Parapalaemon) dolichodactylus Hilgendorf, 1898
Palaemon dubius Henderson \& Matthai, 1910
Palaemon (Macrobrachium) dolichodactylus, J.Roux, 1934

## Distinguishing characters

Body cylindrical and robust. Rostrum short, do not reaching the anterior margin of antennal scale, dorsally convex and uniformly toothed. 1215 dorsal and 2-3 ventral rostral spines. Second chelate leg unequal, propodus and basal part of the fingers covered with velvety hairs. Fingers longer than palm. Carpus shorter than merus.

Colouration: deep brown or greyish brown in colour with a yellowish patch running along the entire length on dorsal side of abdomen.
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A. Macrobrachium scabriculum (Heller) -- Dorsal view

B. Macrobrachium scabriculum (Heller) -- Lateral view

## Distribution and economic importance

Distributed in Indo-West Pacific including East Africa and Madagascar to India, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh and Sumatra (Holthuis, 1980). Fishery was reported from Bangladesh (Ahmad, 1957), Kenya and Tanzania (Baily and Crichton, 1971) and it is of only minor economic importance in India (Jones, 1967; Kurian and Sebastian, 1976).

Palaemon rudis, Heller, 1862

## Synonymy:

Palaemon mossambicus Hilgendorf, 1879
Palaemon (Eupalaemon) rudis Coutière, 1900
Palaemon (Eupalaemon) alcocki Nobili, 1903
Palaemon delagoae Stebbing, 1915
Urocaridella borradailei stebbing, 1923

## Distinguishing characters

Body slightly compressed and robust. Rostrum short, dorsally convex, without basal crest. Rostrum uniformly toothed with 12-13 dorsal and $4-5$ ventral spines. Hepatic spine situated at lower level than antennal spine. All the segments of second cheliped with velvety pubescence. Carpus is distinctly longer than merus and shorter than propodus (Chela). In live specimen $2^{\text {nd }}$ chelipeds hold in the shape of ' $W$ ' while moving.

Colouration: light greenish or reddish brown without any spots and striations, entire $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped of the adult males greyish in colour
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Macrobrachium rude (Heller) Lateral view

## Distribution and economic importance

Distributed in Indo-West Pacific including East Africa, Madagascar, India and Bangladesh (Holthuis, 1980). Baily and Crichton (1971) mentioned about minor fishery of this species in Kenya and Tanzania, while Qureshi (1956) and Ahmad (1957) reported its fishery from Bangladesh.

Sakuntala (1976) suggested this species to be a brackish water prawn. Jones (1967) reported a stray fishery in south-west and a regular fishery in north-east coasts of India. It is reported to be common in Bengal (Chopra, 1943; George, 1969) and Chilka lake, Orissa ( Kurian and Sebastian, 1976), Pulikat lake (Raman and Kadir, 1979), Kakkinada Bay (Rajyalakshmi, 1975) and Hooghly river (David, 1954; Rao, 1969).

### 1.4.1 Morphometric analysis

Details of various morphometric measurements recorded from three male morphotypes and their transitional stages are presented in Table 1.1.1 to 1.1.3. Small males of M.rosenbergii fall in a lower size range with a mean of 104.19 mm . A gradual increase could be observed in the total length commensurate with the path way of male morphogenesis and exceptions to this situation were t -SOC and WBC. Size distribution of t -SOC and WBC showed rather heterogeneous assemblage as evidenced from higher standard deviation. Lowest size observed in t-SOC was 106 mm which was well below when compared to its preceeding morphotypes viz. WOC and SOC. In WBC also a similar condition in size range lower than that of SOC could be observed. In SM, OC and its transitional stages and WBC, second cheliped was found to be
smaller than total length, whereas SBC and OBC possess a long second cheliped which was higher than total body length.

Pattern of size distribution observed among female morphotypes of M. rosenbergii collected from the Vembanad lake was similar to that of their male counterparts (Table 1.1.4 to 1.1.7). TOF and WBF showed a wider size range when compared to the other morphotypes. Smallest size of TOF and WBF were found to be smailer than the lowest size recorded in their preceding morphotypes. Invariably, in all the female morphotypes, mean cheliped length was found to be lower than total length. Width of second pleura recorded from SBF was found to be larger when compared to other morphotypes.

Merus was distinctly longer than or as long as ischium in SBC and OBC as evident by ratio lower than 1.00 whereas, in other morphotypes shorter merus was also encountered (Table 1.2.1). In OBC , the ratio of rostral length to carpus of second cheliped ranged from 0.919 tol.623, whereas, in SM, WOC, and SOC still higher ranges could be seen. Similar observation was noticed in respect of merus length also (Table 1.2.1).

The variation in the morphometric characters of female morphotypes were not that much perceptible as in the case of males. The ratio of telson length to total length was found to be uniform in all female morphotypes. Ratio of pleural width to total length showed a gradual increase from WOF to SBF commensurate with the developmental pathway (Table 1.2.2). Average length of second cheliped was found to be 0.651 to 0.710 of the total length which indicate that $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped was shorter than total length. The ratio of propodus of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped to other body dimensions of female
M.rosenbergii showed no perceptible variation as in the case of their male counterparts (Table 1.2.2).

The result of regression analysis showed that in all male morphotypes except $O B C$, the body dimensions showed linear relationship. In OBC most of the relationships was found to be non-linear (Table. 1.3.1 to 1.3.4). Coefficient of determination (d) calculated for various relationships showed that many of the morphometric measurements in SM, WOC, SOC, $\mathfrak{t}$ SOC, WBC and SBC were found to be closely related (Table. 1.3.1 to 1.3.4). Regression coefficient (b) between total length and $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped was found to be positively allometric from SOC onwards, whereas, this relationship showed negative allometry in SM and WOC. Regression of total length to propodus of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped showed a higher $b$ value in the larger morphotypes viz. SOC, SBC and OBC, whereas, the same in respect of smaller morphotypes viz. SM, WOC and WBC were found to be lower. The relationship showed negative allometry in SM and WOC, on the contrary, in other advanced morphotypes it showed positive allometry, however, in OBC also a negative allometric relationship could be found out.

In TOF and SBF all the body dimensions showed linear relationships, whereas many of the relationships in WOF, SOF and WBF were found to be non-linear (Table. 1.3.5 to 1.3.7)

The relationship between total length and $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped length showed negative allometry in all the female morphotypes and this would indicate the small size of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped when compared to total length. On the contrary, the relationship between ischium and merus of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped showed
positive allometry in WOF and TOF whereas, in other morphotypes this relationship was found to be negatively allometric.

Details of various morphometric measurements recorded from assorted specimens of $M$. rosenbergii is presented in Table 1.4.1. Male belonging to length group of 121 to $278 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{M}=188.10 \pm 29.84)$ and female of 107 to $253 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{M}=190.50 \pm 28.05)$ were used for morphometric analysis. Similar measurements in respect of M.idella, M. equidens, M. striatus, M.scabriculum and M. rude are also presented in Table 1.4.2 to 1.4.6 respectively.

Range and mean of various ratios worked out from male and female of different Macrobrachium spp. are presented in Table 1.5.1 and 1.5.2 respectively. In M. rosenbergii, carpus was found to be longer than half as long as propodus and the ratios obtained with total length were 0.161 for carpus and 0.300 for propodus. In M. idella, propodus to carpus ratio was found to be 0.896 and 0.980 in males and females respectively which indicate that the length of carpus was longer than propodus and only in smaller animals this ratio was found to be reversed. In all other species propodus was found to be longer than carpus (Table 1.5.1 and 1.5.2). In M.scabriculum merus to carpus ratio was found to be greater than one and in all other species this ratio was found to be less than one which would suggests that length of carpus was shorter than merus in M.scabriculum. In M.scabriculum and M.rude the ratio of carpus and merus to total length were 0.220 and 0.197 respectively in former and 0.289 and 0.469 in the latter and this can reliably be used as a distinguishing character between these two species. In M. idella the ratio of carpus and merus was
recorded as 0.228 and 0.415 which show that carpus is distinctly longer than merus.

Various morphometric characters recorded from the six species were regressed each other and regression parameters so obtained are presented in Table 1.6.1 to 1.6.6. In M. rosenbergii all the relationships were found to be linear by obtaining significant ' $r$ ' values (Table 1.6.1). Regression coefficient revealed that length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped showed positive allometry with the total length, on the contrary, all other morphometric characters showed negative allometry with total length in M.rosenbergii. Relationship of rostral length with respect to carapace length showed almost isometry, whereas length of propodus, $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ chelipeds and walking legs showed positive allometry, however, all and other characters showed negative allometry.

In M. idella and M. equidens also all the relationships were found to be linear (Table $1.6 .2 \& 1.6 .3$ ). In $M$. striutus the correlation of dactylus of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped with other morphometric parameters showed lower ' $r$ ' values (ranging from 0.239 to 0.368 ) which would suggests that the relationship is non-linear in males, whereas, in females of $M$. striatus such disparity could not be seen (Table 1.6.4).

In M.scabriculum the relationship between the podomeres of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped and total length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped with other morphometric parameters showed a non-linear relationship as evident by very low ' $r$ ' values (Table 1.6.5). On the contrary, the length of $1^{\text {st }}$ cheliped and length of other walking legs showed a linear relationship with total length, carapace length and rostral length. The relationship between the podomeres viz. carpus and propodus to merus, propodus and dactylus to carpus and propodus to dactylus in
M.scabriculum were found to be linear (Table 1.6.5) In females of M.scabriculum, the relationship between pleural width to all other parameters were also found to be non-linear (Table 1.6.5). Length of podomeres of females of M.scabriculum showed linear relationship with many of the morphometric characters studied.

During the present study, adults male of $M$. rude could only be collected as this species was very rare and have restricted distribution in Vembanad lake. M. rude also showed non-linear relationships between many of the morphometric characters studied similar to that of M.scabriculum (Table. 1.6.6). In M.rude also podomeres as well as the total length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped showed non linear relationship with total length, carapace length and rostral length.

Results of the comparison of regression coefficient of total lengthrostral length relationship of male $M$. rosenbergii showed a significant differences ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) among various species studied (Table 1.7.1). Results of t test showed that significant variation in the above relationship exist between $M$. rosenbergii and M.idella ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and also M.equidens ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) and $M$. striatus ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). The regression coefficient of the total length- rostral length relationship between M.idella and M.equidens ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) and M.equidens and M.striatus ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) were also found to vary significantly.

Relationships between total length and length of merus ( $\mathrm{F}=29.76$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), Carpus ( $\mathrm{F}=53.62, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and propodus ( $\mathrm{F}=12.82, \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped and the total length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped ( $\mathrm{F}=29.67, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) were also found to vary significantly among the Macrobrachium species of Vembanad lake (Table 1.7 .2 to 1.7 .5 ). Result of the $t$-test showed that the growth of the
podomeres as well as the total length of cheliped varied between most of the species studied (Table 1.7.1 to 1.7.6).

Comparison of regression coefficients of the relationship between carapace length with merus ( $\mathrm{F}=36.28, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ), carpus ( $\mathrm{F}=66.14, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) and propodus ( $\mathrm{F}=14.92, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped and total length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped $(\mathrm{F}=36.38, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) showed significant variation in different species (Table 1.7.6 to 1.7 .10 ). On the contrary, carapace length and rostral length ( $\mathrm{F}=1.89, \mathrm{P}<0.1$ ) relationship showed no significant variation among different Macrobrachium spp. (Table 1.7.6). The resuits of the $t$-test revealed that there exists species specific variations in the regression coefficient of lengths of merus, carpus, propodus and total length of cheliped to carapace length.(Table 1.7 .6 to 1.7 .10 )

On the contrary, among females, regression coefficients of total length- rostral length relationships $(\mathrm{F}=1.11, \mathrm{P}>0.05)$ and carapace length- rostral length relationships ( $\mathrm{F}=1.39, \mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) were found to be insignificant (Table. 1.7.11 and 1.7.12). Results of comparison of regression coefficients of merus, carpus, propodus and total length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped with total length and carapace length of females of different Macrobrachium spp. are presented in Tables 1.7.11 to 1.7.20.

### 1.4.2 D $^{2}$ Analysis

Distance function analysis was carried out based on 15 morphometric characters in 6 species of Macrobrachium collected from the Vembanad lake. Morphometric measurements of males and females of different species were analysed and the results are presented in Table 1.8.1. $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ value revealed sexual dimorphism at significant level in all the Macrobrachium spp. studied and among them M.scabriculum ( $\mathrm{D}=3.285, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) showed
maximum D-value, in contrast, in M. equidens ( $\mathrm{D}=1.94, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) and $M$. striatus ( $\mathrm{D}=1.58, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) showed least values which would manifest the existence of lower degree of sexual dimorphism in the latter two species.

Result of the $D^{2}$ analysis revealed that morphometry of males of the Macrobrachium spp. are significantly different among each other and the maximum D-value could be observed in M. rosenbergii with other species (Table 1.8.2). In females also, similar trends could be seen (Table. I.8.3).

Morphometric variables of both males and females of M. equidens vary significantly from $M$. striatus as evident from the significant difference in D-values. These finding would not only be useful in differentiating these two species but also helpful in supporting the validity of their separate taxonomic identity.

Using the above 15 morphometric characters, 105 different morphometric ratios were worked out of which 15 ratios showing linear relationship were selected for $D^{2}$ analysis. The 15 ratios taken into consideration for further differentiation of M. equidens and M. striatus were the lengths of ischium, merus, carpus, propodus and total length of second cheliped to total body length, length of ischium, propodus and length of second cheliped to carapace length, carapace length, rostral length, length of $1^{\text {st }}$ cheliped and ischium of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped to the length of $3^{\text {rd }}$ walking leg to the length of carpus of second cheliped and rostral length and length of ischium of second cheliped to the merus of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped. Correlation matrix of $r$ values showing the linear relationship of selected ratios in males and female of M.equidens and M.striatus were presented in Table 1.9.1 and 1.9.2. Results of the $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ analysis w. ratios was useful in bringing out the extent of sexual dimorphism as well
as the morphological differences inherent in M.equidens and M.striatus (Table 1.10.1 and 1.10.2).

## Discussion

Prawns collected from the exploited stock of Vembanad lake were identified and classified on the basis of available keys and they show very much agreement with the earlier descriptions (George, 1969; Holthuis, 1980; FAO, 1983; Pillai, 1990a \& b; Jayachandran and Joseph, 1992). All the species in their early juvenile stage are translucent and often with dark lines and spots on the body and this is posing much difficulty in their proper identification. Available keys are primanily based on the adult characteristics and therefore may not be suitable for the identification of juveniles or sub-adults up to species level. Therefore, the key developed in the present study based on easily measurable characters will be having much utility for the easy identification of the species inhabiting the Vembanad lake.

All the six species described were previously reported from the Vembanad lake (Jayachandran, 1987). In contrast, M. canarae and .M. sankollii recorded from the upper stretches of Meenachil river (Jayachandran, 1991) could not be encountered now in the catches examined up to 5 km stretch in this river adjoining the Vembanad lake.

Single aged adult population of $M$. rosenbergii especially the males are characterised with a highly skewed size structure associated with different morphotypes having varying growth rates and reproductive potential (Cohen et al., 1981; Kuris et al., 1987, Sagi and Ra'anan, 1988; Harikrishnan and Kurup,

1997a). In the present study, similar morphological difference as reported in the grow-out population could be observed from the natural population also. The size range of various male morphotypes observed during the present study are on a higher side when compared to grow-out populations (Cohen et al., 1981; Kuris et al., 1987; Sagi and Ra'anan, 1988) and are very much comparable to the size structure as reported by Harikrishnan (1997). The difference in size structure between the grow-out and natural populations may be due to the presence of multi-aged specimens in the latter.

Kuris et al. (1987) opined that BC males are readily distinguishable from other male morphotypes having dramatically greater propodus and carpus length in relation to carapace length. In the present study it could be seen that the ratio of carpus and propodus to the carapace length gradually increased from SM to OBC except WBC and this fully complies with the observation of Kuris et al. (1987). The disparity observed in the WBC may be due to its wider size range and smaller mean size when compared to fully differentiated blue clawed males, the SBC. Carpus length is reported to be the best discriminator between OC and BC males, the former possess a carpus $61 \%$ larger that that of OC males (Kuris et al., 1987). Definite and uniform morphological criteria could not be delineated for the differentiation of morphotypes from various ratios be arrived at as they have showed considerable overlapping. This may also due to multi-aged nature of the population in the lake in contrast to the single aged cultured population, the latter is only so far used for similar studies.

The ratio of total length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped to the total body length showed a value less than 1 in SM to WBC whereas, the growth was found to be
positively allometric except in SM and WOC. On the contrary, in females the above relationship showed a negative allometry. This may be due to the presence of larger cheliped in males of M.rosenberii especially in higher morphotypes when compared to their females counterparts.

Relationships of propodus with respect to carapace length was found to be positively allometric in various morphotypes showing highest value in $\mathrm{BC}(\mathrm{b}=2.134)$. On the contrary, OBC showed a negative allometry and this would further support the fact that the growth rate of OBC is stunted as reported by Sagi and Ra'anan (1988) and Kurup et al. (1997a). However, carpus with respect to carapace length showed a negative allometry and an exception to this is in SBC and this finding showed strong agreement with that of Kuris et al. (1987) who reported that there exist a positive allometric growth of propodus and carpus with respect to carapace length in SBC.

According to Harikrishnan (1997) there is considerable variation in the growth of various body parts with respect of total length and carapace length. In the present study also a clear variation could be observed in the regression coefficients of various morphometric relationships in different morphotypes (Table 1.3 .1 to 1.3 .7 ) and this fully supports the findings of Harikrishnan (1997). Harikrishnan (1997) also delineated significant differences in the morphology of various male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii and in their transitional stags with the help of $D^{2}$ analysis using 9 morphometric parameters.
M. rosenbergil showed morphotypic differentiation and therefore, an assorted group of all morphotypes belonging to various length groups were taken as a unit entity for the species level taxonomic studies. It is worth
noticing that all the morphometric relationship of this group have shown linearity (Table 1.6.1). In M. idella, two types of males with two different claw characteristics were reported, however, they are not allometrically different (Harikrishnan, 1997). Morphotypic differentiation could not be observed in other species of Macrobrachium collected from the Vembanad lake during the present study as reported earlier (Harikrishnan, 1997).

Taxonomic positions of M.equidens and M.striatus were under dispute (Pillai, 1990b) as these two species were considered to be spotted and striped varieties of M.equidens (Jagadisha, 1977; Nair, 1993). However, M.equidens and M.striatus can easily be differentiated by noticing the colour pattern (Plate. 1.3 and 1.4) Nevertheless, this character cannot be considered as an important taxonomic tool for the species level identity in view of the fact that the characteristic colour pattem appear clearly in juveniles above 20-25 mm of both the species and vanishes on preservation (Pillai, 1990a). Pillai (1990b) reported that the colour pattern of striped and non-striped forms were distinct and no mixing in was observed between these two species. The distinct colour pattern characteristic of each of these species appeared only when the post larvae grew to a size of $20-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. Jagadisha (1977) brought out some distinct differences between these two species such as nature of the rostrum, relative size of carpus and chela and pubescence in the dactylus of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped. The results of the present study fully agree with earlier observation (Jagadisha, 1977) as the variation in the shape of rostrum can very well be distinguishable from the photographs (Plates 1.9 and 1.10). Pillai (1990a) described the striped variety as a new species and separated from M.equidens on the basis of difference in colouration, structural difference of zoeal stages
and by also confirming that the two species showed inability to interbreed (Pillai, 1990b). Jayachandran and Joseph (1992) provided a species identification key along with a short review of bionomics of these two species, however, M.equidens and M.striatus were treated as M.equidens equidens and M.equidens pillaii respectively. In the present study it was found that M.striatus have a more or less straight rostrum which barely reaches the anterior margin of antennal scale, whereas the rostrum is more upturned in the case of females and sub-adults, on the contrary, rostrum of M.equidens is upturned and reaching up to the anterior margin of antennal scale. Koshy (1969) reported that in female M. lamarrei rostrum is correspondingly longer than in males. In M.equidens and M.striatus the ratio of rostral length to carapace length was found to be 1.165 and 1.074 respectively, whereas in females the same ratios were 1.178 and 1.103. This fully confirms the fact that the female possesses a comparatively longer rostrum which is at variance with the sex specific differential growth in M. lamarrei as reported by Koshy (1969).

In systematics where body proportions play an important role in delineation of species, investigation on relative growth of parts in relation to the rest of body or in relation to each other can throw much light for arriving at true taxonomic status (Misra, 1959). Ratios worked out between various morphometric measurements fully conform to the morphologic criteria usually taken for the differentiation of Macrobrachum spp. (George, 1969; Jayachandran and Joseph, 1992). The results of the present study show that M.scabriculum and M.rade can easily be distinguished from other species of Macrobrachium by observing the shorter length of the rostrum in relation to carapace length. It could also be seen that the ratio of rostrum to carapace is
less than 1 in M.scabriculum and M.rude however, in others this ratio is greater than 1 denoting the presence of a larger rostrum when compared to carapace. $M$. rosenbergii can also be differentiated from the other species by noticing the presence of longer rostrum and therefore, the mean of rostrum to carapace ratio is distinctly greater in $M$. rosenbergii when compared to M. idella, M. equidens and M. striatus.
M. idella can easily be differentiated by noticing the propodus which is shorter than carpus (Jayachandran and Joseph, 1992). Present findings fully confirm this observation and also quantified the extent of difference in the ratios of propodus and carpus to total length in various Macrobrachium spp. of Vembanad lake (Table 1.5.1 and 1.5.2). Ischium to carpus ratio can be taken as a criteria for the differentiation of $M$. rosenbergii and M.rude in which ratio was greater than 0.5 in the former and less than 0.5 in the latter (Table 1.5.1). Merus is as long as or shorter than carpus in M.scabriculum whereas other species possess a distinctly longer merus when compared to carpus (Jayachandran and Joseph, 1992). Merus to carpus ratio was found to be greater than 1 in M.scabriculum and lesser than 1 in other species and this lend to support the finding of Jayachandran and Joseph (1992). Jagadisha (1977) brought out variation in the relative size of carpus and chela in M. equidens and M. striatus whereas, in the present study a difference could be observed in the means of ratio of chela (propodus) to carpus of the above two species (1.253 and 1.408 respectively), however, the ranges showed overlapping.

Growth of various body parts in $M$. rosenbergii with respect to total length and carapace length was found to be higher in males when compared to
females and this can very well be correlated to the faster growth and larger size of males. On the contrary, pleural width and length of telson showed higher regression coefficient in females of $M$. rosenbergii when compared to males (Table 1.6.1) and can be explained on the basis of large second pleura seen in berried females, which is required for the formation of brood pouch. In other Macrobrachium spp. also pleural width showed higher growth rate when compared to that of their male counterparts (Table. 1.6.2 to 1.6.5).

In males of $M$. rosenbergii, a positive allometry could be observed between total length and length of second cheliped and this can very well be explained by the larger size of the cheliped in males which is often longer than total length. Whereas in females, the length of cheliped is only more than half the body length and correspondingly it shows a negative allometric relationship.

Similar results could be observed in the case of M. idella but the difference in growth of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped showed a highly positive allometry in males (2.2017) and negatively allometry in females. This may be due to the occurrence of males with exceptionally large cheliped in the sample as described as 'M2' Males (Harikrishnan, 1997). The relationship between the podomeres of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped of males of $M$. rosenbergii and $M$. idella showed a very high correlation by showing higher r values ( $\mathrm{r}>0.9$ ), on the contrary, M.scabriculum and M.rude showed very low r values showing no significant relationship between the lengths of different podomeres and other morphometric characters and also among lengths of different podomeres. This may be due to significantly large and broad cheliped seen in M.scabriculum when compared to other species. Only adults of M.rude in the size range of 85-

109 mm was collected during the present study (Table 1.4.6) and this in comparison with other species is distinctly higher. Smaller size range and low degree of freedom may be attributed as the reasons for the smaller r values arrived at in most of the relationships.

In M. idella there is no significant difference in rostrum length with respect to carapace length and total length between the two sexes (Jayachandran and Balasubramanian, 1987) and the results of the present study fully support the above findings. Regression coefficients of rostral length of males and females of M.idella with total length were worked out as 0.301 and 0.310 respectively and no significant variation was noticed between them (Table 1.6.2), and are therefore comparable with Jayachandran and Joseph (1988). Koshy (1971) reported sex specific variation in the regression coefficients of rostral length and length of second cheliped to the length of cephalothorax.

Comparison of regression coefficients of various relationships showed that among the Macrobrachium spp. there exist significant variations in the morphometric relationships. Regression coefficient of total length-rostral length relationships of Macrobrachium spp. showed significant variation among males, on the contrary, females showed no significant variation in the above relationships. These finding are similar to the allometric relationships of rostrum with reference to carapace length as reported in M.lamerrei (Koshy, 1969) and M.scabriculum (Jayachandran and Balasubramanian, 1987).

The comparison of regression coefficients of various body parts with respect to total length and carapace length showed species specificity (Table 1.7.1. to 1.7.20). This can be explained on the basis of variation noticed
in the size and structure of podomeres of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped in various Macrobrachium spp. (Plate 1.1 and 1.8 ; Table 1.4.1 to 1.4.6). Jayachandran and Balasubramanian (1987) opined that each of the Macrobrachium spp. is characterised by its own species specific pattern of growth. Rajyalakshmi (1980) brought out difference in growth rate of male and female of M.malcomsonii.

The results of the present study show that the ratio of length of rostrum to length of carapace can be taken as a tool for the easy differentiation of various species of Macrobrachium. Comparison of regression coefficients of rostral length-carapace length relationship using analysis of covariance showed that the variation is insignificant among different species, both in males and females. This may be due to the similarity of regression coefficients arrived at in different species which ranged from 0.716 to 1.005 in males and 0.719 to 0.997 in females. It may, therefore, sensibly be asserted that even though the variation in the growth of rostrum of Macrobrachium spp. with respect to carapace length do not vary considerably, the ratio of the rostral length to carapace length can be taken as a criterion for the species level differentiation. The insignificant difference recorded in regression coefficients of rostral lengthcarapace length relationship may be due to the considerable overlapping in the ratio of rostral length to carapace length in different Macrobrachium spp.

Using $D^{2}$ analysis the extent of sexual dimorphism is quantified in different species of Macrobrachium inhabiting Vembanad lake and the results showed that $M$. scabriculum ( $\mathrm{D}=3.285, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) showed greatest difference. This may be attributed to the difference noticed in claw characteristics. $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped
of M.scabriculum is heavy and strongly unequal in males (Plate 1.11). Relatively higher values ( $\mathrm{D}=2.3, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) arrived at in $M$. idella in the present study may be due to the presence of large clawed males. In M. rosenbergii also comparatively high $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ value could be observed which can also be explained on the basis of variations noticed in size structure of the male population when compared to their females counterparts (Kuris et al., 1987). Since $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ analysis confirmed the existence of sexual dimorphism, further analysis on the species level differentiation was carried out separately for males and females.

Results of the $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ analysis revealed that there exist significant difference in the morphology among males of Macrobrachium spp. of the Vembanad lake showing highest between $M$. rosenbergii and other species and this may be due to the large size of males of $M$. rosenbergii when compared to other species studied. Similar trend could also be observed in females.

The regression coefficients of various relationships of M. equidens and M. striatus were found to vary significantly and therefore can be used for their easy differentiation. However, Jagadisha (1977) and Pillai (1990a \&b) are of the view that there exist only minute morphological difference between these two species and therefore the species differentiation could be possible on the basis of colour, variation in larval morphology and inability to interbreed (Pillai, 1990a). In the present study, a distinct morphological variation could be established between males ( $\mathrm{D}=2.118, \mathrm{P}<0.01$; Table. 1.8.2) as well as females ( $\mathrm{D}=2.085, \mathrm{P}<0.01$; Table. 1.8.3) of $M$. equidens and $M$. striatus and therefore the results will be immensely useful in strengthening the validity of existence of two separate species as reported by Pillai (1990a).

Some of the ratios worked out on the morphometric measurements of M.equidens and M.striatus did not show linearity and this may be due to the disproportionality in growth rate of different body parts. The results $D^{2}$ analysis using different morphometric ratios were comparable with that of the $D^{2}$ values arrived at from original morphometric data. Sexual dimorphism is found to be higher in M. equidens when compared to M.striatus and the species level difference is higher in males. Results of $D^{2}$ analysis using ratios showed almost similarity with that of value obtained when direct measurement were used, however, the values obtained from the former were found to be much more useful in the establishment of sexual dimorphism and species differentiation. The distinct taxonomic identity of M.equidens and M.striatus can also be established on the basis of the results obtained from $D^{2}$ analysis.
Table 1.1.1 Minimum, maximum and standard deviation of various morphometric

| Measurements |  |  | Small Males |  | $n=15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SI.No. | - | Minimum ( mm ) | Maximum (mm) | Mean <br> (mm) | SD |
| 1 | Total length | 75.0 | 139 | 104.19 | 16.31 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 19.2 | 37 | 27.18 | 4.45 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 27.9 | 54 | 39.08 | 6.81 |
| 4 | Length of telson | 10.2 | 19 | 13.48 | 2.27 |
| 5 | 2nd abdominal pleural width | 7.1 | 13 | 9.30 | 1.49 |
| 6 | Length of ischium of 2nd cheliped | 8.5 | 17 | 11.91 | 2.49 |
| 7 | Length of merus of 2 nd cheliped | 9.1 | 18 | 12.21 | 2.19 |
| 8 | Length of carpus of 2 nd cheliped | 103 | 21 | 14.69 | 3.00 |
| 9 | Length of propodus of 2nd cheliped | 12.1 | 37 | 21.23 | 6.54 |
| 10 | Length of dactylus of 2nd cheliped | 5.1 | 17 | 10.03 | 3.26 |
| 11 | Total length of 2 nd cheliped | 40.9 | 93 | 60.04 | 13.51 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Table 1.1.2 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Minimum, maximum and standard deviation of various morphometric } \\ \text { measurements recorded in orange clawed males of Macrobrachium ro }\end{array}\end{array}$

| S:No. Measurements |  | Weak Orange Clawed Male (WOC) $n=107$ |  |  |  | Strong Orange Clawed Males (SOC) $n=22$ |  |  |  | Pretransforming Strong Orange Clawed Males (t-SOC) $n=107$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mrn) | Mean (mm) | SD | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mm) | Mean (mm) | so | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mm) | Mean <br> (mm) | SD |
| 1 | Total length | 108 | 258 | 184.03 | 25.50 | 155 | 284 | 21309 | 21.29 | 106 | 293 | 204.09 | 51.12 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 37 | 73 | 50.92 | 7.56 | 41 | 27 | 61.82 | 15.36 | 34 | 96 | 57.55 | 1081 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 54 | 94 | 69.19 | 8.77 | 55 | 110 | 29.55 | 16.49 | 47 | 115 | 76.42 | 12.54 |
| 4 | Length of telson | 11 | 29 | 21.58 | 2.72 | 18 | 32 | 24.55 | 4.53 | 17 | 38 | 23.99 | 3.64 |
| 5 | 2nd abdominal pleural width | 11 | 24 | 16.39 | 2.37 | 14 | 32 | 10.50 | 4.57 | 12 | 27 | 18.10 | 3.05 |
| 6 | Length of ischium of 2nd cheliped | 17 | 41 | 24.50 | 4.82 | 18 | 45 | 29.86 | 7.72 | 13 | 58 | 28.79 | 7.29 |
| 7 | Length of merus of 2nd cheliped | 17 | 41 | 25.42 | 4.65 | 19 | 52 | 32.45 | 10.01 | 15 | 92 | 30.99 | 10.21 |
| 8 | Length of carpus of 2 nd cheliped | 21 | 47 | 30.14 | 5.19 | 21 | 63 | 38.18 | 12.18 | 16 | 115 | 36.77 | 12.95 |
| 9 | Length of propodus of 2nd cheliped | 30 | 98 | 53.25 | 12.78 | 42 | 127 | 25.14 | 27.75 | 26 | 198 | 62.61 | 25.71 |
| 10 | Length of dactylus of 2nd cheliped | 14 | 50 | 25.76 | 6.57 | 20 | 72 | 37.23 | 16.43 | 11 | 75 | 33.77 | 1243 |
| 11 | Total length of 2nd cheiped | 88 | 225 | 133.32 | 24.95 | 100 | 287 | 125.64 | 56.93 | 70 | 463 | 159.16 | 51.99 |

Trable 1.1.3 Minimum, maximum and standard daviation of various morplometic
mensuramenta racorded in blue clawed males of Macrobrachlum rosen

| SINo. | Measurements | Weak Blue Clawed Male <br> (WBC) $n=102$ |  |  |  | Strong Blue Clawed Males (SBC) $n=51$ |  |  | SD | Minimum (mm) | Old Blue Clawed Males$(O B C) \quad n=31$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum (mm) | Maximurn (mm) | Mean <br> (min) | SD | Minimum ( mm ) | Maximum (mm) | Mean <br> (mm) |  |  | Maximum (mm) | Mean (mm) | SD |
| 1 | Total length | 122 | 288 | 195.28 | 35.25 | 178 | 289 | 252.39 | 23.68 | 248 | 354 | 286.00 | 19.49 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 31 | 39 | 54.71 | 10.18 | 50 | 92 | 7629 | 9.88 | 70 | 104 | 59.87 | 7.99 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 48 | 105 | 73.17 | 11.73 | 63 | 109 | 94.25 | 10.21 | 96 | 123 | 106.19 | 8.43 |
| 4 | Length of telson | 15 | 33 | 22.76 | 3.59 | 21 | 36 | 28.86 | 3.50 | 13 | 38 | 31.48 | 4.19 |
| 5 | 2nd abdominal pleural width | 11 | 27 | 17.64 | 3.32 | 11 | 26 | 22.24 | 3.11 | 19 | 31 | 25.23 | 2.57 |
| 6 | Length of ischium of 2 nd cheliped | 11 | 47 | 27.40 | 7.42 | 15 | 55 | 39.39 | 8.07 | 35 | 83 | 51.90 | 8.40 |
| 7 | Length of merus of 2nd cheliped | 15 | 69 | 29.46 | 9.24 | 18 | 85 | 49.37 | 14.66 | 51 | 96 | 76.68 | 13.11 |
| 8 | Length of carpus of 2 nd cheliped | 18 | 87 | 34.36 | 10.94 | 22 | 105 | 59.35 | 19.51 | 61 | 125 | 97.39 | 15.30 |
| 9 | Length of propodus of 2 nd cheliped | 24 | 140 | 62.64 | 22.43 | 44 | 190 | 115.29 | 34.13 | 130 | 225 | 179.94 | 22.93 |
| 10 | Length of dactylus of 2nd cheliped | 15 | 67 | 32.23 | 11.69 | 20 | 93 | 57.80 | 16.00 | 66 | 103 | 87.55 | 9.90 |
| 11 | Total length of 2 nd cheliped | 82 | 134 | 153.86 | 47.27 | 99 | 432 | 263.40 | 22.93 | 285 | 504 | 405.90 | 52.26 |

[^0]| SI.No. Measurement |  | Weak Orange Clawed Female <br> Waximurn (WOF) $n=8$ Mean SD |  |  |  | Strong Orange Clawed Females (SOF) $n=10$ |  |  |  | Transforming Orange Clawed Females (TOF) $n=49$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum ( mm ) | Maximum (mm) | Mean <br> (mm) | So | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mm) | Mean <br> (mm) | So | Minimum (mm) | Maximum ( mm ) | Mean (mm) | SD |
| 1 | Total length | 159 | 210 | 180.88 | 14.73 | 169 | 224 | 191.20 | 14.57 | 142 | 258 | 186.24 | 26.53 |
| 2 | Carapace tength | 42 | 54 | 47.38 | 4.27 | 47 | 83 | 57.80 | 9.46 | 38 | 76 | 50.96 | 8.87 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 60 | 74 | 68.00 | 4.95 | 62 | 96 | 75.30 | 9.41 | 51 | 96 | 70.02 | 10.37 |
| 4 | Length of telson | 18 | 24 | 21.13 | 1.69 | 19 | 26 | 22.40 | 1.85 | 16 | 33 | 22.02 | 3.50 |
| 5 | 2nd abdominal pleural width | 14 | 22 | 16.88 | 2.37 | 16 | 22 | 19.20 | 1.94 | 14 | 32 | 19.76 | 4.46 |
| 6 | Length of ischium of 2nd cheliped | 20 | 24 | 2225 | 1.39 | 21 | 27 | 23.70 | 2.10 | 18 | 36 | 23.55 | 4.24 |
| 7 | Length of merus of 2nd cheliped | 20 | 25 | 22.13 | 1.96 | 21 | 28 | 24.20 | 2.04 | 11 | 51 | 24.33 | 6.42 |
| 8 | Length of carpus of 2 nd cheliped | 22 | 30 | 27.13 | 2.47 | 27 | 36 | 30.80 | 2.60 | 20 | 49 | 30.04 | 5.89 |
| 9 | Length of propodus of 2 nd cheliped | 35 | 58 | 46.25 | 888 | 40 | 53 | 47.20 | 4.71 | 20 | 91 | 43.92 | 13.18 |
| 10 | Length of dactylus of 2nd cheliped | 16 | 26 | 21.38 | 381 | 17 | 25 | 21.60 | 2.58 | 12 | 43 | 20.94 | $\begin{array}{r}6.74 \\ \hline 26.89\end{array}$ |
| 11 | Total length of 2nd cheliped | 97 | 137 | 117.75 | 13.28 | 112 | 138 | 125.90 | 8.69 | 75 | 216 | 121.84 | 26.89 |

Table 1.1.5. Minimum, maximum and standard deviation of various morphometric

| SI.No. | Measurements | Weak Blue Clawed Female <br> (WBF) $n=60$ |  |  |  |  | Strong Blue Clawed Females (SBF) $n=62$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{(m m)}{\substack{\text { Maximum }}}$ | Minimum (mm) | Mean (mm) | sD | Maximum (mm) | Minimum (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | sD |
| 1 | Total length | 115 | 242 | 195.55 | 24.42 | 152 | 266 | 209.45 | 23.65 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 29 | 72 | 53.57 | 8.36 | 40 | 77 | 59.19 | 8.02 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 34 | 89 | 70.78 | 10.86 | 56 | 94 | 75.89 | 852 |
| 4 | Length of telson | 14 | 28 | 22.90 | 2.72 | 17 | 31 | 24.39 | 2.60 |
| 5 | 2 nd abdominal pleural width | 11 | 29 | 21.77 | 4.39 | 16 | 32 | 2513 | 3.63 |
| 6 | Length of ischium of 2 nd cheliped | 14 | 33 | 24.83 | 4.04 | 18 | 41 | 27.23 | 4.76 |
| 7 | Length of merus of 2nd cheliped | 16 | 35 | 25.72 | 4.26 | 19 | 42 | 28.63 | 4.57 |
|  | Length of carpus of 2nd cheliped | 17 | 43 | 32.15 | 5.29 | 23 | 51 | 36.05 | 5.59 |
| $\stackrel{8}{10}$ | Length of propodus of 2nd cheliped | 30 | 71 | 50.58 | 10.02 | 41 | 87 | 57.21 | 9.68 |
| 10 | Length of dactylus of 2nd cheliped | 13 | 39 | 23.55 | 5.60 | 18 | 44 | 27.27 | 5.86 |
| 11 | Total length of 2nd cheliped | 82 | 180 | 133.28 | 21.85 | 105 | 221 | 149.11 | 23.01 |

Table 1.2.1 Minimum, maximum and mean of different ratios worked out with varicus morphometrit measurements

|  |  | Small Males <br> (SM) |  | Weak Orange Clawed Males <br> (WOC) |  |  | Strong Orange Clawed Males (SOC) |  |  | Pretransforming Orange Clawed Males(t-SOC) |  |  | Weak Blue Clawed Males (WBC) |  |  | Strong Blue Clawed Males (SBC) |  |  | Old Blue Clawed Males <br> (OBC) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratos | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean |
| CITTL | 0.241 | 0.277 | C 261 | 0.205 | 0.593 | 0.278 | 0.257 | 0.318 | 0.287 | 0.235 | 0.415 | 0.281 | 0.188 | 0.348 | 0.250 | 0.251 | 0.357 | 0.302 | 0.264 | 0.338 | 0.314 |
| RUTL, | 0.359 | 0.404 | C.374. | 0.283 | 0.722 | 0.379 | 0.344 | 0.418 | 0.373 | 0.242 | 0.575 | 0.375 | 0.283 | 0.511 | 0.376 | 0.317 | 0.413 | 0.373 | 0.333 | 0.428 | 0.371 |
| TELSTL | 0.115 | 0.185 | 0.130 | 0.051 | 0.222 | 0.115 | 0.108 | 0.125 | 0.115 | 0.093 | 0.179 | 0.118 | 0.085 | 0.133 | 0.117 | 0.093 | 0.142 | 0.114 | 0.045 | 0.133 | 0.110 |
| PLTL | 0.078 | 0.095 | 0.088 | 0.610 | 0.167 | 0.090 | 0.077 | 0.128 | 0.091 | 0.083 | 0.142 | 0.089 | 0.065 | 0.119 | 0.090 | 0.055 | 0.102 | 0.088 | 0.070 | 0.087 | 0.088 |
| $21 / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.840 | 0.125 | 0.114 | 0.101 | 0.241 | 0.133 | 0.116 | 0.181 | 0.138 | 0.080 | 0.252 | B. 140 | 0.082 | 0.221 | 0.139 | 0.750 | 0.210 | 0.155 | 0.141 | 0.285 | 0.181 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.088 | 0.129 | 0.117 | 0.113 | 0.250 | 0.138 | 0.123 | 0.190 | 0.149 | 0.092 | 0.400 | 0.150 | 0.104 | 0.294 | 0.149 | 0.090 | 0.321 | 0.194 | 0.188 | 0.318 | 0.288 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.102 | 0.154 | 0.141 | 0.132 | 0.278 | 0.164 | 0.135 | 0.23 | 0.175 | 0.418 | 0.200 | 0.178 | 0.120 | 0.370 | 0.173 | 0.111 | 0.400 | 0.233 | 0.225 | 0.428 | 0.314 |
| 2 OTL | 0.152 | 0.266 | 0.200 | 0.148 | 0.430 | 0.288 | 0.251 | 0.464 | 0.342 | 0.134 | 0.881 | 0.302 | 0.113 | 0.598 | 0.318 | 0.210 | 0.689 | 0.452 | 0.488 | 0.731 | 0.629 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.068 | 0.122 | 0.094 | 0085 | 0.315 | 0.145 | 0.116 | 0.263 | 0.170 | 0.074 | 0.413 | 0.163 | 0.085 | 0.313 | 0.162 | 0.101 | 0.337 | 0.227 | 0.248 | 0.357 | 0.306 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.463 | 0.668 | 0.571 | 0.582 | 1.398 | 0.728 | 0.845 | 1.047 | 0.606 | 0.428 | 2.013 | 0.770 | 0.476 | 1.460 | 0.777 | 0.497 | 1.589 | 1.035 | 1.050 | 1.638 | 1.418 |
| RUCL | 0.317 | 1.643 | 1.438 | 1088 | 1.905 | 1.368 | 1.146 | 1.685 | 1.304 | 1.048 | 1.732 | 1.340 | 1052 | 1.854 | 1.349 | 1090 | 1.580 | 1.244 | 1.000 | 1389 | 1.186 |
| TELCL | 0.432 | 0.855 | 0498 | 0.183 | 0.618 | 0.428 | 0.348 | 0.468 | 0.405 | 0.324 | 0.538 | 0.421 | 0.333 | 0.538 | 0.420 | 0.304 | 0.474 | 0.381 | 0.141 | 0.423 | 0.352 |
| PLCL | 0.310 | 0.370 | 0.343 | 0.284 | 0.429 | 0.324 | 0.268 | 0.421 | 0.318 | 0.231 | 0.473 | 0.318 | 0.250 | 0.426 | 0.324 | 0.220 | 0.358 | 0.282 | 0.224 | 0.394 | 0.281 |
| 2 NCL | 0.356 | 0486 | 0437 | 0.328 | 0.687 | 0.482 | 0.402 | 0.674 | 0.488 | 0.289 | 0.892 | 0.499 | C 244 | 0.793 | 0.495 | 0.300 | 0.098 | 0.515 | 0.429 | 0.933 | 0.578 |
| 2N/CL | 0.343 | 0.500 | 0.450 | 0.379 | 0.833 | 0.500 | 0.455 | 0.634 | 0.520 | 0.333 | 1.415 | 0.533 | 0.375 | 1.015 | 0.531 | 0.380 | 1.113 | 0.644 | 0.588 | 1.082 | 0.856 |
| 2 CHCL | 0.374 | 0.600 | 0549 | 0.414 | 0.852 | 0.595 | 0.512 | 0768 | 0.611 | 0.358 | 1.769 | 0.633 | 0.383 | 1.278 | 0.622 | 0.440 | $+333$ | 0.772 | 0.670 | +. 368 | 1.087 |
| 2p/CL | 0.573 0.266 | 1.000 0.459 | 0.785 0.389 | 0.608 | 1.342 0.687 | 1.041 0.522 | 0.945 | 1.548 | 1.184 | 0.469 | 3.048 | 1.072 | C. 528 | 2.050 | 1.126 | 0.744 | 2.408 | 1488 | 1.463 | 2440 | 2008 |
| ${ }_{2}^{2 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{CL}}$ | 0.266 1.745 | 0.459 2.514 | 0.384 2.193 | 0345 1845 | 0.687 3.181 | 0.522 2.618 | 0.418 2.428 | 0.678 3.500 | 0.588 2.802 | 0.267 | 1.154 | 0.573 | 0.327 | 1.121 | 0.578 | 0.372 | 1.076 | 0.748 | 0.738 | 1.133 | 0.878 |
| 2CHICL | 1.745 | 2.514 | 2.193 | 1845 | 3.181 | 2.618 | 2.428 | 3.500 | 2.802 | 1.558 | 7.123 | 2.737 | 1.875 | 5044 | 2.778 | 1.980 | 5.465 | 3.428 | 3.143 | 5320 | 4.527 |
| RU2cL | 2.377 | 3.667 | 2.895 | 1718 | 3520 | 2.325 | 1.667 | 3.095 | 2.167 | 0.913 | 3.838 | 2.187 | 1.023 | 3.423 | 2.238 | 0.824 | 3.581 | 1.731 | 0.918 | 1.623 | 1.118 |
| TEL/2cl | 0.762 | 1.333 | 0.035 | 0306 | +083 | 0.727 | 0.482 | 0.857 | 0.671 | 0.294 | 1.188 | 0.887 | 0.333 | 1.043 | 0.686 | 0.280 | 1.000 | 0.527 | 0.127 | 0.508 | 0.332 |
| PL/2cl | 0.583 | 0.817 | 0.644 | 0.375 | 0783 | 0.551 | 0.581 | 0.714 | 0.529 | 0.178 | 0.875 | 0.521 | 0218 | 0.828 | 0.536 | 0.207 | 0.561 | 0.402 | 0.155 | 0.428 | 0.268 |
| 2i/2cl | 0.724 | 1.167 | 0.818 | 0.584 | 1.000 | 0.813 | 0.687 | 1.038 | 0.789 | 0.448 | 1.130 | 0.801 | 0.478 | 1.191 | 0.808 | 0488 | 0.853 | 0.691 | 0.372 | 0.788 | 0.541 |
| 2ri/2cL | 0.579 1.300 | 1250 2.250 | 0.844 1.432 | 0.538 0.750 | 1053 2267 | 0.844 1.768 | 0.694 | 0.917 2.497 | 0.854 | 0.522 | 1.217 | 0.848 | 0.722 | 1.743 | 0.865 | 0.515 | 1.023 | 0.842 | 0.538 | 0.815 | 0.791 |
| 20/2cl | 0.48 : | 1.167 | 0.877 | 0.438 | 1211 | 1.768 0.883 | 1.444 0.839 | 2.417 1.195 | 1.850 0.863 | 0.478 | 2.826 1.435 | 1.723 | 0800 | 2.957 | 1.827 | 0.867 | 2.500 | 1.877 | 1.496 | 2.296 | 1.888 |
| 2CH/2cl | 3.545 | 5.687 | 4.092 | 3.325 | 5237 | 4425 | 3.808 | 5.073 | 4.603 | 0.343 2.448 | 1.435 0.174 | 0.818 4.373 | 0571 3.387 | 1.913 6.217 | 0.937 4.500 | 0.483 3.533 | 1.286 5.405 | 9.001 4.509 | 0.852 3.577 | 1.288 4.915 | 0.914 4.200 |
| RL2mL | 2.888 | 4.500 | 3.227 | 2050 | 3.887 | 2758 | 2.019 | 3.425 | 2.534 | 1.141 | 4.200 | 2.575 | 1290 | 3.870 | 2.594 | 1.141 | 4.380 | 2057 | 1.088 | 1.063 | ¢ 424 |
| TEL2mL | 0.888 | 8.417 | 1.114 | 0387 | 1182 | 0.862 | 0.598 | 0.947 | 0.786 | 0.373 | 1.287 | 0.810 | 0.420 | 1.111 | 0.807 | 0.333 | 1.222 | 0826 | 0.171 | 0.611 | 0.422 |
| PL/2mL | 0.643 | 1.000 | 0.789 | 0.429 | 0.947 | 0654 | 0.482 | 0.800 | 0.620 | 0.224 | 0.944 | 0.615 | 0.275 | 0.864 | 0.862 | 0.262 | 0.648 | 0478 | 0.229 | 0.500 | 0.341 |
| 2i/2mL | 0.899 | 1.417 | 0.977 | 0888 | 1.180 | 0.865 | 0.814 | 1.281 | 0.838 | 0.830 | 1.540 | 0.945 | 0.440 | 1.200 | 0.944 | 0.657 | 1.000 | 0824 | 0.443 | 1.000 | 0.691 |
| 2c/2mL | 0.800 | 1.750 | 1.210 | 0.850 | 1857 | 1.191 | 1.091 | 1.440 | 1.175 | 0.821 | 1.914 | 1.186 | 0.574 | 1.385 | 1.170 | 0.827 | 1.843 | 1.108 | 1.093 | 1.857 | $+283$ |
| 2p/2mL | 1.302 0.580 | 2.687 | 1.712 0.808 | 0857 0700 | 2518 1421 | 2.098 1048 | 1.928 | 2.698 1.413 | 2.279 | 0.814 | 2780 | 2.028 | 1037 | 2.649 | 2.125 | 0.184 | 3.245 | 2.359 | 1.924 | 3.428 | 2.384 |
| 2CH/2mL | 4.461 | 1.333 6.833 | 0.808 4.889 | 0700 3800 | 1421 8238 | 1.048 5.238 | 0.020 5.045 | 1.413 5.783 | 1.125 5.390 | 0.857 3.938 | 1.552 6.122 | 1.078 5.157 | 0.838 3184 | 1.780 5.892 | 1.085 5.239 | 0.592 4.321 | 1.476 7.113 | 1.191 5378 | 0.937 4.808 | 1.625 7.143 | 1.188 5.358 |

[^1]Table 1.2.2. Minimum, maximum and mean of different ratios warked out with various morphometric measurements

|  | Weak Orange Clawed Fema'es (WOF) |  |  | Strong Orange Clawed Females (SOF) |  |  | Transforming Orange Clawed Females (TOF) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Weak Blue Clawed } \\ & \text { Females } \\ & \text { (WBF) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Strong Blue Clawed Females <br> (SBF) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ralos | Min | Max | Mas | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Mn | Max | Mean | MIn | Max | Mea |
| clit | 0.248 | 0.280 | 0282 | 0277 | 0.458 | 0303 | 0.240 | 0.312 | 0.273 | 0240 | 0.298 | 0.273 | 0249 | 0.341 | 0.282 |
| RUTL | 0.348 | 0.394 | 0374 | 0.354 | 0.429 | 0.383 | 0327 | 0402 | 0.378 | 0301 | 0480 | 0.370 | 0286 | 0.421 | 0.364 |
| TELTL | 0.112 | 0.122 | 0.117 | 0.111 | 0.128 | 0.117 | 0.097 | 0.187 | 0.118 | 0.108 | 0.128 | 0.117 | 0.105 | 0.135 | 0.117 |
| PLTL | 0.086 | 0.105 | 0.093 | 0.050 | 0.119 | 0.100 | 0.079 | 0.134 | 0.105 | 0.820 | 0.144 | 0.111 | 0.101 | 0.138 | 0. 120 |
| $21 \pi \mathrm{~L}$ | 0.100 | 0.133 | 0.124 | 0.094 | 0.144 | 0.125 | 0.100 | 0.153 | 0.126 | 0.820 | 0.150 | 0.127 | 0.095 | 0.165 | 0.129 |
| 2 mTL | 0.950 | 0.134 | -123 | 0.100 | 0.139 | 0.127 | 0.065 | 0.244 | ${ }^{0.129}$ | 0.820 | ${ }^{0.162}$ | - 0.131 | 0.106 | 0.159 0.200 | ${ }^{0.136}$ |
|  | 0.118 | 0.170 | 0.151 | 0.153 | 0.178 | 0.161 | 0.100 | 0.184 | 0.161 | 0.100 | 0.203 | 0.164 | 0.142 | 0.200 | 0.172 |
| 2 p TL | 0211 | 0312 | 0254 | 0.222 | 0.278 | 0.247 | 0.113 | 0.360 | 0.233 | 0.174 | 0.358 | 0.258 | 0.205 | 0.368 | 0273 |
| 2 d T | 0.094 | 0.140 | 0.118 | 0.100 | 0132 | 0.113 | 0.071 | 0.170 | 0.110 | 0.083 | 0.255 | 0.200 | 0.091 | 0.200 | 0.130 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} \pi \mathrm{L}$ | 0.571 | 0.737 | 0.651 | 0.618 | 0.738 | 0.850 | 0.446 | 0.854 | 0.848 | 0.478 | 0.837 | 0.880 | 0.59 | 0.859 | 0.710 |
| RUCL | 1.315 | 1.5 | 1.439 | 1.038 | 1.548 | 1.375 | 1.132 | 1.874 | +.383 | 1.082 | 1.560 | 1359 | 1.027 | 1.488 | 1.293 |
| TEUCL | 0.407 | 0.489 | 0.447 | 0.265 | 0.434 | 0.394 | 0.372 | 0.589 | 0.435 | 0.379 | 0.512 | 0.431 | 0349 | 0.483 | 0.414 |
| PUCL | 0.296 | 0.415 | 0.358 | 0.217 | 0.370 | 0.338 | 0.318 | 0.488 | 0.387 | 0.310 | 0.538 | 0.426 | 0360 | 0.490 | 0.425 |
| $2 / \mathrm{CL}$ | 0.386 | 0.523 | 0.472 | 0289 | 0.509 | 0.419 | 0.355 | 0.578 | 0.484 | 0.298 | 0.545 | 0.465 | 0.305 | 0.540 | 0.460 |
| 2 miCL | 0.377 | 0.510 | 0.469 | 0.289 | 0.491 | 0.427 | 0.250 | 0.885 | 0.475 | 0.340 | 0.660 | 0.481 | 0.037 | 0.593 | 0.484 |
| 20 CL | 0472 | ${ }^{0.843}$ | 0.575 | ${ }^{0.348}$ | 0.623 | 0.543 | 0.368 | 0.688 | 0.580 | 0.362 | 0.771 | 0.604 | 0.524 | 0.729 | 0.610 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 0788 | 1.184 | 0.871 | 0.554 | 0.881 | 0831 | 0.455 | 1.197 | 0.851 | 0.64 D | 1.349 | 0.845 | 0.730 | 1.316 | 0.868 |
| 2 dCL | 0347 | 0.531 | 0.450 | 0.253 | 0.472 | 0380 | 0.273 | 0.588 | 0.403 | ${ }^{0} 308$ | 0888 | 0.440 | 0328 | 0.718 | 0460 |
| 2 CHCL | 2.256 | 2.788 | 2.487 | 1.482 | 2.804 | 2.220 | 1.702 | 2.842 | 2.380 | $\uparrow .760$ | 3.188 | 2.485 | 2.017 | 3.088 | 2522 |
| RL2cL | 2.258 | 2.920 | 2.519 | 2.219 | 2.988 | 2.444 | 1.959 | 3.618 | 2361 | 1.810 | 3.847 | 2278 | 1.590 | 2800 | 2135 |
| TEL2CL | 0.667 | 0.880 | 0.785 | 0.658 | 0.800 | 0.728 | 0.592 | 1.190 | 0.744 | 0.588 | 1.059 | 0.722 | 0.550 | 0.800 | 0.883 |
| PLIzcl | 0.159 | 0.880 | ${ }^{0.628}$ | 0.531 | 0.700 | 0.824 | 0.493 | 1.238 | 0.682 | 0.488 | 1.045 | 0880 | 0.600 | 0.857 | 0.700 |
| 2 i 2 cL | 0.778 | 0.909 | 0.823 | 0.593 | 0900 | 0775 | 0.600 | 1.524 | 0.794 | 0.595 | 0.929 | 0.777 | 0.583 | 0933 | 0.756 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / 2 \mathrm{CL}$ | 0.741 | 0.809 | 0.817 | 0638 | 0.887 | 0.788 | 0.550 | 2429 | 0817 | 0.568 | 1824 | 0.808 | 0.687 | 0.986 | 0.785 |
| 2p/2cL | 1.333 | 2.180 | 1.702 | 1429 | 1.825 | 1.531 | 0.980 | 2.000 | 1.451 | 1.162 | 2178 | 1578 | 1.200 | 2000 | 1.593 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 0.583 | 1.000 | 0.780 | 0.630 | 0.781 | 0.700 | 0.483 | 1.180 | 0.688 | 0.533 | 1444 | 0.735 | 0467 | 1.025 | 0.756 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{CL}$ | 3.852 | 4.800 | 4.342 | 3. ө33 | 0.430 | 4.094 | 3.278 | 6.952 | 4.062 | 3.378 | 5.824 | 4.165 | 3.622 | 4.828 | 4.145 |
| RLI2mL | 2.840 | 3.850 | 3.085 | 2789 | 3.714 | 3.115 | 1.480 | 5.000 | 2.865 | 2000 | 4.058 | 2.841 | 21 | 3.550 |  |
| TEL2mL | 0840 | 1.200 | 0.981 | 0.888 | 1.143 | 0.829 | 0.490 | 1.818 | 0.934 | 0581 | ${ }^{1} 1294$ | 0.802 | 0710 | 1.080 | 0.869 |
| PL2mL | 0.640 | 1.100 | 0.781 | 0.788 | ${ }^{1.048}$ | 0797 | 0.510 | ${ }_{1}^{1435}$ | 0.828 | 0.548 | ${ }^{1} 1.353$ | 0.849 | 0.750 | ${ }^{000}$ | 0.882 |
| 21.2 mL | 0.957 | 1.050 | 1.008 | 0.750 | ${ }^{1.048}$ | 0.883 | 0.827 | 1.836 | 0.992 | 0452 | 1.150 | 0.972 | 0.750 | 1.067 | 0.950 |
| 2d/2mL | 1100 | 1.350 | 1.227 | 1.154 | 1.517 | 1.277 | 0.412 | 4.818 | 1.285 | 0.548 | 1.762 | 1.260 | 1.036 | 1.500 | 1.263 |
| 2p/2mL | 1698 | 2700 | 2.085 | 1.769 | 2.524 | 1.958 | 0.824 | 2364 | 1.818 | 1.194 | 2.417 | 1.968 | 1.459 | 2.750 | 2007 |
| 20/2mL | 0739 | 1.250 | 0985 | 0.773 | 1.143 | 0.895 | 0.490 | ${ }^{1.108}$ | 0.8 | 0484 | 1.898 | 0.918 | 0.5 | 1.323 | 52 |
| A | 4.850 | 8.000 | 5320 | 4.828 | 6.14 | 5.21 | 2.883 | 6.818 | 5.075 | 3194 | 8.050 | 5.188 | 4.613 |  | 5.220 |

[^2]Table 1.3.1 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient (b) and correlation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of maie morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergi


Table 1.32 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and cortelation coefficient (r) of
different morphometric characters of maie morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Relationship | Strong Orange Clawed Males df $=22$ |  |  | Pre-transforming Strong Orange Clawed Males df $=107$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regression Comstant a | Regression Coefficient b | Correlation Coefficient $\Gamma$ | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient b | Correlation Coefficient $T$ |
| TL $\times$ CL | -16.154 | 0.366 | 0.983 | -8.336 | 0.323 | 0.930 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | -4.016 | 0.392 | 0.982 | 2.475 | 0.362 | 0.899 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 1.709 | 0.107 | 0.927 | 3.053 | 0.103 | 0.878 |
| TL× Pl | -1.234 | 0.097 | 0.979 | 2.949 | 0.074 | 0.758 |
| TL× ${ }^{2} \mathrm{i}$ | -6.332 | 0.170 | 0.908 | -11.077 | 0.195 | 0.843 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -17.424 | 0.234 | 0.966 | -18.721 | 0.244 | 0.742 |
| TL $\times 20$ | -21.240 | 0.279 | 0.946 | -21.401 | 0.285 | 0.682 |
| TL $\times 2 p$ | -63.257 | 0.649 | 0.934 | -45.523 | 0.530 | 0.641 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -41.502 | 0.372 | 0.935 | -35.765 | 0.342 | 0.807 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -108.242 | 1.332 | 0.966 | -96.721 | 1.254 | 0.750 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 15.883 | 1.030 | 0.960 | 17.986 | 1.015 | 0.875 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times$ Tel | 7.426 | 0.277 | 0.939 | 7.560 | 0.285 | 0.848 |
| CL $\times$ PI | 3.847 | 0.253 | 0.851 | 6.019 | 0.210 | 0.744 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 2.790 | 0.438 | 0.822 | -1.400 | 0.525 | 0.778 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -5.385 | 0.612 | 0.940 | -8.926 | 0.694 | 0.734 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -6.025 | 0.715 | 0.902 | -9.348 | 0.801 | 0.669 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 31.823 | 1.730 | 0.958 | -29.964 | 1.609 | 0.676 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -23.099 | 0.984 | 0.920 | -23.650 | 1.004 | 0.821 |
| CL. $\times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | -40.443 | 3.495 | 0.943 | -49637 | 3.628 | 0.754 |
| RL $\times$ Tel | 3.461 | 0.265 | 0.965 | -5.574 | 0.241 | 0.831 |
| RL. $\times$ PI | 0.137 | 0.243 | 0.878 | 5.483 | 0.165 | 0.679 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -2.886 | 0.412 | 0.880 | -7.023 | 0.469 | 0.806 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -13.300 | 0.525 | 0.978 | -17.128 | 0.630 | 0.773 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -16.101 | 0.682 | 0.924 | -19.865 | 0.741 | 0.718 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -51.685 | 1.594 | 0.947 | -35.028 | 1.278 | 0.623 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -35.472 | 0.920 | 0.924 | -31.593 | 0.858 | 0.816 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{cht}$ | -83.972 | 3.252 | 0.945 | -79.045 | 3.117 | 0.752 |
| Telx PI | -2.520 | 0.897 | 0889 | 3.300 | 0.617 | 0.736 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -8.991 | 1583 | 0.829 | -10.553 | 1.640 | 0.818 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -19.389 | 2.112 | 0.956 | -22.840 | 2.244 | 0.799 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -24.225 | 2.542 | 0.946 | -28.573 | 2.724 | 0.765 |
| Tel $\times 2 p$ | -65.755 | 5.740 | 0.937 | -59.476 | 5.089 | 0.720 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -43.407 | 3.305 | 0.912 | -38.374 | 3.015 | 0.832 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -118.360 | 11.978 | 0.530 | -12.443 | 11.696 | 0.818 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 3.531 | 1.350 | 0.800 | 2.652 | 1.444 | 0.604 |
| $\mathrm{Pi} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -2.883 | 1.811 | 0.827 | -2.070 | 1.826 | 0.545 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -5.529 | 2.242 | 0.841 | -2.933 | 2.193 | 0.516 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -18.597 | 4.806 | 0.791 | -45.374 | 5.965 | 0.707 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -15.150 | 2.712 | 0.754 | -15.636 | 2.739 | 0.633 |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | -23.438 | 10.209 | 0.820 | -47.726 | 11.428 | 0.670 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -5.220 | 1.262 | 0.973 | -6.202 | 1.292 | 0.922 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -7.631 | 1.534 | 0.972 | -8.576 | 1.575 | 0.887 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -25.839 | 3.381 | 0.942 | -7.366 | 2.430 | 0689 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -22.205 | 2.007 | 0.943 | - 73.476 | 1.647 | 0911 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -38.690 | 7.177 | 0.973 | -22.147 | 6296 | 0.983 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -0.720 | 1.199 | 0.985 | -1.030 | 1.220 | 0.962 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -13.070 | 2.718 | 0.980 | 1.377 | 1.976 | 0.785 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -14.262 | 1.602 | 0.976 | -3.283 | 1.202 | 0.931 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | -8.279 | 5.667 | 0.996 | 8.757 | 4.853 | 0.954 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -7.560 | 2.166 | 0.950 | 9189 | 1.453 | 0.732 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -11.259 | 1.283 | 0.951 | 1409 | 0.885 | 0.870 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 0.297 | 4.592 | 0.982 | 22.702 | 3711 | 0.924 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -6.131 | 0.584 | 0.986 | 6.698 | 0.435 | 0.849 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 22.974 | 2.032 | 0.991 | 41.712 | 1.876 | 0.928 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | 47.038 | 3.409 | 0.984 | 32.307 | 3.736 | 0.947 |
| TL- Yotal leng: CL-Carapace RL-Rostral len Tel- Length of PI - Pleural ma | ngth | 2 i - length of 2 m -length of 2 c -length of 2 p -length of 2 d -length of | schium of 2nd merus of 2nd carpus of 2 nd propodus of 2 dactylus of $2 n$ | ped | 2 ch - Length | of 2nd cheliped |

Table 1.3.3 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and comelation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Relationship | Weak Blue Clawed Males of $=102$ |  |  | Strong Blue Clawed Males $\mathrm{df}=51$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regression Constant a | Regression Confficiont b | Correlation Copeficiont r | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient b | Corretation coefficient $r$ |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{CL}$ | -1.246 | 0.287 | 0.907 | -15.397 | 0.363 | 0.871 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 7.512 | 0.336 | 0.924 | -0.450 | 0.375 | 0.870 |
| TL $\times$ Tel | 2.553 | 0.103 | 0.930 | -2.686 | 0.125 | 0.846 |
| TL $\times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 0.125 | 0.091 | 0.884 | 4.007 | 0.104 | 0.792 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -11.279 | 0.119 | 0.860 | -22.344 | 0.245 | 0.718 |
| $\pi \times 2 m$ | -17.718 | 0.242 | 0844 | -39.445 | 0.382 | 0.569 |
| $\pi \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -17.397 | 0.565 | 0.782 | -55.954 | 0.457 | 0.554 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -33.379 | 0.492 | 0.707 | -111.308 | 0.898 | 0.623 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -23.559 | 0.286 | 0.788 | -60.521 | 0.469 | 0.693 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -79.720 | 1.196 | 0.816 | -229.051 | 1.551 | 0.634 |
| $C L \times R L$ | 18.270 | 1.003 | 0.871 | 32.945 | 0.804 | 0.777 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 5.645 | 0.313 | 0.888 | 8.503 | 0.267 | 0.754 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 2.514 | 0.276 | 0.849 | 3.080 | 0.251 | 0.798 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -3.315 | 0.561 | 0.770 | -1.190 | 0.532 | 0.651 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -12.536 | 0.768 | 0.846 | -9.212 | 0.768 | 0.518 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$. | -8.363 | 0.781 | 0.727 | -18.997 | 1.027 | 0.520 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -28.733 | 1.670 | 0.758 | -47.511 | 2.134 | 0.618 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -17.803 | 0.914 | 0.796 | -29.825 | 1.149 | 0.708 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -52.947 | 3.780 | 0.814 | -76.910 | 4.461 | 0.604 |
| RL $\times$ Tel | 2.559 | 0.276 | 0.902 | 5.169 | 0.251 | 0.734 |
| RL $\times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 0.951 | 0.228 | 0.807 | 4.689 | 0.186 | 0.611 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -9.297 | 0.502 | 0.792 | -10.023 | 0.524 | 0.663 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -16.918 | 0.634 | 0.805 | -24.720 | 0.784 | 0.548 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -15.003 | 0.675 | 0.724 | -37.184 | 1.624 | 0.536 |
| RL $\times 2 p$ | -30.485 | 0.273 | 0.665 | -52.598 | 1.781 | 0.533 |
| RL $\times 20$ | -21.268 | 0.738 | 0.740 | -25.424 | 0.883 | 0.563 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -71.702 | 3.083 | 0.765 | -127.525 | 4.116 | 0.576 |
| Tel $\times$ Pl | 0.208 | 0.766 | 0.829 | 6.017 | 0.562 | 0.632 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -10.367 | 0.659 | 0.802 | -6.570 | 1.592 | 0.690 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -19.729 | 2.161 | 0.840 | -19.154 | 2374 | 0.567 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -17.506 | 2.278 | 0.748 | -37.936 | 3.371 | 0.604 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -36.920 | 4.337 | 0.694 | -54.035 | 5.867 | 0.601 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -24.409 | 2.487 | 0.764 | -28.577 | 2.993 | 0.654 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -83694 | 10.435 | 0.792 | -117.695 | 13.204 | 0.633 |
| PI $\times 2 i$ | -3.654 | 1.761 | 0.786 | 3.445 | 1.617 | 0.623 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -6.787 | 2.055 | 0.738 | -1.508 | 2.288 | 0.486 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -5.272 | 2.247 | 0.681 | -5.786 | 2.930 | 0.467 |
| Pf $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -24.318 | 4.930 | 0.729 | -33.510 | 6.692 | 0.610 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -11.937 | 2.504 | 0.710 | -23.953 | 3.677 | 0.714 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 40.030 | 10.993 | 0.771 | -37.359 | 13.527 | 0.577 |
| 2i $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.599 | 1.053 | 0.847 | -10.647 | 1.524 | 0.839 |
| $2 \mathrm{x} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -2.609 | 1.349 | 0.916 | -19.059 | 1.991 | 0.823 |
| $2 \mathrm{l} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -2.430 | 2.375 | 0.786 | -12.326 | 3.241 | 0.776 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -2.001 | 1.249 | 0.793 | -0.415 | 1.554 | 0.783 |
| 2i $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -4.440 | 5.777 | 0.907 | -42.080 | 7.755 | 0.858 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 2.441 | 1.085 | 0.915 | -2.705 | 1.287 | 0.944 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 3.491 | 2.008 | 0.826 | 14.248 | 2.047 | 0.819 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -0.415 | 1.108 | 0.875 | 15.748 | 2.852 | 0.780 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 13.248 | 4.773 | 0.932 | 28.121 | 4.766 | 0.958 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 2.723 | 1.774 | 0850 | 22.300 | 1.567 | 0.896 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{c}^{\circ}$ | 0.471 | 0.924 | 0.864 | 20.552 | 0.628 | 0.765 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | 11.634 | 4139 | 0.958 | 48.780 | 3.616 | 0.968 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 3.306 | 0.462 | 0.886 | 10.925 | 0.407 | 0867 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | 27.678 | 2.015 | 0.956 | 24.660 | 2.071 | 0.967 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 34.596 | 3.703 | 0.916 | 38.697 | 3.888 | 0.854 |
| TL- Total length |  | 2 i -length of ischium of 2 nd cheiped |  |  | 2chJ- Length of 2rd cheliped |  |
| CL-Carapace length |  | 2 m -length of merus of 2nd creliped |  |  |  |  |
| RL-Rostral leng |  | 2 c - length of carpus of 2nd cne eiped |  |  |  |  |
| Tel-Length of telson 2 |  | 2 p -length of propodus of 2nd cheliped |  |  |  |  |
| Pl- Pleural widt |  | 2 d -length of dactylus of 2 nd cheliped |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.3.4 Values of interoept (a), slope (regression coefficient (b) and correiation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Old Blue $\mathrm{df}=31$ <br> Regression coefficient b | lawed Males <br> Correlation <br> Coefficient <br> r |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TL $\times$ CL | -3.422 | 0.326 | 0.795 |  |
| TL $\times$ RL | 12.439 | 0.328 | 0.757 |  |
| TL $\times$ Tei | 5.558 | 0.091 | 0.421 |  |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -0.867 | 0.091 | 0.691 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -8.202 | 0.21 | 0.488 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 8.919 | 0.237 | 0.352 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 20.178 | 0.27 | 0.344 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -31.042 | 0.738 | 0.627 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -11.269 | 0.347 | 0.684 |  |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -10.148 | 1.455 | 0.542 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 47.741 | 0.65 | 0.617 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times$ Tel | 13.345 | 0.202 | 0.385 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 7.678 | 0.195 | 0.606 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 11.101 | 0.454 | 0.423 |  |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 22.173 | 0.606 | 0.370 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 36.854 | 0.624 | 0.352 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 35.037 | 0.612 | 0.562 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 18.509 | 0.764 | 0.621 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{cht}$ | 105.169 | 3.346 | 0.512 |  |
| RL $\times$ Tel | 6.916 | 0.231 | 0.446 |  |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{PI}$ | 17.213 | 0.104 | 0.340 |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 13.531 | 0.616 | 0.619 |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 1.738 | 0.706 | 0.454 |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 12.393 | 0.8 | 0.441 |  |
| RL $\times 20$ | 19.501 | 1.515 | 0.557 |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 23.649 | 0.602 | 0.513 |  |
| RL. $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 19.601 | 3.638 | 0.581 |  |
| Tel $\times$ P9 | 20.500 | 0.15 | 0.244 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 31.380 | 0.652 | 0.325 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 47.157 | 0.938 | 0.300 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 72.825 | 0.78 | 0.214 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 144.828 | 0.956 | 0.125 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 79.485 | 0.257 | 0.109 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | 301.190 | 0.326 | 0.267 |  |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 36.347 | 0.617 | 0.189 |  |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 87.207 | -0.417 | 0.082 |  |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 110.500 | -0.5 | 0.084 |  |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 133.707 | 1.833 | 0.206 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 49.706 | 1.5 | 0.390 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 367.260 | 1.532 | 0.075 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 41.097 | 0.686 | 0.439 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 47.918 | 0.983 | 0.523 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 108.757 | 1.371 | 0.502 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 63.228 | 0.469 | 0.398 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 197.770 | 4.01 | 0.645 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 30.263 | 0.875 | 0.709 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 83.177 | 1.262 | 0.722 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 54.086 | 0.436 | 0.578 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | 143.773 | 3.419 | 0.858 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 61.668 | 1.214 | 0.810 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 48.128 | 0.405 | 0626 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 99.708 | 3.144 | 0.921 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 16.809 | 0.393 | 0.911 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 21.315 | 2.137 | 0.938 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 39.834 | 4.182 | 0.792 |  |
| TL- Total length |  | 2 i -length of ischium of 2nd cheliped |  | 2chi- Length of 2nd cheliped |
| CL-Carapace length |  | 2 m -length of merus of 2 nd cheliped |  |  |
| RL-Rostral leng |  | 2 c -length of carpus of 2nd cheliped |  |  |
| Tel-Length of te | Ison | 2 p -length of propodus of 2 nd cheliped |  |  |
| Pl- Pleural width |  | 2 d -length of dactylus of 2 nd cheliped |  |  |

Table 1.3.5. Values of intercept (a), slope (regression ocefficient, b) and correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) of different morphometric characters of female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Relationships | Weak Orange Clawed Females$\mathrm{df}=8$ |  |  | Strong Orange Clawed Females$d f=10$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient b | Correlation Coefficient r | Regression Coristant a | Regression Coefficient b | Corralation Coefticient r |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{CL}$ | 2.609 | 0.247 | 0.854 | 28.862 | 0.151 | 0.933 |
| $T L \times R L$ | 14.620 | 0.295 | 0.878 | -34.813 | 0.566 | 0.927 |
| TL $\times$ Te! | 1.720 | 0.107 | 0.934 | 0.593 | 0.114 | 0.896 |
| TL $\times$ PI | -10.011 | 0.149 | 0.924 | -1.922 | 0.110 | 0.830 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 17.949 | 0.024 | 0.252 | 32.199 | -0.044 | 0.308 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 16.065 | 0.034 | 0.251 | 1:.901 | $-0.064$ | 0.460 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 20.648 | 0.036 | 0.213 | 0.692 | 0.157 | 0.883 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -33.846 | 0.443 | 0.734 | 2.398 | 0.234 | 0.725 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -10.984 | 0.179 | 0.692 | -1.341 | 0.120 | 0.679 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 20.816 | 0.536 | 0.594 | 47.191 | 0.412 | 0.690 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 21.883 | 0.973 | 0.840 | 64.271 | 0.160 | 0.170 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 5.983 | 0.320 | 0.807 | 18.282 | 0.071 | 0.363 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -0.135 | 0.359 | 0.647 | 16.464 | 0.047 | 0.231 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 15.998 | 0.132 | 0.405 | 24.194 | 0.008 | 0.038 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 10.880 | 0.237 | 0.516 | 22.625 | 0.027 | 0.126 |
| $C L \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 15.880 | 0.237 | 0.410 | 28.696 | 0.036 | 0.132 |
| $C L \times 2 p$ | -32.424 | 1.661 | 0.798 | 40.656 | 0.113 | 0.228 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -9.112 | 0.644 | 0.722 | 17.973 | 0063 | 0.230 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 10.334 | 2.267 | 0.729 | 116.168 | 0.168 | 0.183 |
| RL $\times$ Tel | 3.084 | 0.265 | 0.777 | 9.416 | 0.177 | 0.848 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -6.023 | 0.337 | 0.704 | 6.772 | 0.169 | 0.776 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 10.454 | 0.173 | 0.617 | 26.436 | -0.037 | 0.158 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 4.778 | 0.225 | 0.643 | 13.534 | 0.145 | 0.633 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 6.309 | 0.306 | 0.613 | 11.324 | 0.265 | 0.907 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -54.709 | 1.485 | 0.827 | 2!.046 | 0.356 | 0.673 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -16.788 | 0.561 | 0.730 | 8.338 | 0.180 | 0.623 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | -33.168 | 2.219 | 0.827 | 72.340 | 0.729 | 0.747 |
| Telx Pl | - 10.022 | 1.273 | 0.909 | -1.767 | 0.936 | 0895 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 18.787 | 0.164 | 0.199 | 23.570 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 16.699 | 0.257 | 0.221 | 9.093 | 0.674 | 0.613 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 23.546 | 0.169 | 0.116 | 5.535 | 1.128 | 0.805 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -21.858 | 3.224 | 0.614 | 9.302 | 1.692 | 0.667 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -5.984 | 1.295 | 0.575 | 1.023 | 0.919 | 0.661 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 37.175 | 3.814 | 0.486 | 47.500 | 3.500 | 0.747 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 22.532 | -0.017 | 0.028 | 23.394 | 0.016 | 0.015 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 22.830 | -0.042 | 0.050 | 17.255 | 0.362 | 0.344 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 27.454 | -0.019 | 0.019 | 13.745 | 0.888 | 0.662 |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 16.072 | 4.788 | 0.477 | 24.936 | 1.160 | 0.478 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 9.953 | 0.677 | 0.421 | 9.447 | 0.633 | 0.476 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 88.889 | 1.710 | 0.305 | 79.330 | 2.426 | 0.544 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -6.226 | 1.274 | 0.903 | 16.354 | 0.331 | 0341 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -8.403 | 1.597 | 0.899 | 32.735 | -0.820 | 0.066 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -53.516 | 4.484 | 0.702 | 47.415 | -0.009 | 0.004 |
| $2 \mathrm{x} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -17.742 | 1.758 | 0.643 | 17.946 | 0.154 | 0.126 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -68.145 | 8.355 | 0.876 | 96.503 | 1.240 | 0.300 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 3.567 | 1.065 | 0.846 | 13.115 | 0.731 | 0.573 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -18.781 | 2.939. | 0.650 | 25.327 | 0.904 | 0.392 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -2.721 | 1.089 | 0.562 | 7.755 | 0.572 | 0.453 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -7.117 | 5.644 | 0.835 | 53.649 | 2.986 | 0.701 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -11.885 | 2.143 | 0.596 | -3.556 | 1.648 | 0.910 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 3.269 | 0.668 | 0.434 | 4.917 | 0.861 | 0.869 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 0.509 | 4.322 | 0.804 | 32.133 | 0.044 | 0.911 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 2.388 | 0.411 | 0.958 | -3.278 | 0527 | 0.963 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | 52.092 | 1.420 | 0.950 | 47.049 | 1.671 | 0.905 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | 52.680 | 3.044 | 0.892 | 59.018 | 3.096 | 0.918 |
| TL- Total length |  | 2 i -length of ischium of 2 nd cheliped |  |  | 2 chl - Length of 2nd cheliped |  |
| CL- Carapace length RL-Rostral length |  | 2 m -length of merus of 2 nd cheliped |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 c -length of carpus of 2 nd cheliped |  |  |  |  |
| Tel-Length of teison |  | 2 p -length of propodus of 2nd cheliped |  |  |  |  |
| PI- Pleural width |  | 2 d -length of dactylus of 2nd cheliped |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.3.6 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and correlation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Relationships | Transfor <br> Regression Constant a | ming Orang $d f=49$ <br> Regression Coefficient b | Clawed Females <br> Correlation <br> Coefficient r | Regression Constant a | Weak Blue $d f=60$ <br> Regression Coefficient b | Clawed Females <br> Correlation <br> coefficient <br> r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TL $\times$ CL | -8.499 | 0.319 | 0.955 | -10.994 | 0.330 | 0.964 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 0.193 | 0.375 | 0.959 | 21.910 | 0.250 | 0.562 |
| TL. $\times$ Tel | 1.102 | 0.112 | 0.851 | 2.154 | 0.106 | 0.951 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{Pr}$ | -7.472 | 0.146 | 0.870 | -8.642 | 0.156 | 0.864 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -2.004 | 0.137 | 0.858 | -3.038 | 0.143 | 0.861 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -12.335 | 0.197 | 0.813 | -3.411 | 0.149 | 0.853 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -6.364 | 0.197 | 0.880 | -2.081 | 0.175 | 0.808 |
| TL $\times 2 p$ | -31.905 | 0.407 | 0.820 | -11.285 | 0.316 | 0.771 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -22.504 | 0.233 | 0.918 | -4.291 | 0.142 | 0.621 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -52.608 | 0.937 | 0.924 | -19.815 | 0.783 | 0.875 |
| $C L \times R L$ | 15.992 | 1.060 | 0.906 | 33.227 | 0.701 | 0.540 |
| $C L \times T e l$ | 5.253 | 0.329 | 0.833 | 6.953 | 0.298 | 0.914 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -1.818 | 0.423 | 0.842 | -0.850 | 0.422 | 0.804 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 3.279 | 0.398 | 0.831 | 2.667 | 0.414 | 0.856 |
| $C L \times 2 m$ | -4.917 | 0.574 | 0.793 | 2.019 | 0.442 | 0.868 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 0.342 | 0.583 | 0.877 | 6.445 | 0.480 | 0.759 |
| CL $\times 2 p$ | -22.127 | 1.296 | 0.872 | 0.011 | 0.944 | 0.788 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -15.329 | 0.712 | 0.936 | 0.252 | 0.435 | 0.650 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -23.423 | 2.851 | 0.940 | 11.141 | 2.280 | 0.873 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times$ Te | 3.457 | 0.265 | 0.785 | 14.884 | 0.113 | 0.451 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -4.443 | 0.346 | 0.804 | 7.430 | 0.203 | 0.500 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 0.944 | 0.323 | 0.789 | 13.252 | 0.164 | 0.439 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -9.358 | 0.481 | 0.777 | 14.751 | 0.155 | 0.395 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -4.006 | 0.486 | 0.856 | 16.102 | 0.227 | 0.465 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -25.652 | 0.994 | . 0.782 | 31.295 | 0.272 | 0.295 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -17.970 | 0.556 | 0.855 | 18.192 | 0.076 | 0.147 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -38.072 | 2.284 | 0.881 | 75.400 | 0.818 | 0.406 |
| Telx PI | -0.846 | 0.936 | 0.735 | -9.267 | 1355 | 0.840 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 4.481 | 0.866 | 0.715 | -3.856 | 1253 | 0.844 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{n}$ | -4.278 | 1.299 | 0.708 | -2.988 | 1.253 | 0.801 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 2.085 | 1.270 | 0.754 | -3.938 | 1.576 | 0.812 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -14.124 | 2.636 | 0.700 | -13.608 | 2.803 | 0.763 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -11.747 | 1.484 | 0.771 | -6.389 | 1.307 | 0.637 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -11.835 | 6.070 | 0.791 | -24.389 | 6.885 | 0.859 |
| PI $\times 2 i$ | 7.784 | 0.798 | 0.838 | 10.939 | 0.638 | 0.694 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 2.077 | 1.126 | 0.782 | 10.294 | 0.709 | 0.730 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 9.627 | 1.033 | 0.781 | 12.894 | 0.885 | 0.735 |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -2.756 | 2.363 | 0.799 | 21.332 | 1.344 | 0.590 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -5.155 | 1.321 | 0.873 | 10.951 | 0.579 | 0.455 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 16.732 | 5.320 | 0.882 | 55.458 | 3.575 | 0.719 |
| $21 \times 2 m$ | -5.367 | 1.261 | 0.833 | 5.067 | 0.832 | 0.783 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 4.787 | 1.072 | 0.772 | 5.742 | 1.063 | 0.813 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -7.721 | 2.193 | 0.706 | -3.115 | 2.162 | 0.873 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -10.262 | 1.325 | 0.833 | -2.529 | 1.050 | 0.759 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -8.301 | 5.526 | 0.872 | 7.694 | 5.057 | 0.936 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 16.181 | 0.570 | 0.621 | 9.114 | 0.896 | 0.722 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 9.822 | 1.402 | 0.683 | 1.236 | 1.919 | 0.817 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.378 | 0.845 | 0.805 | 0.448 | 0.898 | 0.684 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{cml}$ | 36.165 | 3.522 | 0.841 | 15.954 | 4.562 | 0.890 |
| $2 c \times 2 p$ | -12.303 | 1.872 | 0.837 | 4.768 | 1.425 | 0.752 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 20$ | -9.865 | 1.025 | 0.896 | 2.067 | 0.668 | 0.631 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -1.445 | 4.104 | 0.899 | 16.625 | 3.629 | 0.878 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.734 | 0.460 | 0.899 | -1.939 | 0.504 | 0.902 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | 36.889 | 1.934 | 0.948 | 27.209 | 2.097 | 0.964 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 41.630 | 3.831 | 0.961 | 56.039 | 3.280 | 0.840 |
| TL- Total length CL-Carapace le RL-Rostrai lengt Tel- Length of te Pl- Pleural width | $\begin{array}{ll} & 2 \\ \text { ngth } & 2 \\ \text { son } & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2\end{array}$ | 2 i -length of ischium of 2nd cheliped <br> 2 ch - Length of 2 nd cheliped <br> 2 m -length of merus of 2 nd cheliped <br> 2 c -length of carpus of 2 nd cheliped <br> $2 p$-length of propodus of 2 nd cheliped <br> 2 d -length of dactylus of 2 nd cheliped |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.3.7 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and conrelation coefficient ( $r$ ) of different morphometric characters offmale morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Strong Blue Clawed Females$d f=62$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient b | Correlation Comtficient「 |  |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{CL}$ | -5.867 | 0.311 | 0.916 |  |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 12.551 | 0.302 | 0.839 |  |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 3.445 | 0.100 | 0.908 |  |
| TL $\times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 4.735 | 0.143 | 0.929 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -8.777 | 0.172 | 0.854 |  |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -7.009 | 0.170 | 0.881 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -6.785 | 0.205 | 0.865 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -4.907 | 0.297 | 0.725 |  |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -9.644 | 0.176 | 0.711 |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | $-27.478$ | 0.843 | 0.867 |  |
| $C L \times R L$ | 26.149 | 0.840 | 0.790 |  |
| CL $\times$ Tel | 7.829 | 0.280 | 0.681 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 1.496 | 0.399 | 0.882 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -2.036 | 0.494 | 0.832 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.702 | 0.472 | 0.828 |  |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 1.287 | 0.587 | 0.842 |  |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 6.504 | 0.857 | 0.710 |  |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -4.654 | 0.539 | 0.737 |  |
| CL. $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 6.457 | 2.410 | 0.840 |  |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 8.244 | 0.213 | 0.696 |  |
| RL $\times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -0.675 | 0.340 | 0.798 |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -4.321 | 0.416 | 0.744 |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -0.959 | 0.390 | 0.727 |  |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 1.236 | 0.459 | 0.699 |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 3.820 | 0.704 | 0.620 |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -4.430 | 0.418 | 0.607 |  |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -0.223 | 1.968 | 0.729 |  |
| Tel $\times$ PI | -5.182 | 3.243 | 0.892 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -7.878 | 1.439 | 0.788 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -7.363 | 1.476 | 0.841 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -7.765 | 1.797 | 0.837 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -3.769 | 2.500 | 0.673 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -7.530 | 1.427 | 0.634 |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 26.774 | 7.212 | 0.817 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -0.617 | 1.108 | 0.845 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pq} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 1.101 | 1.095 | 0.870 |  |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 2.595 | 1.331 | 0.865 |  |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 8.816 | 1.926 | 0.722 |  |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1.018 | 1.126 | 0.697 |  |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 11.894 | 5.461 | 0862 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 4.129 | 0.900 | 0.937 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 8.697 | 1.005 | 0.856 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 14.417 | 1.572 | 0.773 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.875 | 0.970 | 0.787 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 27.243 | 4.476 | 0.926 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 3.866 | 1.124 | 0.919 |  |
| $2 m \times 2 p$ | 8.159 | 1.713 | 0.809 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -2.523 | 1.041 | 0.811 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 11.292 | 4.814 | 0.956 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 8.272 | 1.358 | 0.784 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1.633 | 0.802 | 0.764 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 10.778 | 3.837 | 0.932 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.220 | 0.481 | 0.793 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 22.387 | 2.215 | 0.932 |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 58.899 | 3.308 | 0.843 |  |
| TL- Total length $2 i$-length of ischium of 2 nd cheliped |  |  |  |  |
| CL- Carapace le | ngth $\quad 2$ | $2 i-l e n g t h$ of ischium of 2nd cheliped $\quad 2 \mathrm{chi}$ - Length of 2nd cheliped2 m -length of merus of 2nd cheliped |  |  |
| RL-Rostral length |  | -length of | carpus of 2nd cheliped |  |
| rel- Length of te | son 2 | -length of $p$ | propodus of 2nd cheliped |  |
| PI- Pleural width |  | -length of | dactylus of 2nd cheliped |  |

Table 1.4.1 Minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation of various mophometric
measurements recorded in males and females of Macrobrachium rosentergii

| SI.No. | Measurements | Males $\mathrm{p}=106$ |  |  |  | Females $n=117$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Misimum (mm) | Maximum ( mm ) | Mean (mm) | So | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (min) | Mean ( $\quad \mathrm{mm}$ ) | SD |
| 1 | Total length | 121 | 278 | 188.10 | 29.84 | 107 | 253 | 190.50 | 28.05 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 37 | 88 | 53.99 | 10.88 | 26 | 76 | 52.39 | 9.63 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 41 | 106 | 71.41 | 11.68 | 40 | 96 | 70.56 | 10.25 |
| 4 | Length of telson | 14 | 33 | 27.48 | 3.27 | 13 | 31 | 22.26 | 3.37 |
| 5 | Il abdominal pleural width | 11 | 25 | 16.86 | 3.05 | 11 | 32 | 20.88 | 4.83 |
| 6 | Length of I cheliped | 46 | 127 | 71.86 | 13.91 | 31 | 97 | 71.38 | 14.64 |
| 7 | Length of ischium of If cheliped | 14 | 51 | 23.79 | 5.71 | 12 | 38 | 24.00 | 4.57 |
| 8 | Length of merus of II cheliped | 15 | 80 | 24.87 | 8.14 | 13 | 40 | 24.82 | 4.78 |
| 9 | Length of carpus of II cheliped | 17 | 99 | 30.73 | 10.26 | 16 | 49 | 31.61 | 6.08 |
| 40 | Length of propodus of II cheliped | 24 | 185 | 57.49 | 21.37 | 18 | 9 | 49.03 | 11.80 |
| 11 | Length of dactyrus of II cheliped | 13 | 93 | 27.83 | 10.92 | 8 | 43 | 22.88 | 6.33 |
| 12 | Total length of 11 cheliped | 75 | 415 | 137.90 | 44.61 | 60 | 216 | 129.50 | 25.93 |
| 13 | Length of Ill walking leg | 47 | 121 | 73.38 | 13.46 | 38 | 111 | 73.44 | 12.10 |
| 14 | Length of $\mathcal{V}$ walking leg | 47 | 128 | 75.72 | 14.06 | 41 | 114 | 77.09 | 12.39 |
| 15 | Length of $V$ walking leg | 48 | 134 | 78.04 | 14.74 | 46 | 114 | 79.35 | 13.81 |

Table1.4.2 Mínimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation of various morphometric measurements recorded in males and females of Macrobrachium idella

| SI.No. | Measurements | Males $\mathrm{n}=132$ |  |  |  | Females $n=106$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum (mm) | Maximum . ( mm ) | Mean (mm) | SO | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mm) | Mear (mm) | SD |
| 1 | Total length | 34 | 116 | 72.97 | 20.51 | 39 | 88 | 66.35 | 11.55 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 8 | 35 | 20.24 | 7.00 | 8.7 | 26.6 | 17.35 | 4.03 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 9 | 39 | 22.75 | 6.50 | 10.5 | 29 | 20.30 | 4.02 |
| 4 | Lenigth of telson | 4 | 20 | 10.72 | 3.34 | 4.8 | 13 | 939 | 1.80 |
| 5 | Il abdorminal pleural width | 3 | 14 | 7.37 | 2.51 | 3.7 | 16 | 8.65 | 227 |
| 6 | Length of I cheliped | 10 | 57 | 29.92 | 11.36 | 11 | 36 | 23.23 | 5.20 |
| 7 | Length of ischium of it chetiped | 4 | 28 | 12.87 | 6.15 | 3.7 | 15 | 8.03 | 270 |
| 8 | Length of merus of il cheliped | 4 | 38 | 18.05 | 10.31 | 4.2 | 28 | 8.57 | 286 |
| 9 | Length of carpus of II cheliped | 6 | 75 | 33.35 | 21.27 | 5 | 52 | 13.86 | 5.64 |
| 10 | Length of propodus of II cheliped | 5 | 70 | 31.64 | 19.69 | 5 | 51 | 13.46 | 5.71 |
| 11 | Length of dactylus of If cheliped | 3 | 28 | 11.87 | 6.41 | 2 | 19 | 5.61 | 2.24 |
| 12 | Total length of il cheliped | 20 | 205 | 95.90 | 56.80 | 19.8 | 146 | 43.95 | -5.60 |
| 13 | Length of ill waiking leg | 14 | 66 | 37.96 | 14.50 | 14 | 48 | 27.30 | 621 |
| 14 | Length of $\mathcal{V}$ walking leg | 15 | 70 | 40.14 | 14.99 | 14 | 51 | 30.05 | 5.97 |
| 15 | Length of $V$ walking leg | 16 | 75 | 42.06 | 14.75 | 15 | 53 | 32.46 | 7.05 |

Table 1.4.3 Minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation of vanous morphometric
measurements recorded in males and females of Macrobrachium equiden

| SI.No. | Measurement | Males $n=98$ |  |  | Females $n=107$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimume (mm) | Maxinum (mm) | Mean <br> (mm) | sD | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ | SD |
| 1 | Total length | 31 | 111 | 64.30 | 17.09 | 38 | 80 | 56.44 | 7.88 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 8 | 35 | 17.97 | 5.56 | 9 | 23.8 | 15.23 | 282 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 9 | 36 | 20.75 | 5.79 | 9.5 | 24 | 16.76 | 3.17 |
| 4 | Length of telsan | 5 | 17 | 9.02 | 280 | 4.6 | 12 | 7.62 | 1.32 |
| 5 | Il abdorninal pleural width | 3 | 13 | 6.66 | 1.79 | 36 | 12 | 7.33 | 176 |
| 6 | Length of I cheliped | 12 | 49 | 24.47 | 8.56 | 11 | 31 | 20.02 | 3.53 |
| 7 | Length of ischium of If cheliped | 4.8 | 19 | 8.85 | 3.47 | 3.9 | 11 | 7.01 | 1.49 |
| 8 | Length of merus of if cheliped | 5 | 31 | 11.60 | 5.72 | 4.8 | 12 | 7.90 | 1.47 |
| 9 | Length of carpus of 11 cheliped | 7 | 50 | 18.11 | 10.72 | 5.8 | 20 | 11.36 | 244 |
| 10 | Length of propodus of il cheliped | 7 | 51 | 20.04 | 19.06 | 7.7 | 22 | 14.18 | 2.93 |
| 11 | Length of dactylus of II cheliped | 3 | 18 | 8.42 | 3.86 | 3.7 | 9 | 6.24 | 1.28 |
| 12 | Total length of It cheliped | 26 | 151 | 58.60 | 30.45 | 22.2 | 63 | 40.45 | 7.88 |
| 13 | Length of III walking leg | 13 | 65 | 30.23 | 10.62 | 14 | 37 | 23.53 | 3.85 |
| 14 | Length of V walking leg | 15 | 68 | 31.72 | 11.01 | 14 | 38 | 24.66 | 3.96 |
| 15 | Length of $V$ walking leg | 15 | 65 | 32.91 | 10.86 | 15 | 35 | 26.14 | 3.90 |

Table 1.4.4 Minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation of various morphometric measurements recorded in males and females of Macrobrachium striatus

| S! No. | Measurements | Males $n=112$ |  |  |  | Fernates $n=103$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum ( mm ) | Mbocimum (mm) | Mem (mm) | SD | Minimum (mm) | Maximum (mm) | Mean <br> ( mm ) | SD |
| 1 | Total length | 42.0 | 96 | 69.61 | 17.87 | 40.0 | 86.0 | 62.53 | 9.15 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 14.2 | 30 | 20.69 | 5.37 | 11.5 | 27.0 | 17.96 | 3.26 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 13.0 | 31 | 22.01 | 5.66 | 14.0 | 29.0 | 19.93 | 3.17 |
| 4 | Length of telson | 4.5 | 14 | 9.58 | 2.54 | 5.9 | 12.0 | 8.49 | 1.32 |
| 5 | Il abotominal pleural wicth | 5.0 | 14 | 7.87 | 2.11 | 4.0 | 13.0 | 8.07 | 1.90 |
| 6 | Length of I cheliped | 14.0 | 40 | 27.82 | 7.57 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 23.72 | 4.49 |
| 7 | Length of ischium of it cheiped | 5.0 | 21 | 10.37 | 3.90 | 3.5 | 12.4 | 7.83 | 1.90 |
| 8 | Length of merus of II cheliped | 5.0 | 29 | 14.38 | 5.91 | 5.0 | 19.0 | 9.99 | 2.71 |
| 9 | Length of carpus of II cheliped | 7.0 | 49 | 23.18 | 11.34 | 8.0 | 26.0 | 13.79 | 3.91 |
| 10 | Length of propodus of II cheliped | 8.0 | 69 | 36.38 | 14.24 | 9.0 | 34.0 | 19.30 | 5.25 |
| 11 | Length of dactyius of il cheliped | 3.0 | 24 | 10.63 | 4.45 | 3.0 | 17.0 | 7.25 | 2.23 |
| 12 | Total tength of II cheliped | 25.0 | 161 | 78.31 | 34.66 | 28.0 | 88.0 | 50.93 | 13.24 |
| 13 | Length of Ill walking leg | 17.0 | 48 | 32.65 | 9.13 | 17.0 | 40.0 | 26.86 | 4.80 |
| 14 | Length of $N$ walking leg | 19.0 | 49 | 33.42 | 9.03 | 18.0 | 43.0 | 28.03 | 4.83 |
| 15 | Length of $V$ walking leg | 21.0 | 49 | 34.31 | 8.89 | 19.0 | 46.0 | 29.24 | 4.99 |

Table 1.4.5 Minimum, maxamum, mean and standard deviation of vanous morphometric
measurements recorded in males and females of Macrobrachium scabriculum

| St. No. | Measurements | Males $n=40$ |  |  |  | Females $\boldsymbol{n}=30$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum ( mm ) | Maximum <br> (mm) | Mean <br> (mm) | So | Minimum ( mm ) | Mlaximum (mon) | Mean <br> (mm) | so |
| 1 | Total length | 42.8 | 73 | 55.97 | 6.66 | 39.0 | 62.0 | 52.90 | 6.34 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 14.0 | 5 | 18.66 | 2.74 | 11.0 | 20.0 | 15.99 | 2.72 |
| 3 | Rostral lengt | 12.3 | 21 | 16.44 | 2.26 | 11.0 | 18.0 | 14.37 | 2.24 |
| 4 | Length of teison | 6.0 | 10 | 7.73 | 1.10 | 5.0 | 11.9 | 7.49 | 1.57 |
| 5 | Il abdominal pleural width | 4.0 | 9 | 5.91 | 1.17 | 5.0 | 11.9 | 7.85 | 1.82 |
| 6 | Length of I cheliped | 15.0 | 27 | 22.30 | 2.94 | 13.0 | 24.0 | 19.63 | 3.17 |
| 7 | Length of ischium of II cheliped | 4.0 | 15 | 6.13 | 2.65 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.07 | 0.60 |
| 8 | Length of merus of II cheliped | 4.3 | 17 | 12.04 | 2.85 | 5.0 | 9.0 | 792 | 1.06 |
| 9 | Length of carpus of il cheliped | 4.5 | 15 | 10.85 | 2.37 | 4.9 | 8.0 | 6.60 | 1.07 |
| 10 | Length of propodus of II cheliped | 13.2 | 44 | 27.20 | 7.33 | 8.0 | 14.0 | 11.38 | 2.31 |
| 11 | Length of dactylus of 11 cheliped | 7.8 | 25 | 16.40 | 4.99 | 4.0 | 7.2 | 6.11 | 1.11 |
| 12 | Total length of it cheliped | 33.2 | 82 | 56.22 | 11.79 | 22.0 | 36.0 | 30.07 | 4.56 |
| 13 | Length of III walking leg | 18.0 | 31 | 24.10 | 3.01 | 11.0 | 24.0 | 19.67 | 3.93 |
| 14 | Length of $N$ waiking leg | 18.0 | 32 | 24.08 | 3.09 | 12.0 | 25.0 | 20.40 | 4.18 |
| 15 | Length of $V$ walking leg | 19.0 | 34 | 25.08 | 3.10 | 15.0 | 26.0 | 22.13 | 3.39 |


| Table 1.4.6 | Minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation of various morphometic measurements recorded in males of Macrobrachium nude |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Measurements | Males $\mathrm{n}=15$ |  |  |  |
| SINo. |  | Minimum (min) | Maxinum (min) | Mean (mm) | SD |
| 4 | Total length | 85 | 109 | 92.87 | 7.12 |
| 2 | Carapace length | 27 | 36 | 30.33 | 2.76 |
| 3 | Rostral length | 24 | 35 | 28.12 | 2.78 |
| 4 | Length of teison | 9 | 16 | 12.59 | 1.88 |
| 5 | Il abdominal pleural width | 8 | 11 | 9.42 | 1.03 |
| 6 | Length of I cheliped | 32 | 48 | 39.51 | 3.78 |
| 7 | Length of ischium of il cheliped | 9 | 24 | 15.81 | 4.78 |
| 8 | Length of merus of il cheiped | 21 | 33 | 26.75 | 4.11 |
| 9 | Length of carpus of il chetiped | 24 | 71 | 44.75 | 11.40 |
| 10 | Length of propodus of II cheliped | 43 | 71.6 | 52.67 | 9.18 |
| 11 | Length of dactylus of il cheliped | 15 | 34.3 | 20.48 | 5.01 |
| 12 | Total length of ti cheliped | 101 | 195 | 140.00 | 25.70 |
| 13 | Length of Itl waiking leg | 34 | 64 | 47.93 | 6.77 |
| 14 | Length of $N$ W walking leg | 35 | 65 | 48.67 | 7.23 |
| 15 | Length of $V$ walking leg | 38 | 66 | 51.09 | 6.84 |

Table1.5.1 Minimum, maximum, and mean of different ratios worked out using various morphometric measurements

| M. rosenbergii |  |  |  |  | Midella |  | M. equidens |  |  |  | M.striatus |  | M.scabriculum |  |  | M.rude |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratios | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean |
| CLITL | 0.238 | 0.333 | 0.286 | 0.200 | 0.400 | 0.272 | 0.225 | 0.420 | 0.277 | 0.220 | 0.388 | 0.296 | 0.289 | 0.362 | 0.332 | 0.287 | 0.353 | 0.327 |
| RLITL | 0.316 | 0.420 | 0.380 | 0.252 | 0.462 | 0.312 | 0.285 | 0.553 | 0.322 | 0.301 | 0.469 | 0.317 | 0.260 | 0.330 | 0.290 | 0.275 | 0.343 | 0.303 |
| TELIL | 0.085 | 0.128 | 0.115 | 0.089 | 0.222 | 0.147 | 0.082 | 0.198 | 0.140 | 0.083 | 0.184 | 0.138 | 0.119 | 0.172 | 0.138 | 0.096 | 0.151 | 0.136 |
| PL/TL | 0.055 | 0.121 | 0.090 | 0.073 | 0.325 | 0.100 | 0.075 | 0.146 | 0.105 | 0.088 | 0.326 | 0.114 | 0.083 | 0.155 | 0.106 | 0.093 | 0.109 | 0.101 |
| 1CH/TL | 0.289 | 0.479 | 0.381 | 0.256 | 0.615 | 0.398 | 0.071 | 0.461 | 0.370 | 0.321 | 0.531 | 0.398 | 0.346 | 0.500 | 0.399 | 0.368 | 0.466 | 0.426 |
| 2 ift | 0.080 | 0.185 | 0.126 | 0.077 | 0.263 | 0.167 | 0.093 | 0.263 | 0.136 | 0.038 | 0.269 | 0.145 | 0.069 | 0.272 | 0.134 | 0.098 | 0.255 | 0.771 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.090 | 0.290 | 0.130 | 0.085 | 0.446 | 0.228 | 0.096 | 0.421 | 0.175 | 0.111 | 0.306 | 0.200 | 0.080 | 0.290 | 0.220 | 0.206 | 0.353 | 0.289 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.110 | 0.359 | 0.161 | 0.115 | 0.846 | 0.415 | 0.154 | 0.711 | 0.269 | 0.132 | 0.577 | 0.319 | 0.080 | 0.339 | 0.197 | 0.276 | 0.671 | 0.469 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{T}$ | 0.121 | 0.670 | 0.300 | 0.114 | 0.462 | 0.394 | 0.195 | 0.711 | 0.299 | 0.062 | 0.775 | 0.419 | 0.240 | 0.670 | 0.492 | 0.267 | 0.754 | 0.559 |
| 2 d T | 0.089 | 0.337 | 0.145 | 0.058 | 0.315 | 0.152 | 0.072 | 0.413 | 0.131 | 0.029 | 1.571 | 0.147 | 0.142 | 0.431 | 0.297 | 0.059 | 0.361 | 0.216 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.402 | 1.504 | 0.724 | 0.404 | 2.369 | 1.205 | 0.519 | 2.105 | 0.880 | 0.348 | 1.809 | 1.084 | 0.600 | 2.160 | 1.040 | 1.100 | 1.941 | 1.488 |
| $3 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.314 | 0.451 | 0.390 | 0.327 | 0.738 | 0.504 | 0.294 | 0.921 | 0.464 | 0.368 | 0.653 | 0.466 | 0.380 | 0.480 | 0.430 | 0.391 | 0.587 | 0.515 |
| $4 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.314 | 0.483 | 0.402 | 0.367 | 0.738 | 0.534 | 0.294 | 1.000 | 0.487 | 0.351 | 0.674 | 0.478 | 0.380 | 0.470 | 0.430 | 0.402 | 0.606 | 0.523 |
| $5 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{TL}$. | 0.333 | 0.506 | 0.414 | 0.388 | 0.783 | 0.564 | 0.294 | 1.053 | 0.507 | 0.364 | 0.691 | 0.488 | 0.393 | 0.537 | 0.449 | 0.437 | 0.659 | 0.550 |
| TLicl | 3.000 | 4.195 | 3.514 | 2.500 | 5.000 | 3.727 | 2.375 | 4.455 | 3.634 | 2.579 | 4.464 | 3.391 | 2.760 | 3.459 | 3.014 | 2.800 | 3.500 | 3.100 |
| RL/CL | 1.077 | 1.732 | 1.335 | 1.017 | 1.500 | 1.159 | 1.000 | 1.389 | 1.165 | 1.000 | 1.375 | 1.074 | 0.760 | 1.000 | 0.880 | 0.828 | 1.000 | 0.928 |
| TEL/CL | 0.304 | 0.488 | 0.403 | 0.320 | 0.833 | 0.545 | 0.273 | 0.727 | 0.508 | 0.300 | 0.600 | 0.465 | 0.348 | 0.476 | 0.417 | 0.333 | 0.485 | 0.414 |
| PL/CL | 0.220 | 0.476 | 0.315 | 0.267 | 1.625 | 0.374 | 0.273 | 0.600 | 0.380 | 0.286 | 1.062 | 0.386 | 0.260 | 0.428 | 0.316 | 0.267 | 0.333 | 0.311 |
| $1 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 1.079 | 1.659 | 0.337 | 0.933 | 2.083 | 1.464 | 0.169 | 1.636 | 1.343 | 1.064 | 1.974 | 1.346 | 1.305 | 1.548 | 1.201 | 1.067 | 1.556 | 1.310 |
| $21 / \mathrm{CL}$ | 0.318 | 0.580 | 0.441 | 0.321 | 1.000 | 0.610 | 0.296 | 1.000 | 0.434 | 0.142 | 0.840 | 0.489 | 0.190 | 0.833 | 0.331 | 0.278 | 0.888 | 0.534 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 0.360 | 0.909 | 0.475 | 0.333 | 1.400 | 0.822 | 0.333 | 1.625 | 0.630 | 0.381 | 1.100 | 0.675 | 0.230 | 0.910 | 0.650 | 0.580 | 1.111 | 0.887 |
| 2c/Cl. | 0.377 | 1.125 | 0.565 | 0.500 | 2.944 | 1.487 | 0.533 | 2.750 | 0.961 | 0.497 | 1.885 | 1.075 | 0.245 | 1.000 | 0.595 | 0.800 | 2.111 | 1.454 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 0.480 | 2.102 | 1.046 | 0.500 | 1.855 | 1.416 | 0.635 | 2.750 | 1.074 | 0.232 | 2.464 | 1.411 | 0.750 | 1.929 | 1.481 | 0.771 | 2.288 | 1.720 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 0.309 | 1.057 | 0.506 | 0.243 | 1.074 | 0.554 | 0.250 | 1.434 | 0.471 | 0.110 | 5.500 | 0.496 | 0.458 | 1.335 | 0.893 | 0.172 | 1.096 | 0.664 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 1.600 | 4.716 | 2.527 | 1.750 | 7.611 | 4.335 | 1.800 | 8.125 | 3.158 | 1.310 | 6.000 | 3.651 | 1.800 | 6.480 | 3.130 | 3.171 | 6.111 | 4.594 |
| $3 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 1.108 | 1.683 | 1.367 | 1.067 | 2.556 | 1.851 | 1.071 | 2.192 | 1.673 | 1.222 | 2.054 | 1.576 | 1.140 | 1.480 | 1.300 | 1.133 | 2.037 | 1.591 |
| $4 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 1.188 | 1.780 | 1.410 | 1.200 | 2.368 | 1.964 | 1.071 | 2.375 | 1.759 | 1.177 | 2.232 | 1.618 | 1.160 | 1.430 | 1.300 | 1.167 | 2.111 | 1.614 |
| $5 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 1.169 | 1.732 | 1.453 | 1.267 | 2.600 | 2.082 | 1.071 | 2.500 | 1.828 | 1.241 | 2.235 | 1.652 | 1.125 | 1.586 | 1.352 | 1.266 | 2.074 | 1.696 |

Table 1.5.1 Continued.

| M. rosenbergii |  |  |  |  | M.idella |  | M. equidens |  |  | M striatus |  |  | M. scabriculum |  |  | M.rude |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratios | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean |
| TL/2cL | 2.788 | 9.045 | 6.377 | 1.180 | 8.670 | 3.246 | 1.404 | 6.500 | 4.251 | 1.734 | 7.514 | 3.491 | 3.404 | 13.023 | 4.981 | 1.500 | 3.600 | 2.200 |
| $\mathrm{CL} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 0.889 | 2.652 | 1.816 | 0340 | 2.000 | 0.844 | 0.363 | 1.875 | 1.163 | 0.531 | 2.013 | 1.026 | 1.099 | 4.279 | 1.654 | 0.474 | 1.250 | 0.742 |
| RLi2cL | 1.071 | 3.591 | 2.424 | 0.391 | 2.667 | 1.007 | 0.409 | 2.250 | 1.360 | 0.544 | 2.390 | 1.109 | 0.990 | 3.670 | 1.470 | 0.456 | 1.125 | 0.685 |
| TEL/2cL | 0.333 | 1.087 | 0.732 | 0.138 | 1.667 | 0.473 | 0.203 | 0.888 | 0.595 | 0.179 | 0.974 | 0.477 | 0.438 | 1.674 | 0.692 | 0.173 | 0.500 | 0.308 |
| PL/2cL | 0.253 | 0.826 | 0.571 | 0.123 | 2.167 | 0.322 | 0.136 | 0.875 | 0.449 | 0.186 | 1.957 | 0.402 | 0.340 | 1.419 | 0.527 | 0.151 | 0.375 | 0.229 |
| $1 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 1.202 | 3.565 | 2.418 | 0.491 | 2.750 | 1.210 | 0.100 | 2.375 | 1.550 | 0.714 | 3.974 | 1.377 | 1.438 | 4.884 | 1.970 | 0.667 | 1.333 | 0.944 |
| 21/2cL | 0.515 | 1.130 | 0.790 | 0.255 | 0.875 | 0.437 | 0.300 | 0.888 | 0.551 | 0.243 | 0.920 | 0.481 | 0.313 | 1.250 | 0.533 | 0.250 | 0.462 | 0.363 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 0.679 | 1.217 | 0.849 | 0.343 | 1.091 | 0.585 | 0.467 | 0.857 | 0.681 | 0.419 | 1.100 | 0.650 | 0.964 | 1.954 | 1.330 | 0.442 | 0.958 | 0.636 |
| 2p/2cL | 1.091 | 2.957 | 1.868 | 0.325 | 1.174 | 0.898 | 0.986 | 1.364 | 1.136 | 0.954 | 2.060 | 1.322 | 1.750 | 3.349 | 2.293 | 0.814 | 1.875 | 1.233 |
| 2d/2cL | 0.593 | 1.435 | 0.904 | 0.123 | 0.750 | 0.413 | 0.294 | 1.545 | 0.511 | 0.221 | 5.500 | 0.475 | 0.917 | 2.837 | 1.372 | 0.136 | 0.833 | 0.478 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 3.636 | 6.217 | 4.507 | 2.175 | 4.818 | 3.032 | 2.600 | 4.000 | 3.368 | 2.636 | 5.080 | 3.453 | 4.000 | 8.400 | 4.850 | 2.523 | 4.208 | 3.231 |
| $3 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 1.220 | 3.696 | 2.473 | 0.681 | 3.333 | 1.516 | 0.591 | 2.875 | 1.928 | 0.846 | 3.273 | 1.603 | 1.630 | 4.880 | 2.130 | 0.813 | 1.417 | 1.134 |
| $4 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 1.152 | 3.957 | 2.554 | 0.604 | 3.833 | 1.622 | 0.682 | 3.125 | 2.027 | 0.878 | 3.390 | 1.650 | 1.460 | 5.120 | 2.140 | 0.807 | 1.471 | 1.152 |
| $5 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 1.192 | 4.043 | 2.630 | 0.642 | 4.500 | 1.742 | 0.682 | 3.071 | 2.108 | 0.882 | 3.546 | 1.693 | 1.547 | 5.581 | 2.220 | 0.925 | 1.583 | 1.210 |
| TL/2mL | 3.450 | 11.060 | 7.518 | 2.240 | 11.800 | 5.317 | 2.375 | 10.400 | 6.154 | 3.269 | 9.000 | 5.283 | 2.952 | 12.444 | 5.468 | 2.800 | 4.900 | 3.500 |
| CL 2 mL | 1.100 | 2.778 | 2.138 | 0.714 | 3.000 | 1.395 | 0.615 | 3.000 | 1.693 | 0.909 | 2.625 | 1.557 | 1.000 | 4.089 | 1.818 | 0.900 | 1.714 | 1.160 |
| RL/2mL | 1.325 | 4.389 | 2.858 | 0.771 | 3.750 | 1.650 | 0.692 | 3.400 | 1.973 | 0.931 | 3.000 | 1.680 | 0.990 | 3.510 | 1.610 | 0.800 | 1.667 | 1.075 |
| TEL/2mL | 0.413 | 1.220 | 0.862 | 0.257 | 2.000 | 0.773 | 0.333 | 1.417 | 0.862 | 0.310 | 1.200 | 0.722 | 0.455 | 1.600 | 0.752 | 0.333 | 0.667 | 0.478 |
| PL/2mL | 0.313 | 1.000 | 0.673 | 0.241 | 3.250 | 0.529 | 0.231 | 1.167 | 0.645 | 0.333 | 2.740 | 0.609 | 0.344 | 1.356 | 0.574 | 0.267 | 0.524 | 0.359 |
| $1 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 1.488 | 3.842 | 2.849 | 1.000 | 4.024 | 2.005 | 0.169 | 3.800 | 2.263 | 1.296 | 4.500 | 2.088 | 1.035 | 4.660 | 2.170 | 1.287 | 1.852 | 1.502 |
| 212 m | 0033 | 1.158 | 0.932 | 0.610 | 1.400 | 0.787 | 0.438 | 1.143 | 0.802 | 0.324 | 1304 | 0.738 | 0.310 | 1.384 | 0.588 | 0.379 | 1.044 | 0.600 |
| 2c/2mL | 0.821 | 1.474 | 1.188 | 0.984 | 2.913 | 1.754 | 1.167 | 2.143 | 1.506 | 0.909 | 2.385 | 1.572 | 0.500 | 1.259 | 0.831 | 1.044 | 2.261 | 1.630 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 1.333 | 2.737 | 2.199 | 0.650 | 1.852 | 1.693 | 1.140 | 2.200 | 1.684 | 0.529 | 3.132 | 2.069 | 1.671 | 3.111 | 2.569 | 0.931 | 2.952 | 1.953 |
| 2d/2mL | 0.696 | 1.421 | 1.063 | 0.241 | 1.000 | 0.702 | 0.483 | 2.125 | 0.756 | 0.250 | 8.462 | 0.736 | 0.917 | 3.125 | 1.560 | 0.207 | 1.055 | 0.747 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 4.444 | 6.368 | 5.318 | 4.200 | 7.217 | 5.234 | 4.141 | 5.739 | 4.993 | 2.985 | 7.857 | 5.380 | 3.810 | 10.000 | 5.400 | 3.828 | 6.391 | 5.183 |
| $3 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 1.513 | 4000 | 2.915 | 1.382 | 5.000 | 2.516 | 1.241 | 4.273 | 2.805 | 1.435 | 4.500 | 2.436 | 1.310 | 4.870 | 2.340 | 1.478 | 2.391 | 1.820 |
| $4 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 1.425 | 4.158 | 3.008 | 1.280 | 5.250 | 2.685 | 1.154 | 4.182 | 2.947 | 1.654 | 4.625 | 2.505 | 1.380 | 4.890 | 2.340 | 1.522 | 2.478 | 1.848 |
| $5 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 1.475 | 4.167 | 3.098 | 1.360 | 5.750 | 2.876 | 1.154 | 4.800 | 3070 | 1.534 | 5.425 | 2.573 | 1.586 | 5.333 | 2.429 | 1.500 | 2.435 | 1.836 |

[^3]Table 1．5．2 Minimum，maximum and mean of different ratios worked out using various morphometric measurements

| M．rosenbergii |  |  |  |  | Midella |  | M．equidens |  |  | M．striatus |  |  | M．scabriculum |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratios | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean |
| CLTL | 0.211 | 0.326 | 0.274 | 0.172 | 0.386 | 0.259 | 0.179 | 3.298 | 0.269 | 0.228 | 0.328 | 0.287 | 0.265 | 0.327 | 0.301 |
| RLTL | 0.294 | 0.424 | 0.371 | 0.211 | 0.360 | 0.306 | 0.241 | 0.440 | 0.329 | 0.214 | 0.364 | 0.297 | 0.240 | 0.330 | 0.270 |
| TELTL | 0.091 | 0.134 | 0.117 | 0.104 | 0.250 | 0.142 | 0.106 | 0.222 | 0.135 | 0.114 | 0.178 | 0.136 | 0.125 | 0.238 | 0.142 |
| PLTL | 0.067 | 0.144 | 0.109 | 0.080 | 0.208 | 0.129 | 0.089 | 0.222 | 0.129 | 0.086 | 0.164 | 0.128 | 0.091 | 0.238 | 0.149 |
| $1 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.290 | 0.473 | 0.374 | 0.218 | 0.575 | 0.349 | 0.229 | 0.443 | 0.354 | 0.265 | 0.455 | 0.378 | 0.333 | 0.404 | 0.369 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.090 | 0.165 | 0.126 | 0.078 | 0.188 | 0.120 | 0.085 | 0.167 | 0.124 | 0.068 | 0.182 | 0.124 | 0.080 | 0.109 | 0.096 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.098 | 0.158 | 0.130 | 0.091 | 0.318 | 0.128 | 0.106 | 0.167 | 0.139 | 0.109 | 0.232 | 0.158 | 0.120 | 0.150 | 0.130 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.128 | 0.209 | 0.165 | 0.125 | 0.591 | 0.225 | 0.128 | 0.305 | 0.201 | 0.153 | 0.317 | 0.217 | 0.107 | 0.140 | 0.125 |
| 2 p TL | 0.163 | 0.360 | 0.225 | 0.078 | 0.580 | 0.200 | 0.170 | 0.340 | 0.250 | 0.164 | 0.439 | 0.305 | 0.158 | 0.255 | 0.214 |
| 2 d ／TL | 0.067 | 0.255 | 0.119 | 0.031 | 0.222 | 0.084 | 0.069 | 0.150 | 0.110 | 0.068 | 0.200 | 0.115 | 0.091 | 0.127 | 0.115 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{LL}$ | 0.496 | 0.854 | 0.676 | 0.492 | 1.659 | 0.653 | 0.489 | 0.939 | 0.714 | 0.600 | 1.073 | 0.805 | 0.500 | 0.620 | 0.570 |
| 3CH／TL | 0.316 | 0.541 | 0.386 | 0.039 | 0.569 | 0.405 | 0.367 | 0.475 | 0.416 | 0.309 | 0.523 | 0.428 | 0.240 | 0.410 | 0.370 |
| $4 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.339 | 0.556 | 0.405 | 0.327 | 0.597 | 0.450 | 0.356 | 0.493 | 0.437 | 0.333 | 0.600 | 0.447 | 0.260 | 0.440 | 0.380 |
| $5 \mathrm{CH} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.344 | 0.522 | 0.416 | 0.347 | 0.602 | 0.487 | 0.376 | 0.607 | 0.464 | 0.382 | 0.625 | 0.467 | 0.380 | 0.455 | 0.417 |
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Table 1.5.2 Continued.

| M. rosenbergii |  |  |  |  | Midella |  | M. equidens |  |  | M. striatus |  |  | M. scabriculum |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratios | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean | Min | Max | Mean |
| TL/2cL | 4.789 | 7.824 | 6.104 | 1.690 | 8.000 | 5.094 | 3.279 | 7.833 | 5.070 | 3.154 | 6.556 | 4.726 | 6.888 | 8.566 | 7.581 |
| CL/2cL | 1.297 | 2.071 | 1.668 | 0.462 | 2.000 | 1.312 | 0.745 | 0.167 | 1.363 | 0.1555 | 1.925 | 4.726 1.349 | 6.888 1.968 | 2.666 | 7.581 2.278 |
| RL/2cL | 1.436 | 3.040 | 2.267 | 0.519 | 2.800 | 1.563 | 0.819 | 2.500 | 1.502 | 0.977 | 2.750 | 1.512 | 1.710 | 2.570 | 2.030 |
| TEL/2cL | 0.488 | 0.870 | 0.713 | 0.212 | 1.500 | 0.721 | 0.450 | 1.200 | 0.685 | 0.423 | 0.888 | 0.640 | 0.947 | 1.700 | 1.071 |
| PL/2cl | 0.444 | 0.829 | 0.659 | 0.173 | 1.067 | 0.651 | 0.375 | 1.200 | 0.651 | 0.389 | 0.800 | 0.598 | 0.714 | 1.700 | 1.123 |
| $1 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 1.789 | 2.853 | 2.281 | 0.692 | 2.925 | 1.762 | 0.984 | 2.833 | 1.792 | 1.269 | 2.667 | 1.722 | 2.428 | 3.500 | 2.802 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 0.526 | 0.933 | 0.765 | 0.288 | 1.200 | 0.606 | 0.369 | 0.833 | 0.624 | 0.346 | 0.800 | 0.580 | 0.555 | 0.857 | 0.731 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 0.568 | 0.929 | 0.789 | 0.417 | 1.200 | 0.637 | 0.458 | 0.900 | 0.702 | 0.545 | 0.889 | 0.730 | 1.000 | 1.270 | 1.070 |
| 2p/2cL | 1.125 | 2.037 | 1.546 | 0.417 | 1.234 | 0.980 | 1.000 | 1.400 | 1.252 | 0.818 | 1.933 | 1.408 | 1.200 | 2.000 | 1.622 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 0.474 | 1.444 | 0.719 | 0.167 | 0.667 | 0.411 | 0.303 | 0.757 | 0.555 | 0.333 | 0.968 | 0.530 | 0.677 | 1.000 | 0.870 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cl}$ | 3.342 | 4.767 | 4.100 | 2.500 | 4.600 | 3.223 | 2.975 | 4.043 | 3.577 | 3.000 | 4.600 | 3.718 | 3.810 | 4.860 | 4.290 |
| $3 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 1.837 | 3.265 3.353 | 2.351 | 0.250 | 2.818 | 2.038 | 1.230 | 3.167 | 2.106 | 1.269 | 3.000 | 2.018 | 1.770 | 3.500 | 2.810 |
| $4 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ $5 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 1.865 1.819 | 3.353 3.350 | 2.469 2.535 | 0.981 | 3.110 | 2.265 | 1.311 | 3.666 | 2.211 | 1.462 | 3.125 | 2.107 | 1.940 | 3.670 | 2.900 |
| $5 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{cL}$ | 1.919 | 3.350 | 2.535 | 1.019 | 3.600 | 2.460 | 1.311 | 3.833 | 2.348 | 1.614 | 3.333 | 2.202 | 2.714 | 3.666 | 3.161 |
| TL/2mL | 6.325 | 10.230 | 7.756 | 3.140 | 11.000 | 8.031 | 6.000 | 9.400 | 7.223 | 4.316 | 9.167 | 6.488 | 7.142 | 9.388 | 8.087 |
| $\mathrm{CL} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 1.778 | 2.682 | 2.118 | 0.857 | 3.367 | 2.074 | 1.263 | 2.600 | 1.940 | 1.368 | 2.429 | 1.852 | 2.143 | 2.903 | 2.427 |
| RL/2mL TEL/2mL | 1.806 | 3.619 | 2.881 | 0.964 | 3.600 | 2.462 | 1.368 | 3.000 | 2.139 | 1.230 | 3.143 | 2.072 | 1.710 | 2.900 | 2.200 |
| TEL 2 mL $\mathrm{PL} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 0.636 | 1.071 | 0.906 | 0.393 | 2.400 | 1.138 | 0.750 | 1.714 | 0.977 | 0.579 | 1.333 | 0.880 | 0.986 | 1.983 | 1.147 |
| $\mathrm{PL} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ $1 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 0.545 2.214 | 1.160 3.450 | 0.838 2898 | 0.321 1286 | 1.778 | 1.033 | 0.625 | 1.714 | 0.929 | 0.538 | 1.667 | 0.824 | 0.806 | 1.983 | 1.199 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 2.214 0.690 | 3.450 1.300 | 2.898 0.970 | 1.286 0.500 | 4.200 1250 | 2.781 | 1.617 | 3.400 | 2.551 | 1.737 | 3.429 | 2.434 | 2.428 | 3.500 | 2.988 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 1.077 | 1.762 | 1.277 | 0.500 | 1.250 2.400 | 1.956 1.603 | 0.625 1.110 | 1.250 2.182 | 0.891 | 0.443 | 1.167 1.833 | 0.797 | 0.625 | 0.918 | 0.778 |
| 2p/2mL | 1.286 | 2.750 | 1.964 | 0.417 | 2.600 | 1.560 | 1.471 | 2.436 | 1.795 | 1.500 | 2.273 | 1.935 | 1.250 | 2.097 | 0.942 1.729 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 0.529 | 1.696 | 0.912 | 0.167 | 1.400 | 0.657 | 0.571 | 1.125 | 0.793 | 0.500 | 1.250 | 0.729 | 0.800 | 1.129 | 0.926 |
| $2 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 4.286 | 6.200 | 5.211 | 2.833 | 7.000 | 5.119 | 4.492 | 6.527 | 5.125 | 4.430 | 5.727 | 5.112 | 3.970 | 5.030 | 4.580 |
| $3 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 2.250 | 3.828 | 2.986 | 0.333 | 4.222 | 3.234 | 2.500 | 3.800 | 3.002 | 1.737 | 4.000 | 2.774 | 2.240 | 3.550 | 2.970 |
| $4 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 2.389 | 3.931 | 3.137 | 1.821 | 4.880 | 3.586 | 2.333 | 4.400 | 3.150 | 2.000 | 4.800 | 2.897 | 2.450 | 3.870 | 3.080 |
| $5 \mathrm{CH} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ | 2.621 | 3.941 | 3.219 | 1.893 | 5.200 | 3.888 | 2.667 | 4.600 | 3.345 | 2.211 | 5.000 | 3.026 | 2.714 | 4.032 | 3.374 |

[^4]Table 1.6.1 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) of different morphometric characters of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Males Regression Coefficient b | $d f=104$ <br> Correlation <br> Coefficient r | Regression Constant a | Females <br> Regression <br> Coefficient b | $d f=115$ <br> Correlation <br> Coefficient <br> r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{CL}$ | -11.585 | 0.349 | 0.956 | -10.577 | 0.331 | 0.962 |
| TL $\times$ RL | 0242 | 0.378 | 0.966 | 7.461 | 0.331 | 0.906 |
| TL $\times$ Tel | 2.843 | 0.099 | 0.903 | 0.633 | 0.114 | 0.945 |
| $T \mathrm{~L} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 1.420 | 0.082 | 0.801 | -9.041 | 0.157 | 0.912 |
| TL $\times$ ichl | -8.519 | 0.427 | 0.917 | -1.744 | 0.384 | 0.924 |
| $T \mathrm{~L} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -6.441 | 0.161 | 0.840 | -3.892 | 0.146 | 0.899 |
| TL. $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -15.201 | 0.218 | 0.801 | -5.407 | 0.159 | 0.930 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -18.978 | 0.264 | 0.769 | -4.834 | 0.191 | 0.881 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -50.773 | 0.576 | 0.804 | -18.606 | 0.355 | 0.844 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -27.275 | 0.294 | 0.804 | -10.901 | 0.177 | 0.785 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -91.393 | 1.219 | 0.665 | -32.800 | 0.852 | 0.921 |
| TL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -5.625 | 0.420 | 0.931 | 1.782 | 0.376 | 0.871 |
| TL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -5.609 | 0.432 | 0.918 | 3.567 | 0.386 | 0.873 |
| TL $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -7.390 | 0.454 | 0.920 | -5.060 | 0.443 | 0.899 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 19.155 | 0.968 | 0.901 | 21.570 | 0.935 | 0.879 |
| $C L \times$ Tel | 7.101 | 0.266 | 0.885 | 5.511 | 0.320 | 0.914 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Pa}$ | 4.666 | 0.266 | 0.804 | -1.962 | 0.436 | 0.869 |
| CL $\times$ ichl | 8.475 | 1.174 | 0.919 | 13.464 | 1.105 | 0.914 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -0.839 | 0.456 | 0.870 | 1.599 | 0.428 | 0.901 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -7.671 | 0.621 | 0.831 | 0.868 | 0.457 | 0.920 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -8.850 | 0.733 | 0.778 | 3.188 | 0.542 | 0.859 |
| $C L \times 2 p$ | -32.867 | 1.674 | 0.852 | -5.110 | 1.033 | 0.843 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -17.850 | 0.846 | 0.846 | -5.207 | 0.536 | 0.815 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -50.227 | 3.484 | 0.850 | 0.546 | 2.461 | 0.914 |
| CL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 11.134 | 1.153 | 0.930 | 16.866 | 1.080 | 0.859 |
| CL. $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 11.921 | 1.183 | 0.915 | 19.621 | 1.097 | 0.852 |
| CL $\times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 10873 | 1.244 | 0.919 | 10.811 | 1.308 | 0.912 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 4.131 | 0.243 | 0.867 | 2.575 | 0.279 | 0.849 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 2688 | 0.198 | 0.758 | -5.483 | 0.374 | 0.793 |
| RL $\times$ ichl | -3.340 | 1.053 | 0.883 | 2.894 | 0.971 | 0.855 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 3.688 | 0.385 | 0.788 | -1.376 | 0.350 | 0.807 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -11.680 | 0.526 | 0.755 | -2.444 | 0.386 | 0.828 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -14.759 | 0.637 | 0.725 | -1.319 | 0.467 | 0.786 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -39 547 | 1.359 | 0.743 | -13.06t | 0.880 | 0.764 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -21.991 | 0.698 | 0.747 | -7.743 | 0.434 | 0.703 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -69.675 | 2.907 | 0.761 | -18.200 | 2.093 | 0.827 |
| RL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -0.537 | 1.035 | 0.899 | 7.382 | 0.936 | 0.793 |
| RL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -0.158 | 1.063 | 0.883 | 9.423 | 0.959 | 0.793 |
| RL. $\times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | -1.886 | 1.119 | 0.887 | 2.631 | 1.087 | 0.807 |
| Tel $\times$ Pl | 3.075 | 0.642 | 0.687 | -7.187 | 1.261 | 0.879 |
| Tel $\times$ Ichl | -3.412 | 3.504 | 0.825 | 3.415 | 3.054 | 0.883 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -5.271 | 1.353 | 0.776 | -2.010 | 1.169 | 0.862 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -13.915 | 1.852 | 0.745 | -3.730 | 1.283 | 0.903 |
| Tel $\times 20$ | -16.357 | 2.192 | 0.700 | -2.253 | 1.521 | 0.842 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -46.091 | 4.822 | 0.739 | -14.615 | 2.860 | 0.816 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -27.659 | 2.583 | 0.775 | -9.147 | 1.439 | 0.765 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -81.634 | 10.219 | 0.750 | -22.608 | 6.833 | 0.887 |
| Tel $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -1.601 | 3.490 | 0.849 | 8.207 | 2.931 | 0.815 |
| Tel $\times 4 w \mid$ | -0.371 | 3.542 | 0.825 | 8.642 | 3.076 | 0.836 |
| Tel $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -1.257 | 3.691 | 0.820 | 1.782 | 3.485 | 0.849 |
| Pl $\times$ Ichl | 14.099 | 3.426 | 0.753 | 28.019 | 2.077 | 0.861 |
| P1 $\times 21$ | 0043 | 1.409 | 0.755 | 7.416 | 0.794 | 0.840 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -5.778 | 1.877 | 0.705 | 6.281 | 0.888 | 0.896 |
| P1 $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -8.114 | 2.304 | 0.687 | 8.971 | 1.087 | 0.863 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -25.576 | 4.927 | 0.705 | 9.923 | 1.873 | 0.766 |

....Continued table 1.6.1

| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Regression Confficient $b$ | Correlation Coefficient r | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient b | Correlation coefficient r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -12.636 | 2.400 | 0.672 | 3.492 | 0.929 | 0.708 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -39.425 | 10.517 | 0.721 | 32.531 | 4.642 | 0.865 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 16.251 | 3.389 | 0.770 | 30.424 | 2.060 | 0.822 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 18.062 | 3.420 | 0.744 | 32.504 | 2.135 | 0.832 |
| P1 $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 16.217 | 3.667 | 0.761 | 28.986 | 2.412 | 0.843 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -2.637 | 0.368 | 0.896 | -1.186 | 0.353 | 0.899 |
| $1 \mathrm{chl} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -10.459 | 0.506 | 0.864 | -2.014 | 0.376 | 0.915 |
| \|chl $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -13.213 | 0.611 | 0.829 | -0.943 | 0.456 | 0.873 |
| lchi $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -37.231 | 1.318 | 0.858 | -11.185 | 0.844 | 0.832 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -20.397 | 0.671 | 0.855 | -8.421 | 0.438 | 0.806 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -63.541 | 2.803 | 0.874 | -15.328 | 2.028 | 0.911 |
| lchl $\times 3$ wi | 6.458 | 0.930 | 0.962 | 2.396 | 0.995 | 0.957 |
| \|chl $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 6.014 | 0.970 | 0.960 | 6.654 | 0.987 | 0.927 |
| \|chl $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 4.712 | 1.020 | 0.963 | 0.338 | 1.107 | 0.933 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -6. 201 | 1.348 | 0.945 | 1.519 | 0.971 | 0.927 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 20$ | -8.739 | 1.659 | 0.923 | 4.863 | 1.174 | 0.837 |
| 2i $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -25.478 | 3.487 | 0.931 | -2.241 | 2.136 | 0.827 |
| 2i $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -13.112 | 1.721 | 0.900 | -4090 | 1.124 | 0.811 |
| 2i $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -40.418 | 7.494 | 0.959 | 4.140 | 5.222 | 0.920 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 23.804 | 2.084 | 0.883 | 18.825 | 2.276 | 0.859 |
| 2i $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 25.093 | 2.128 | 0.864 | 22.985 | 2.255 | 0.831 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 24.449 | 2.252 | 0.872 | 16.183 | 2.632 | 0.870 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -0.803 | 1.219 | 0.967 | 3.314 | 1.140 | 0.896 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -8.217 | 2.540 | 0.967 | -6.663 | 2.244 | 0.909 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -5.282 | 1.280 | 0.954 | -5.642 | 1.149 | 0.868 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -2.374 | 5.422 | 0.989 | -1.312 | 5.269 | 0.972 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 36.849 | 1.412 | 0.854 | 18.696 | 2.206 | 0.872 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 39.179 | 1.412 | 0.817 | 21.496 | 2.240 | 0.865 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 39.342 | 1.496 | 0.826 | 14.423 | 2.616 | 0.906 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -2.189 | 1.942 | 0.932 | -2.746 | 1.638 | 0.844 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1.927 | 0.968 | 0.910 | -3.271 | 0.827 | 0.795 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 8.140 | 4.222 | 0.971 | 3.950 | 3.971 | 0.932 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 40.467 | 1.071 | 0.816 | 22.001 | 1.628 | 0.818 |
| 2c $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 42.857 | 1.069 | 0.780 | 24.330 | 1.699 | 0.820 |
| 2c $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 43.411 | 1.127 | 0.785 | 18.244 | 1.933 | 0.851 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.644 | 0.496 | 0.970 | -1.816 | 0.504 | 0.939 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 19.208 | 2.064 | 0.989 | 25.326 | 2.124 | 0.967 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 42.476 | 0.538 | 0.854 | 34.879 | 0.786 | 0.767 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 44.505 | 0.543 | 0.825 | 38.440 | 0.788 | 0.751 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 44.907 | 0.576 | 0.830 | 33.085 | 0.944 | 0.806 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 28.352 | 3.935 | 0.963 | 43.522 | 3.456 | 0.917 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 3 \mathrm{wi}$ | 44.195 | 1.049 | 0.850 | 41.029 | 1.417 | 0.741 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 46.276 | 1.058 | 0.821 | 45.624 | 1.375 | 0.703 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 46.996 | 1.115 | 0.826 | 40.270 | 1.708 | 0.783 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 37.382 | 0.261 | 0.865 | 21.886 | 0.398 | 0.853 |
| 2chl $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 39.461 | 0.263 | 0.834 | 25.124 | 0.401 | 0.840 |
| $2 \mathrm{chil} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 39.634 | 0.278 | 0.843 | 18.163 | 0.473 | 0.887 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 1.188 | 1.016 | 0.972 | 6.876 | 0.956 | 0.934 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -0.256 | 1.067 | 0.975 | 1.685 | 1.057 | 0.926 |
| $4 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -0.030 | 1.031 | 0.984 | 1.479 | 1.010 | 0.906 |


| TL- Total length | 1chl-Length of 1st cheliped | 2d-Dactylus of 2nd cheliped |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CL- Carapace length | 2i- Ischium of 2nd cheliped | 2chi-Length of 2nd cheliped |
| RL- Rostral length | 2m-Merus of 2nd cheliped | 3wi-Length of 3 rd walking leg |
| Tel- Length of telson | 2c-Carpus of 2nd cheliped | 4 wi-Length of 4th walking leg |
| Pl- Pleural width | 20-Propodus of 2nd cheliped | 5wi-Length of 5th walking leg |

Table 1.6.2 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and correlation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of Macrobrachium idella

| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Males <br> Regression Coefficient b | $\mathrm{df}=130$ <br> Correlation <br> Coefficient r | Regression Constant a | Females <br> Regression Coefficient b | $d f=104$ <br> Correlation Coefficient $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TL $\times$ CL | -3.995 | 0.332 | 0.973 | -3.888 | 0.320 | 0.918 |
| TL $\times$ RL | 0.769 | 0.301 | 0.950 | -0.290 | 0.310 | 0.893 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | -0.309 | 0.151 | 0.929 | -0.328 | 0.137 | 0.875 |
| TL $\times$ PI | -0.65: | 0.110 | 0.897 | -2.865 | 0.173 | 0.889 |
| TL $\times$ Ichi | -8.656 | 0.529 | 0.955 | -2.643 | 0.390 | 0.866 |
| $T L \times 2 i$ | -6.746 | 0.269 | 0.897 | -1.715 | 0.147 | 0.819 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -14.762 | 0.450 | 0.895 | -2.149 | 0.162 | 0.653 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -32.375 | 0.901 | 0.869 | -7.673 | 0.325 | 0.664 |
| TL $\times 2 p$ | -30.490 | 0.851 | 0.887 | -7. 099 | 0.310 | 0.626 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -8.180 | 0.275 | 0.879 | -1.946 | 0.114 | 0.586 |
| TL x 2chl | -77.630 | 2.202 | 0.889 | -16.921 | 0.796 | 0.661 |
| TL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -11.473 | 0.677 | 0.958 | -3.786 | 0.464 | 0.810 |
| TL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -11.647 | 0.710 | 0.971 | -6.682 | 0.554 | 0.918 |
| TL $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -8.626 | 0695 | 0.966 | -5.011 | 0.565 | 0.926 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 4.692 | 0.892 | 0.962 | 6.136 | 0817 | 0.819 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 1.823 | 0.440 | 0.922 | 2.969 | 0.370 | 0.826 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{PI}$ | 0.970 | 0.316 | 0.880 | 0.662 | 0.460 | 0.818 |
| CL $\times$ Ichl | -1.679 | 1.561 | 0.962 | 4.642 | 1.071 | 0.829 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -3.251 | 0.796 | 0.907 | 0.939 | 0.409 | 0.795 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -8.876 | 1.330 | 0.903 | 0.558 | 0.462 | 0.651 |
| $C L \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -20.857 | 2.678 | 0.881 | -1.948 | 0.911 | 0.650 |
| $C L \times 2 p$ | -19.103 | 2.506 | 0.891 | -2.209 | 0.903 | 0.636 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -4.377 | 0.803 | 0.876 | -0.270 | 0.339 | 0.608 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -48.836 | 6.514 | 0.897 | -3.599 | 2.276 | 0.659 |
| CL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -2.410 | 1.994 | 0.963 | 5.163 | 1.260 | 0.766 |
| CL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -2.161 | 2.090 | 0.976 | 4.529 | 1.471 | 0.850 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 0.819 | 2.037 | 0.967 | 6.368 | 1.505 | 0.860 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | -0.327 | 0.457 | 0.890 | 2.390 | 0.345 | 0768 |
| RL $\times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -0.274 | 0.336 | 0.869 | 0.008 | 0.425 | 0.754 |
| RL $\times$ chil | -7.203 | 1.632 | 0.934 | 4.011 | 0.947 | 0.371 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -5.615 | 0.812 | 0.860 | 0.495 | 0.371 | 0.720 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -13.424 | 1.383 | 0.873 | 0.317 | 0.406 | 0.571 |
| $\mathrm{R}: \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -30.109 | 2.789 | 0.853 | -2.908 | 0.826 | 0.588 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -26.999 | 2.577 | 0.851 | -3.096 | 0.815 | 0.573 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -7.223 | 0.839 | 0.851 | -0.281 | 0.290 | 0.519 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -76.145 | 7.562 | 0.866 | -5.193 | 2.419 | 0.623 |
| RL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -9.622 | 2.091 | 0.938 | 2.406 | 1.212 | 0.736 |
| RL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -9.904 | 2.200 | 0.954 | 0.973 | 1.432 | 0826 |
| RL $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -6.629 | 2.153 | 0.949 | 3.081 | 1.448 | 0.826 |
| Tel x PI | 0.750 | 0.617 | 0.820 | -0.838 | 1.010 | 0.804 |
| Tel $x$ Ichl | -3.398 | 3.108 | 0.913 | 1.520 | 2.312 | 0.807 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -3.931 | 1.567 | 0.851 | -0.001 | 0.855 | 0.745 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -9.848 | 2.601 | 0.843 | 0.235 | 0.888 | 0.560 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -22.392 | 5.198 | 0.816 | -3.057 | 1.802 | 0.576 |
| Tel $\times 2 p$ | -20.115 | 4.873 | 0.826 | -2.817 | 1.733 | 0.547 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -4.743 | 1.550 | 0.807 | -0.173 | 0.615 | 0.495 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{ch} 1$ | -56.786 | 14.240 | 0.837 | -5.640 | 5.278 | 0.610 |
| Tei $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -4.198 | 3.931 | 0.905 | 1.919 | 2.674 | 0.728 |
| Tel $\times 4 w$ | -4.389 | 4.153 | 0.925 | 0.381 | 3.160 | 0.818 |
| Tel $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -1.439 | 4.056 | 0.918 | 2.007 | 3.245 | 0.831 |
| PI $\times$ lchl | 0.939 | 3.933 | 0.870 | 7.389 | 1.832 | 0.798 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -1.712 | 1.979 | 0.809 | 2.871 | 6.597 | 0.693 |
| Pi $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -6.828 | 3.375 | 0.823 | 3.563 | 0.579 | 0.759 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -16.786 | 6.803 | 0.804 | 3.469 | 1.202 | 0.483 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -15.190 | 6.355 | 0.811 | 3.902 | 1.105 | 0.438 |

..Continued table 1.6.2

| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient $b$ | Correlation Coefficient r | Regression Constant a | Regression coefficient b | Correlation Coefficient「 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -3.115 | 2.034 | 0.797 | 2.089 | 0.407 | 0.411 |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -40.515 | 18.512 | 0.816 | 13.805 | 3.483 | 0.505 |
| Pl $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 0.256 | 5.116 | 0.887 | 9.382 | 2.040 | 0.699 |
| PI $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 1.350 | 5.264 | 0.883 | 9.257 | 2.405 | 0.783 |
| Pl $\times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 4.168 | 5.142 | 0.876 | 10.701 | 2.518 | 0.810 |
| Ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -1.488 | 0.480 | 0.887 | 1.223 | 0.293 | 0.736 |
| Ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -6.385 | 0.816 | 0.900 | -0.194 | 0.377 | 0.687 |
| Ich $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -15.778 | 1.642 | 0.877 | -3.112 | 0.731 | 0.674 |
| tehi $\times 2 p$ | -14.229 | 1.533 | 0.884 | -2.895 | 0.704 | 0.641 |
| chil $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -2.710 | 0.487 | 0.863 | -0.385 | 0.258 | 0.598 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -37.880 | 4.471 | 0.894 | -4.978 | 2.105 | 0.702 |
| Ichl $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 1.536 | 1.217 | 0.954 | -3.611 | 1.008 | 0.792 |
| Ichl $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 1.900 | 1.278 | 0.968 | 3.971 | 1.123 | 0.839 |
| lchl $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 4.685 | 1.249 | 0.962 | 5.426 | 1.164 | 0.860 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -2.751 | 1.616 | 0.963 | 0.093 | 1.056 | 0.765 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -9.292 | 3.313 | 0.957 | -3.276 | 2.135 | 0.783 |
| 2) $\times 2 p$ | -7.906 | 3.073 | 0.959 | -3.761 | 2.145 | 0.777 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -0.534 | 0.964 | 0.924 | -0.692 | 0.785 | 0.724 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | -19.948 | 9.002 | 0.974 | -6.944 | 6.335 | 0.841 |
| $21 \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -9.940 | 2.177 | 0.923 | 7.841 | 2.389 | 0.747 |
| $2 i \times 4 \mathrm{w}$ | 11.232 | 2.246 | 0.921 | 6.947 | 2.878 | 0.855 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 5 \mathrm{wi}$ | 13.879 | 2.189 | 0.912 | 9664 | 2841 | 0.835 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -3.214 | 2.026 | 0.982 | -2.077 | 1.860 | 0.942 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -2.104 | 1.870 | 0.979 | -2.149 | 1.821 | 0.911 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 1.325 | 0.585 | 0.940 | -0.077 | 0.663 | 0.845 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -2.813 | 5.470 | 0.993 | 0.945 | 5.236 | 0.959 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 14.569 | 1.296 | 0.921 | 12.918 | 1.646 | 0.711 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{w}$ | 15.868 | 1.345 | 0.925 | 14.265 | 1.842 | 0.756 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 18.505 | 1.305 | 0.912 | 17.017 | 1.804 | 0.732 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 1.806 | 0.895 | 0.966 | 0.273 | 0.951 | 0.939 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 2.507 | 0.281 | 0.931 | 0.701 | 0.354 | 0.890 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 7.619 | 2.647 | 0.991 | 6.270 | 2.715 | 0.882 |
| 2c $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 17.510 | 0.613 | 0.899 | 14.651 | 0.892 | 0.761 |
| 2c $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 18.952 | 0.635 | 0.904 | 16.808 | 0.955 | 0.774 |
| $20 \times 5 w$ | 21.556 | 0.615 | 0.886 | 19.661 | 0.924 | 0.740 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 1.991 | 0.312 | 0.959 | 0.611 | 0.371 | 0.946 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 5.574 | 2.855 | 0.990 | 8.048 | 2.666 | 0.976 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 3 \mathrm{w}$ | 16.915 | 0.665 | 0.923 | 16.350 | 0.793 | 0.685 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 4 \mathrm{w}$ | 18.312 | 0.690 | 0.906 | 18.073 | 0.890 | 0.730 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 20.827 | 0.671 | 0.896 | 21.107 | 0.845 | 0.685 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -4.167 | 8.428 | 0.952 | 8.062 | 6.395 | 0.919 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 14.488 | 1.976 | 0.874 | 16.413 | 1.892 | 0.641 |
| 2d $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.632 | 2.064 | 0.883 | 18.179 | 2.118 | 0.682 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 18.203 | 2.089 | 0.874 | 21.085 | 2.031 | 0.647 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.506 | 0.237 | 0.917 | 12.950 | 0.320 | 0.755 |
| $2 \mathrm{chi} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 16.871 | 0.243 | 0.919 | 14.381 | 0.357 | 0.799 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 19.473 | 0.235 | 0.907 | 17.333 | 345.000 | 0.764 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 1.777 | 1.011 | 0.977 | 6.215 | 0.882 | 0.838 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 4.639 | 0.986 | 0.969 | 8.879 | 0.873 | 0.821 |
| $4 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 3.022 | 0.972 | 0.988 | 3.429 | 0.967 | 0.956 |


| gth | ngth of 1st cheliped | 2d- Dactylus of 2nd cheliped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CL-Carapace length | 2 i - Ischium of 2 nd cheliped | 2 chl - Length of 2 nd cheliped |
| RL- Rostrai length | 2 m - Merus of 2 nd cheliped | 3 wl - Length of 3rd walking leg |
| Tel-Length of telson | 2 c - Carpus of 2 nd cheliped | 4 wl - Length of 4th walking leg |
| Fl - Pleural width | 2 p - Propodus of 2 nd cheliped | 5 wl - Length of 5 th walking leg |

Table 1.6.3 Vafues of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and correlation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of Macrobrachium equidens

| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Males <br> Regression Coefficient b | $\mathrm{df}=96$ <br> Correlation Coefficient「 | Regression Constant a | Females <br> Regression Coefficient b | $d f=105$ <br> Correlation <br> Coefficient <br> r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TL×CL | -2.268 | 0.315 | 0.965 | -1.850 | 0.303 | 0.846 |
| TL $\times$ R L | -0.383 | 0.328 | 0.969 | -1.061 | 0.316 | 0.785 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | -0. 551 | 0.149 | 0.908 | 0.878 | 0.119 | 0.720 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 0.727 | 0.092 | 0.879 | -2.578 | 0.176 | 0.785 |
| TL $\times$ Ichl | -7.813 | 0.500 | 0.976 | -2.476 | 0.399 | 0.889 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -1.662 | 0.163 | 0.805 | -0.906 | 0.140 | 0.741 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -5.993 | 0.273 | 0.817 | -1.633 | 0.169 | 0.906 |
| TL $\times 20$ | -13.988 | 0.499 | 0.795 | -2.444 | 0.245 | 0.788 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -12.869 | 0.511 | 0.790 | -3.927 | 0.321 | 0.862 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -2.992 | 0.181 | 0.677 | -0.815 | 0.125 | 0.766 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -32.849 | 1.284 | 0.805 | -8.910 | 0.875 | 0.875 |
| TL $\times 3 \mathrm{Wl}$ | -7.474 | 0.586 | 0.943 | -8.004 | 0.734 | 0.869 |
| TL $\times 4 \mathrm{Wl}$ | -7.189 | 0.605 | 0.939 | -1.278 | 0.460 | 0.914 |
| TL $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -5.849 | 0.602 | 0.948 | 2.575 | 0.418 | 0.844 |
| $C L \times R L$ | 2.683 | 1.005 | 0.965 | 1.565 | 0.997 | 0.888 |
| CL $\times$ Tel | 0.795 | 0.458 | 0.910 | 3.126 | 0.636 | 0.636 |
| CL $\times$ PI | 1.496 | 0.287 | 0.891 | 0.991 | 0.416 | 0.666 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{lchl}$ | -2.446 | 0.149 | 0.948 | 4843 | 0.997 | 0.795 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -0.005 | 0.493 | 0.790 | 1.754 | 0.345 | 0.692 |
| $C L \times 2 m$ | -3.672 | 0.850 | 0.826 | 1.276 | 0.435 | 0.834 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -10.010 | 1.564 | 0.812 | 1.793 | 0.628 | 0.724 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -8.107 | 1.566 | 0.788 | 1.784 | 0.814 | 0.782 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1.220 | 0.548 | 0.668 | 1.557 | 0.307 | 0.674 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{cht}$ | -21.788 | 3.980 | 0.812 | 4.852 | 1.877 | 0.794 |
| CL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -2.558 | 1.824 | 0.956 | 5.937 | 1.155 | 0.846 |
| CL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -2 163 | 1.885 | 0.953 | 6.924 | 1.165 | 0.829 |
| $\mathrm{Cl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | $-0.765$ | 1.874 | 0.960 | 9.837 | 1.070 | 0.774 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Te}$ | 0.049 | 0.433 | 0.895 | 3.544 | 0.243 | 0.589 |
| RL $\times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 1.171 | 0.264 | 0.854 | 2.358 | 0.296 | 0.533 |
| RL $\times$ \|chl | -4.894 | 1.411 | 0.933 | 6.506 | 0.807 | 0.723 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -0.874 | 0.469 | 0.782 | 2.229 | 0.285 | 0.606 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -4.639 | 0.783 | 0.792 | 2.055 | 0.349 | 0.752 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -11.479 | 1.426 | 0.771 | 2.750 | 0.514 | 0.666 |
| $R \mathrm{~L} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -10.392 | 1.467 | 0.768 | 3.656 | 0.628 | 0.679 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -2.593 | 0.541 | 0.687 | 1.510 | 0.282 | 0.695 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | -27.385 | 4.144 | 0.788 | 10.689 | 1.776 | 0.714 |
| RL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -5.587 | 1.726 | 0.941 | 7.318 | 0.967 | 0.796 |
| RL $\times 4 \mathrm{w}$ | -5.357 | 1.787 | 0.940 | 7.948 | 0.997 | 0.798 |
| RL $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -4.098 | 1.784 | 0.951 | 10.499 | 0.933 | 0.759 |
| Tel x PI | 1.955 | 0.521 | 0.814 | 0.063 | 0.954 | 0.708 |
| Tel $\times$ Ich! | -1.554 | 2.873 | 0.918 | 6.010 | 1.839 | 0.680 |
| Tei $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 1.136 | 0.855 | 0.690 | $\uparrow .280$ | 0.753 | 0.659 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -1.539 | 1.456 | 0.713 | 2.152 | 0.754 | 0.671 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -6.551 | 2.732 | 0.714 | 2.871 | 1.115 | 0.596 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -3.417 | 2.599 | 0.658 | 3.956 | 1.342 | 0.598 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.443 | 0.906 | 0.557 | 1.613 | 0.607 | 0.617 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{cht}$ | -10.370 | 7.642 | 0.703 | 10.258 | 3.963 | 0.658 |
| Tel $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -0.305 | 3.384 | 0.892 | 8.875 | 1.923 | 0.653 |
| Tel $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 0.032 | 3.511 | 0.893 | 9.178 | 2.033 | 0.671 |
| Tel $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 1.374 | 3.494 | 0.901 | 12.341 | 1.811 | 0.608 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times$ ichl | -3.826 | 4.237 | 0.867 | 8.132 | 1.623 | 0.809 |
| PI $\times 2 i$ | -0.713 | 1.438 | 0.742 | 3.633 | 0.461 | 0.545 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -5.075 | 2.506 | 0.785 | 3.549 | 0.593 | 0.711 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -12.450 | 4.592 | 0.768 | 4.706 | 0.909 | 0.654 |
| PI $\times 2 p$ | -10.740 | 4.624 | 0.749 | 4.819 | 1.278 | 0.768 |


| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient b | Correlation Coefficient r | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficiert b | Correlation Coefficient「 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1.192 | 1.475 | 0.580 | 3.232 | 0.410 | 0.562 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -28.978 | 13.159 | 0.774 | 16.707 | 3.241 | 0.725 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -4.741 | 5.255 | 0.887 | 11.798 | 1.601 | 0.733 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 4 \mathrm{w}$ | -4.312 | 5.414 | 0.881 | 12.870 | 1.610 | 0.716 |
| Pl $\times 5 \mathrm{wt}$ | -2.395 | 5.304 | 0.875 | 14.559 | 1.580 | 0.714 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 1.334 | 0.309 | 0.779 | 1.243 | 0.288 | 0.682 |
| ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -1.079 | 0.520 | 0.796 | 0.702 | 0.359 | 0.864 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -5.238 | 0.958 | 0.783 | 1.038 | 0.516 | 0.745 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -3.540 | 0.967 | 0.766 | 0.526 | 0.682 | 0.822 |
| $1 \mathrm{chi} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.676 | 0.326 | 0.626 | 0.929 | 0.265 | 0.729 |
| $1 \mathrm{chl} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -8.523 | 2.754 | 0.792 | 3.509 | 1.845 | 0.828 |
| lchl $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -2.866 | 1.123 | 0.926 | 4.375 | 0.956 | 0.878 |
| Ichl $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -3.285 | 1.167 | 0.928 | 5.147 | 0.975 | 0.870 |
| lchl $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -4.915 | 1.149 | 0.926 | 7.257 | 0.943 | 0.855 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -1.757 | 1.509 | 0.915 | 2.341 | 0.792 | 0.805 |
| $2 \mathrm{j} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -6.371 | 2.765 | 0.895 | 2.418 | 1.275 | 0.778 |
| $2 \times 2 p$ | -5.235 | 2.854 | 0.896 | 4.279 | 1.412 | 0.719 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.278 | 0.942 | 0.718 | 1.398 | 0.690 | 0.801 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -13.364 | 8.128 | 0.926 | 9.038 | 4.474 | 0.849 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 7.764 | 2.537 | 0.829 | 9.743 | 1.965 | 0.762 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 8.600 | 2.611 | 0.823 | 10.450 | 2.027 | 0.764 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 10.149 | 2.570 | 0.821 | 13.463 | 1.807 | 0.692 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -3.136 | 1.831 | 0.978 | -0.221 | 1.467 | 0.881 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -1.922 | 1.893 | 0.980 | 0.242 | 1.826 | 0.915 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 1.522 | 0.612 | 0.768 | 0.661 | 0.706 | 0.807 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -2643 | 5.279 | 0.992 | 0.092 | 5.111 | 0.953 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{w}$ | 11.753 | 1.593 | 0.858 | 5.161 | 2.326 | 0.888 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 12.549 | 1.652 | 0.859 | 5.927 | 2.373 | 0.880 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 14.205 | 1.612 | 0.849 | 8.744 | 2203 | 0.830 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 1.939 | 0.999 | 0.969 | 1.461 | 1.112 | 0.934 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 2.670 | 0.329 | 0.773 | 1.529 | 0.414 | 0.789 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 7.692 | 0.281 | 0.990 | 4.959 | 3.124 | 0.970 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.049 | 0.838 | 0.846 | 9.112 | 1.269 | 0.806 |
| 2c $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.948 | 0.871 | 0.848 | 10.208 | 1.272 | 0.786 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 17.470 | 0.852 | 0.841 | 12.822 | 1.172 | 0.736 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 1.827 | 0.339 | 0.822 | 1.454 | 0.337 | 0.769 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 3.952 | 2.727 | 0.990 | 3.537 | 2.603 | 0.969 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 14.638 | 0.778 | 0.810 | 7.770 | 1.111 | 0.847 |
| $2 p \times 4 w$ | 15.500 | 0.809 | 0.813 | 8.629 | 1.131 | 0.837 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 16.992 | 0.794 | 0.809 | 11.369 | 1.041 | 0.784 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | 12.708 | 5.322 | 0.797 | 8.583 | 5.110 | 0.833 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 17.452 | 1.482 | 0.636 | 8.568 | 2.398 | 0.801 |
| 2d $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 18.418 | 1.543 | 0.639 | 9.293 | 2.465 | 0.800 |
| 2d $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 19.429 | 1.563 | 0.656 | 11.616 | 2.328 | 0.768 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 12.902 | 0.296 | 0.848 | 6.232 | 0.428 | 0.875 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 13.733 | 0.307 | 0.849 | 7.090 | 0.434 | 0.864 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 15287 | 0.301 | 0.843 | 10.013 | 0.399 | 0.806 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 0.659 | 1.027 | 0.991 | 2.377 | 0.947 | 0.920 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 2.365 | 1.040 | 0.988 | 4.666 | 0.913 | 0.901 |
| $4 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 1.943 | 0.976 | 0.989 | 5.011 | 0.857 | 0.871 |


| th | 1chi-Length of 1st cheliped | 2 d - Dactylus of 2 nd cheliped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CL- Carapace length | 2 i - Ischium of 2 nd cheliped | 2 chl - Length of 2 nd cheliped |
| RL- Rostral length | 2 m - Merus of 2 nd cheliped | $3 \mathrm{w}-$ Length of 3rd walking leg |
| Tel- Length of telson | 20- Carpus of 2nd cheliped | 4 wl - Length of 4 th walking leg |
| Pl - Pleural width | 2p-Propodus of 2nd cheliped | 5 wl -Length of 5 th walking leg |

Table 1.6.4 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and correlation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of Macrobrachium striatus

| Relationstrips | Regression Constant a | Males <br> Regression Coefficient b | $d f=110$ <br> Corseration <br> coefficient $r$ | Regression Constant a | Females <br> Regression Coefficient b | $d f=101$ <br> Correlation Coefficient r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T L \times C L$ | -1.796 | 0.322 | 0.948 | -2.355 | 0.325 | 0.911 |
| $T \mathrm{~L} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 1.871 | 0.290 | 0.915 | 2.973 | 0.271 | 0.782 |
| TL $\times$ Tel | -0.131 | 0.139 | 0.855 | 0.585 | 0.126 | 0.874 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 1.742 | 0.089 | 0.650 | -3.195 | 0.180 | 0.866 |
| TL $\times$ Ichl | -3.828 | 0.453 | 0.919 | -4.414 | 0.450 | 0.917 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -6.711 | 0.242 | 0.825 | -1.805 | 0.154 | 0.741 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -13.904 | 0.401 | 0.908 | -5.338 | 0.245 | 0.828 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -30.520 | 0.760 | 0.872 | -9.422 | 0.371 | 0.867 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -36.551 | 0.947 | 0.865 | -11.366 | 0.490 | 0.853 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -8.796 | 0.287 | 0.349 | -3.817 | 0.177 | 0.725 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -80.975 | 2.109 | 0.885 | -26.126 | 1.106 | 0.865 |
| TL $\times 3 \mathrm{w}$ | -6.950 | 0.566 | 0.939 | -2.321 | 0.466 | 0.888 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times 4 \mathrm{Wl}$ | -5.117 | 0.552 | 0.942 | -1.603 | 0.473 | 0.896 |
| TL $\times 5 \mathrm{Wl}$ | -1.395 | 0.508 | 0.836 | -1.348 | 0.489 | 0.896 |
| $C L \times R L$ | 4.725 | 0.842 | 0.903 | 5.455 | 0.805 | 0.828 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 1.141 | 0.409 | 0.853 | 1.990 | 0.361 | 0.892 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 2.438 | 0.266 | 0.662 | 0.556 | 0.476 | 0.823 |
| CL $\times$ Ichl | 0.760 | 1.309 | 0.906 | 1.702 | 1.225 | 0.891 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -4.595 | 0.713 | 0.828 | 0.055 | 0.433 | 0.742 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -9.851 | 1.159 | 0.892 | -2.394 | 0.689 | 0.831 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -23.024 | 2.204 | 0.860 | -4.452 | 1.015 | 0.846 |
| $C L \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -27.454 | 2.759 | 0.857 | -4.920 | 1.347 | 0.837 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -4.739 | 0.778 | 0.322 | -1.095 | 0.464 | 0.679 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -60.329 | 6.122 | 0.874 | -11.766 | 3.051 | 0.851 |
| CL. $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -1.168 | 1.633 | 0.921 | 3.690 | 1.288 | 0.875 |
| CL. $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 0.974 | 1.569 | 0.911 | 4.173 | 1.327 | 0.895 |
| CL $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 3.334 | 1.487 | 0.832 | 4.631 | 1.369 | 0895 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 0.355 | 0.420 | 0.815 | 2.121 | 0.319 | 0.766 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 2.091 | 0.255 | 0.615 | -0.943 | 0.452 | 0.754 |
| RL $\times$ lchl | -2.407 | 1.370 | 0.881 | 1.913 | 1.095 | 0.773 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -4.496 | 0.666 | 0.721 | 1.527 | 0.317 | 0.528 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -11.680 | 1.170 | 0840 | -2.319 | 0.618 | 0.724 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -26.984 | 2.247 | 0.818 | -4.119 | 0.899 | 0.728 |
| RL $\times 2 p$ | -31847 | 2.787 | 0.807 | -4.548 | 1.197 | 0.722 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -5.371 | 0.760 | 0.293 | -0. 193 | 0.374 | 0.531 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -75.006 | 6.870 | 0.824 | -9.459 | 3.030 | 0.725 |
| $R \mathrm{~L} \times 3 \mathrm{w}$ | -5.809 | 1740 | 0.915 | 4.039 | 1.145 | 0.756 |
| RL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -3.394 | 1.668 | 0.903 | 3.882 | 1.212 | 0.794 |
| RL. $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | -0.414 | 1.563 | 0.816 | 3.829 | 1.275 | 0.810 |
| Telx PI | 4.055 | 0.409 | 0.489 | -1.491 | 4.126 | 0.783 |
| Tel $\times$ Icht | 4.667 | 2.429 | 0.804 | -0.319 | 2.833 | 0.834 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -2.413 | 1.318 | 0.735 | -0.856 | 1.024 | 0.712 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -6.900 | 2.202 | 0814 | -3.472 | 1.587 | 0.775 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -16.129 | 4.059 | 0.760 | -6.957 | 2.446 | 0.825 |
| Tel $\times 2 p$ | -17.617 | 4.957 | 0.739 | -7.968 | 3.214 | 0808 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1.642 | 1.366 | 0.272 | -1.938 | 1.083 | 0.642 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | -43.059 | 12.536 | 0.774 | -19.253 | 8.271 | 0.825 |
| Tel $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 2.743 | 3.129 | 0.846 | 1.688 | 2.965 | 0.816 |
| Tel $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 5.043 | 2.975 | 0.829 | 2.217 | 3.041 | 0.831 |
| Tel $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 7.725 | 2.765 | 0.743 | 2.454 | 3.157 | 0.836 |
| Pi $\times$ Ichl | 9.298 | 2.384 | 0.660 | 7.907 | 1.961 | 0.830 |
| Pl $\times 2$ | 0.472 | 1.248 | 0.582 | 2.357 | 0.679 | 0.679 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -0.976 | 1.947 | 0.602 | 1.414 | 1.064 | 0.747 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -6.908 | 3.800 | 0.596 | 0.207 | 1.685 | 0.818 |
| Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -7.458 | 4.777 | 0.596 | 1.803 | 2.170 | 0.785 |

....Continued table 1.6.4

| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Regression Coelficient b | Correlation Conefficient $r$ | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient b | Correlation coefficient「 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.193 | 1.436 | 0.239 | 1.340 | 0.733 | 0.624 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -14.871 | 11.772 | 0.608 | 5.781 | 5.598 | 0.803 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 3 \mathrm{w}$ | 9.766 | 2.940 | 0.666 | 10.218 | 2.062 | 0.816 |
| $P 1 \times 4 w \mid$ | 11.689 | 2.799 | 0.653 | 10.664 | 2.153 | 0.846 |
| Pl $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 14.196 | 2.565 | 0.577 | 11.490 | 2.201 | 0.838 |
| ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -2.877 | 0.470 | 0.792 | 0.009 | 0.330 | 0.778 |
| fchl $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -7.119 | 0.766 | 0.856 | -2.461 | 0.525 | 0.879 |
| ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -18.106 | 1.467 | 0.830 | -4.915 | 0.789 | 0.904 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -20.699 | 1.815 | 0.818 | -5.414 | 1.042 | 0.890 |
| $1 \mathrm{chl} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -4.758 | 0.580 | 0.348 | -1.770 | 0.380 | 0.765 |
| Ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{cht}$ | -48.800 | 4.518 | 0.843 | -12.781 | 2.685 | 0.910 |
| \|chl $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 0.561 | 1.154 | 0.944 | 4.659 | 0.935 | 0.873 |
| $\mid$ chl $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 2329 | 1.120 | 0.943 | 4.360 | 0.998 | 0.926 |
| $\|c h l \times 5 w\|$ | 5.074 | 1.045 | 0.848 | 5.172 | 1.015 | 0.912 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 0.875 | 1316 | 0.872 | 1.242 | 1.117 | 0.785 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -3.546 | 2.591 | 0.871 | 1.320 | 1.592 | 0.773 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -2.901 | 3.226 | 0.863 | 2.596 | 2.133 | 0.772 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 3.542 | 0.782 | 0.279 | 0.741 | 0.831 | 0.708 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ - | -5.572 | 8.134 | 0.901 | 5.159 | 5.842 | 0.839 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.547 | 1.703 | 0.827 | 13.266 | 1.733 | 0.686 |
| $2 i \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 16.871 | 1.653 | 0.826 | 14.039 | 1.785 | 0.702 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 18.990 | 1.510 | 0.728 | 15.084 | 1.807 | 0.689 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -4.323 | 1.905 | 0.966 | 0.112 | 1.369 | 0.946 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -3.820 | 2.369 | 0.955 | 0.934 | 4.838 | 0.947 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 2.216 | 0.649 | 0.349 | 0.918 | 0.634 | 0.769 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | -6.128 | 5.852 | 0.978 | 3.367 | 4.758 | 0.972 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.649 | 1.211 | 0.886 | 14.049 | 1.280 | 0.722 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 16.843 | 1.183 | -0.896 | 13.760 | 1.427 | 0.799 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 18.696 | 1.094 | 0.799 | 14.531 | 1.472 | 0.798 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 2.017 | 1.224 | 0.974 | 1.504 | 1.290 | 0.901 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 3.993 | 0.328 | 0.348 | 0.996 | 0.454 | 0.796 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 8.720 | 3.006 | 0.991 | 5.132 | 3.320 | 0.981 |
| 2c $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 19.339 | 0.596 | 0.861 | 13.854 | 0.942 | 0.768 |
| 2c $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 20.362 | 0.586 | 0.872 | 13.444 | 1.057 | 0.856 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 21.694 | 0.556 | 0.797 | 14.362 | 1.079 | 0.847 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 3.197 | 0.279 | 0.368 | 0.565 | 0.346 | 0.816 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 5.778 | 2.391 | 0.990 | 0.299 | 2.483 | 0.895 |
| 2p x 3w | 18.958 | 0.468 | 0.849 | 13.107 | 0.712 | 0.779 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 4 \mathrm{w}$ | 19.886 | 0.463 | 0.866 | 12955 | 0.781 | 0.848 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 24.562 | 0.429 | 0.773 | 13.970 | 0.791 | 0.833 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 66.737 | 1.147 | 0.356 | 15.718 | 4.854 | 0.818 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 30.952 | 0.218 | 0.297 | 16.507 | 1.425 | 0.662 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 31.476 | 0.241 | 0.337 | 16.884 | 1.536 | 0.709 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 32.394 | 0.215 | 0.290 | 18.262 | 1.514 | 0.677 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 17.497 | 0.200 | 0.875 | 12.408 | 0.283 | 0.782 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 18.544 | 0.196 | 0.887 | 12.155 | 0.312 | 0.853 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{5wl}$ | 20.219 | 0.183 | 0.797 | 13.074 | 0.317 | 0.843 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 2.665 | 0.994 | 0.972 | 3.195 | 0.925 | 0.918 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 5.186 | 0.887 | 0.881 | 3.815 | 0.947 | 0.911 |
| $4 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 3.577 | 0.914 | 0.881 | 1.532 | 0.989 | 0.958 |


| TL-Total length | 1chl-Length of 1st cheliped | 2d- Dactylus of 2nd cheliped |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CL-Carapace length | 2i- Ischium of 2nd cheliped | 2chl-Length of 2nd cheliped |
| RL- Rostral length | 2m-Merus of 2nd cheliped | 3wi-Length of 3rd walking leg |
| Tel- Length of telson | 2c-Carpus of 2nd cheliped | 4wl-Length of 4th walking leg |
| PI-Pleural width | 2p- Propodus of 2nd cheliped | $5 w 1$-Length of 5 th walking leg |

Table 1.6.5 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and correlation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of Macrobrachium scabriculum

| Relationstios | Regression Constant a | Males Regression Coefficient b | $\mathrm{df}=38$ <br> Correlation <br> Coefficient $r$ | Regression Constant a | Females <br> Regression Coefficient b | $\text { df }=28$ <br> Correlation <br> Coetficient r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{CL}$ | -3.545 | 0.397 | 0.963 | -5.686 | 0.410 | 0.953 |
| TL $\times$ RL | -0.040 | 0.301 | 0.885 | -0.948 | 0.290 | 0.820 |
| TL $\times$ Tel | 0.793 | 0.124 | 0.751 | 0.710 | 0.128 | 0.519 |
| TL $\times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -0.405 | 0.113 | 0.644 | 0.629 | 0.137 | 0.474 |
| TL $\times$ ichi | 1.050 | 0.380 | 0.860 | -5.480 | 0.475 | 0.950 |
| $T L \times 2 i$ | 9860 | 0.044 | 0.036 | 1.684 | 0.064 | 0.681 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 11.516 | -0.012 | 0.033 | -1.358 | 0.151 | 0.888 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 6.149 | 0.105 | 0.246 | -1.141 | 0.154 | 0.920 |
| TL $\times 2 p$ | 21.873 | 0.095 | 0.086 | -2.204 | 0.257 | 0.704 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 14.346 | 0.037 | 0.049 | -2.420 | 0.161 | 0.919 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 39.539 | 0.189 | 0.110 | -4.703 | 0.562 | 0.873 |
| TL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 0.715 | 0.418 | 0.926 | -7.997 | 0.523 | 0.844 |
| TL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -0.090 | 0.432 | 0.930 | -10.786 | 0.590 | 0.895 |
| TL $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 3.033 | 0.394 | 0.846 | -4.890 | 0.511 | 0.954 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 3.088 | 0.716 | 0.867 | 2.884 | 0.719 | 0.874 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Tel}$ | 2.109 | 0.301 | . 0.752 | 3.725 | 0.236 | 0.410 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | -0.021 | 0.318 | 0.747 | 3.953 | 0.244 | 0.364 |
| CL $\times$ lchl | 4.898 | 0.933 | 0.870 | 2.562 | 1.068 | 0.919 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 2.615 | 0.188 | 0.195 | 3.284 | 0.112 | 0.511 |
| $C L \times 2 m$ | 9.819 | 0.056 | 0.064 | 1.288 | 0.333 | 0.844 |
| CL $\times 20$ | 6.000 | 0.323 | 0.312 | 1.613 | 0.338 | 0.867 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -17.848 | 0.501 | 0.188 | 3.267 | 0.507 | 0.598 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 11.533 | 0.261 | 0.143 | -0.106 | 0.389 | 0.953 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 33.667 | 0.880 | 0.212 | 6.168 | 1.178 | 0.788 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 3 \mathrm{Wl}$ | 5.189 | 1.014 | 0.925 | -1.038 | 1.295 | 0.899 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 4 \mathrm{Wl}$ | 5.099 | 1.017 | 0.902 | -2.464 | 1.430 | 0.933 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 5 \mathrm{Wl}$ | 6.501 | 0.996 | 0.881 | 3.789 | 1.147 | 0.924 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times$ Tel | 2314 | 0.329 | 0.678 | 4.964 | 0.174 | 0.251 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{Pl}$ | 1.035 | 0.296 | 0.575 | 6.484 | 0.095 | 0.117 |
| RL $\times$ lchl | 6.047 | 0.988 | 0.761 | 2.370 | 1.201 | 0.849 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 3.359 | 0.168 | 0.144 | 2.862 | 0.154 | 0.578 |
| RL $\times 2 m$ | 11.788 | -0.057 | 0.054 | 1.951 | 0.324 | 0.675 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 9.229 | 0.171 | 0.136 | 2.334 | 0.326 | 0.687 |
| $R L \times 2 p$ | 30.850 | -0.222 | 0.069 | 1.439 | 0.692 | 0.670 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 18.039 | -0. 100 | 0.045 | 0.056 | 0.421 | 0.849 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 55.226 | 0.060 | 0.012 | 8.585 | 1.495 | 0.733 |
| RL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 5.247 | 1.147 | 0.864 | -1.707 | 1.487 | 0.848 |
| RL $\times 4 w^{1}$ | 3.680 | 1.240 | 0.908 | -3.246 | 1.645 | 0.882 |
| RL. $\times 5 \mathrm{wi}$ | 8.936 | 0.982 | 0.717 | 1.909 | 1.407 | 0.929 |
| Tel $\times$ Pl | 0.467 | 0.704 | 0.663 | 0.511 | 0.979 | 0.841 |
| Tel $\times$ ichl | 7.851 | 1.869 | 0.699 | 11.169 | 1.130 | 0.559 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 1.570 | 0.589 | 0.245 | 3.735 | 0.178 | 0.469 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 10.584 | 0.034 | 0.016 | 4.245 | 0.315 | 0.459 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 13.154 | -0.145 | 0.056 | 3.987 | 0.405 | 0.597 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 35.588 | -1.085 | 0.163 | 4.703 | 0.891 | 0.604 |
| $\mathrm{Te}!\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 27.373 | -1.420 | 0.313 | 3.558 | 0.341 | 0.481 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 60.896 | -0.606 | 0.056 | 16.669 | 1.789 | 0.614 |
| Tef $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ - | 8.622 | 2.002 | 0.732 | 13.368 | 0.841 | 0.335 |
| Tel $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 7.426 | 2.156 | 0.766 | 11.320 | 1.212 | 0.455 |
| Tel $\times 5 \mathrm{Wl}$ | 10.503 | 1.885 | 0.340 | 14.910 | 0.964 | 0.445 |
| PI $\times$ \|chi | 13.839 | 1.432 | 0.568 | 12593 | 0.897 | 0.517 |
| $\mathrm{PI} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 5.106 | 0.172 | 0.076 | 4.092 | 0.125 | 0.382 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 9.045 | 0306 | 0.151 | 4.300 | 0.293 | 0.499 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 9.717 | 0.392 | 0.161 | 4.482 | 0.323 | 0.555 |
| PI $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 22.204 | 0.845 | 0.135 | 7684 | 0.471 | 0.372 |

Continued table 1.6.5

| Relationstrips | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient $B$ | Correlation Coefficient r | Regression Constant a | Regression Coetficient b | Correlation Coefficient 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 15311 | 0.183 | 0.043 | 4.301 | 0.231 | 0.379 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 46.073 | 1.716 | 0.170 | 20.557 | 1212 | 0.485 |
| Pl $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.294 | 1.490 | 0.579 | 14.006 | 0.721 | 0.335 |
| PI $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.203 | 1.501 | 0.567 | 13.608 | 0.865 | 0.378 |
| PI $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 15.856 | 1.560 | 0.587 | 16.890 | 0.668 | 0.359 |
| Ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 1.857 | 0.191 | 0.213 | 2.476 | 0.132 | 0.703 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 9.803 | 0.047 | 0.059 | 1.160 | 0.277 | 0.818 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 3.429 | 0.389 | 0.399 | 1.487 | 0.282 | 0.840 |
| lchl $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 15.342 | 0.532 | 0.213 | 0.513 | 0.558 | 0.759 |
| $1 \mathrm{chl} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 9.303 | 0.318 | 0.188 | 0.122 | 0.305 | 0.869 |
| Ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | 30.431 | 1.156 | 0.288 | 5.636 | 1.245 | 0.864 |
| lchl $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 3.319 | 0.932 | 0.912 | -1.230 | 1064 | 0.858 |
| \|chi $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 3.790 | 0.910 | 0865 | -3.502 | 1.217 | 0.923 |
| \|chi $\times 5 \mathrm{w} \mid$ | 6.593 | 0.829 | 0.786 | 1.815 | 1.035 | 0.966 |
| 2i $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 10.384 | 0.077 | 0.086 | -0.020 | 1.306 | 0.725 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 11.265 | 0.126 | 0.117 | 1.800 | 1.030 | 5.770 |
| $2 i \times 2 p$ | 27.210 | -0.002 | 0.001 | -4848 | 3.129 | 0807 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 18.293 | -0.310 | 0.164 | 0.405 | 1.126 | 0.603 |
| $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 48860 | 1.201 | 0.270 | -2.704 | 6.466 | 0.844 |
| $21 \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 23.342 | 0.124 | 0.109 | -1.484 | 4.172 | 0.633 |
| $2 i \times 4 w \mid$ | 22.178 | 0.310 | 0.265 | -2.161 | 4.450 | 0.635 |
| $21 \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 24.054 | 0.167 | 0.142 | 1.259 | 4.117 | 0.723 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 5.489 | 0.603 | 0.502 | 1.163 | 0.887 | 0.896 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 8.629 | 1.711 | 0.553 | 1.456 | 1502 | 0.698 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 8.405 | 0.736 | 0.350 | 0.339 | 0.875 | 0.844 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{cht}$ | 19.146 | 3.346 | 0.692 | 5.036 | 3.792 | 0.892 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 23.400 | 0.065 | 0.051 | -0.862 | 3.109 | 0.850 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 23.154 | 0.085 | 0.065 | -0.630 | 3.185 | 0.819 |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 20.924 | 0.382 | 0.292 | 5.317 | 2.547 | 0.806 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 0.573 | 2.212 | 0.859 | 0.604 | 1.535 | 0.706 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1.681 | 1.502 | 0.857 | 0.181 | 0.845 | 0.808 |
| 2c $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 17.170 | 3.680 | 0.914 | 3.657 | 3.763 | 0.876 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 3 \mathrm{wi}$ | 19.436 | 0.388 | 0.367 | 1.696 | 2.560 | 0.693 |
| 2c $\times 4 \mathrm{wf}$ | 20.595 | 0.289 | 0.266 | -0.088 | 2.918 | 0.743 |
| $2 \mathrm{c} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 20.254 | 0.401 | 0.368 | 3.269 | 2.687 | 0.842 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -0.521 | 0.521 | 0.914 | 2846 | 0287 | 0.597 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 14.272 | 1.514 | 0.969 | 8.937 | 1.857 | 0.940 |
| $2 \mathrm{p} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 22.167 | 0.071 | 0.173 | 7.575 | 1.063 | 0.625 |
| $2 p \times 4 w$ | 23.268 | 0.030 | 0.070 | 5.890 | 1.275 | 0.705 |
| $2 p \times 5 w$ | 20.934 | 0.152 | 0.360 | 9.326 | 1.125 | 0.766 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 22.775 | 1.994 | 0.868 | 10.813 | 3.151 | 0.768 |
| 2d $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 22.113 | 0.121 | 0201 | -0.165 | 3.244 | 0.919 |
| 2d $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 23.782 | 0.018 | 0.029 | -1.097 | 3.516 | 0.936 |
| $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 22.500 | 0.157 | 0.253 | 5.728 | 2.684 | 0.879 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 20.611 | 0.063 | 0.240 | -0.008 | 0.654 | 0.760 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 21.799 | 0.041 | 0.152 | -1.766 | 0.737 | 0.806 |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 19.038 | 0.109 | 0.402 | 2.730 | 0.645 | 0.868 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 1.035 | 0.956 | 0.929 | 0.039 | 1.035 | 0.974 |
| $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 3.768 | 0.884 | 0.857 | 7.794 | 0.729 | 0.844 |
| $4 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 4.208 | 0.867 | 0.865 | 7.184 | 0733 | 0.902 |


| TL- Total length | 1chl-Length of 1st cheliped | 2d-Dactylus of 2nd cheliped |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CL-Carapace length | 2i- schium of 2nd cheliped | 2chl-Length of 2nd cheliped |
| RL-Rostral length | 2m-Merus of 2nd cheliped | 3wl-Length of 3rd walking leg |
| Tel- Length of telson | 2c-Carpus of 2nd cheliped | 4wi-Length of 4th walking leg |
| PI- Pleural width | 2p-Propodus of 2nd cheliped | 5wi-Length of 5th walking leg |

Table 1.6.6 Values of intercept (a), slope (regression coefficient, b) and correiation coefficient (r) of different morphometric characters of Macrobrachium rude

| Relationships | Regression Constant a | Males <br> Regression <br> Coefficient b | $d f=13$ <br> Correlation <br> Coefficient <br> r | Relationships | Regression Constant a | Regression Coefficient b | Correlation Coefficient r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TL $\times \mathrm{CL}$ | -5.304 | 0.270 | 0.695 | Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 28.946 | -0.969 | 0.164 |
| $\mathrm{TL} \times \mathrm{RL}$ | 0.526 | 0.297 | 0.759 | Pl $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 119.785 | 1.931 | 0.075 |
| TL $\times$ Tel | 0.379 | 0.131 | 0.498 | $\mathrm{Pl} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 19.152 | 3.055 | 0.467 |
| TL $\times$ PI | -2.723 | 0.131 | 0.900 | PI $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 14.789 | 3.596 | 0.515 |
| TL $\times$ chi | 2.211 | 0.402 | 0.756 | Pl $\times 5 \mathrm{wi}$ | 26.049 | 2.658 | 0.402 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 9.009 | 0.073 | 0.109 |  |  |  |  |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 12.446 | 0.154 | 0.267 | Ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | -12.385 | 0.714 | 0.564 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -11.386 | 0.604 | 0.376 | ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 4.443 | 0.565 | 0.520 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 11.4725 | 0.43928 | 0.345 | $1 \mathrm{chl} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -48.330 | 2.356 | 0.779 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 27.914 | -0.087 | 0.102 | Ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | -12.272 | 1.593 | 0.543 |
| TL $\times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | 12.5524 | 1.1976 | 0.378 | Ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 0.260 | 0.495 | 0.306 |
| TL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -12.313 | 0.649 | 0.682 | ichl $\times 2 \mathrm{cr}$ | -68 552 | 5.228 | 0.740 |
| TL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -22.515 | 0.766 | 0.755 | ichl $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | -18.783 | 1.689 | 0.945 |
| TL $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 0.521 | 0.544 | 0.566 | lchl $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -23.405 | 1.824 | 0.954 |
|  |  |  |  | $\|c h l \times 5 w\|$ | -14.029 | 1.648 | 0.910 |
| $C L \times R L$ | 2.418 | 0.847 | 0.840 |  |  |  |  |
| CL $\times$ Tel | -2 827 | 0.508 | 0.747 | $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 24.339 | 0.152 | 0.177 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times \mathrm{Fl}$ | 0.210 | 0.304 | 0.810 | $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 15.988 | 1.820 | 0.761 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times$ fohl | 27.230 | 0.405 | 0.296 | $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 34.411 | 1.028 | 0.443 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 45.437 | -0.997 | 0.565 | $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 15.885 | 0.248 | 0.194 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 20.960 | 0.191 | 0.128 | $2 \mathrm{i} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 74.738 | 4.001 | 0.716 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 67.116 | -0.737 | 0.178 | $2 \mathrm{i} \times 3 \mathrm{w}$ | 32.253 | 0.992 | 0.700 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 41.009 | 0.377 | 0.113 | $2 \mathrm{i} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 33.022 | 0.990 | 0.654 |
| CL $\times 20$ | 28.795 | -0.269 | 0.134 | $2 \mathrm{i} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 35.992 | 0.955 | 0.667 |
| CL $\times 2 \mathrm{chi}$ | 129.085 | -0.175 | 0.021 |  |  |  |  |
| CL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 39.115 | 0.291 | 0.119 | $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -4.048 | 1.825 | 0.655 |
| CL $\times 4 \mathrm{Wl}$ | 34.453 | 0.469 | 0.179 | $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 3.029 | 1.781 | 0.659 |
| $\mathrm{CL} \times 5 \mathrm{~W}$ | 43.026 | 0.266 | 0.107 | $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -1.060 | 0.780 | 0.525 |
|  |  |  |  | $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{ch}$ | 9271 | 4.812 | 0.740 |
| RL $\times$ Tel | 1.079 | 0.409 | 0.607 | $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 29.560 | 0.687 | 0.417 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times \mathrm{PI}$ | 0.540 | 0.316 | 0.850 | $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 30.189 | 0.691 | 0.392 |
| RL $\times$ lchl | 23.569 | 0.567 | 0.418 | $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 27.148 | 0.895 | 0.537 |
| $R \mathrm{~L} \times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 28.793 | -0.492 | 0.269 |  |  |  |  |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 22.319 | 0.157 | 0.107 | $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 23.049 | 0.617 | 0.636 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 50.146 | -0.192 | 0.047 | $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 13.174 | 0.148 | 0.278 |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 55.472 | -0.171 | 0.043 | $2 \mathrm{c} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 40.842 | 2.170 | 0.929 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 26.834 | -0.250 | 0.114 | $2 \mathrm{c} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 25.589 | 0.499 | 0.843 |
| RL $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 156.730 | -0.667 | 0.070 | 2c $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 25.957 | 0.507 | 0.803 |
| RL $\times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 32.651 | 0.543 | 0.224 | $2 \mathrm{c} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 27.924 | 0.518 | 0.864 |
| RL $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 26.983 | 0.771 | 0.297 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{RL} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 36.260 | 0.527 | 0.215 | $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | -4.682 | 0.483 | 0.878 |
|  |  |  |  | $2 \mathrm{p} \times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 32.056 | 2.090 | 0868 |
| Telx Pl | 5.708 | 0.295 | 0.536 | $2 p \times 3 w l$ | 32.840 | 0.298 | 0.488 |
| Tel $\times$ ichi | 34.518 | 0396 | 0.197 | $2 \mathrm{p} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 34.763 | 0.274 | 0.421 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{i}$ | 31.316 | -1.232 | 0.485 | $2 \mathrm{p} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 33.763 | 0.342 | 0.554 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 17.481 | 0.736 | 0.337 |  |  |  |  |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 48.510 | -0.298 | 0.049 | $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 2 \mathrm{cht}$ | 86.111 | 2.618 | 0.599 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 41.681 | 0.476 | 0.081 | $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 3 \mathrm{wl}$ | 43.716 | 0.213 | 0.192 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}$ | 16.222 | 0.285 | 0.088 | $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 45.573 | 0.156 | 0.132 |
| Tel $\times 2 \mathrm{chl}$ | 141.988 | -0.319 | 0.220 | $2 \mathrm{~d} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 44.624 | 0.326 | 0291 |
| Tel $\times 3 \mathrm{wi}$ | 47.104 | 0.056 | 0.018 |  |  |  |  |
| Tel $\times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | 46.566 | 0.167 | 0.043 | $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 3 \mathrm{w}$ | 21.602 | 0.191 | 0.753 |
| Tel $\times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 50.005 | 0.860 | 0.024 | $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 4 \mathrm{w}$ | 22.685 | 0.188 | 0.696 |
|  |  |  |  | $2 \mathrm{chl} \times 5 \mathrm{w}$ | 22.730 | 0.206 | 0.802 |
| Pl $\times$ Ichi | 21.072 | 1.957 | 0.536 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{l}$ | 21.503 | -0.605 | 0.131 | $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 4 \mathrm{wl}$ | -1.411 | 1.045 | 0.978 |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | 18.230 | 0.904 | 0.228 | $3 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 6.031 | 0.940 | 0.929 |
| $\mathrm{P} \mid \times 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 27.842 | 1.798 | 0.163 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Pl} \times 2 \mathrm{p}$ | 52.240 | -0.167 | 0.016 | $4 \mathrm{wl} \times 5 \mathrm{wl}$ | 10.515 | 0.834 | 0.880 |


| TL- Total length | 1chl-Length of 7st cheliped | 2d-Dactylus of 2nd cheliped |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CL-Carapace length | 2i- ischium of 2nd cheliped | 2chl-Length of 2nd cheliped |
| RL- Rostral length | 2m-Merus of 2nd cheliped | 3wl-Length of 3rd walking leg |
| Tel- Length of telson | 2c-Carpus of 2nd cheliped | 4wl-Length of 4th walking leg |
| PI- Pleural width | 2p- Fropodus of 2nd cheliped | 5wl-Length of 5th walking leg |

Table 1.7.1. Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL LENGTH X ROSTRAL LENGTH in males of various Macrobrachium spp. and results of t-test

Table 1.7.2. Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL LENGTH X LENGTH OF MERUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in males of

Table 1.7.3 Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL LENGTH X LENGTH OF CARPUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in males of

| Species | df |  |  | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Sigma X^{2}$ | EXY |  | RC | df | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS } \\ \{d y \cdot x 2 \end{gathered}$ | MSS |  |
| Mrosenbergii | 105 | 94390.642 | 24940.283 | 11155.066 | 0.2642 | 104 | 4565.242 | 43.897 |  |
| M.idella | 131 | 55549.879 | 50032.691 | 59705.969 | 0.9007 | 130 | 14642.502 | 112.635 |  |
| Mequidens | 97 | 28607.444 | 14273.006 | 11257.693 | 0.4989 | 96 | 4136.515 | 43.089 |  |
| M striatus | 111 | 17295.309 | 13145.611 | 13132.059 | 0.7601 | 110 | 3140.499 | 28.550 |  |
| M scabriculum | 39 | 1776.204 | 186.782 | 324.011 | 0.1052 | 38 | 304.369 | 8.010 |  |
| Mrude | 14 | 759.733 | 459.107 | 1959.377 | 0.6043 | 13 | 1681.939 | 129.380 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 28471.067 | 57.986 |  |
| Reg.Coeff. |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 15545.795 | 3109.159 |  |
| COMMON | 497 | 198379.210 | 103037.480 | 97534.176 | 0.5194 | 496 | 44016.862 | 88.744 | 53.6192 |
| Adj.Means |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 59695.942 | 11939.188 | 134.5357 |
| TOTAL | 503 | $1.397 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.791E+05 | $1.267 E+05$ | 0.1283 | 501 | 103712.804 |  |  |
| Comparison of slopes $\mathrm{F}=$ Comparison of elevation $\mathrm{F}=$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3109.159(5,496) \\ 11939.188(5,501) \end{array}$ |  |  | $P<0.001$$P<0.001$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 53.6192 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 134.5357 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | df | $t$ | Probability |  |  |  |  |  |
| M rosenbergii $\times$ M.idella |  | 234 | 13.14 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.equidens |  | 200 | 5.27 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M$ rosenbergii $X M$ striatus |  | 214 | 9.99 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M rosenbergii $\times$ M scabriculum |  | 142 | 1.13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M rosenbergï $\times$ M rude |  | 117 | 1.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $\times$ M equidens |  | 226 | 6.06 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $\times$ M striatus |  | 240 | 1.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M idella $\times$ M scabriculum |  | 168 | 3.50 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella X M rude |  | 143 | 0.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.striatus |  | 206 | 4.56 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mequidens $\times$ M scabriculum |  | 134 | 2.80 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.rude |  | 109 | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.striatus $\times$ M scabriculum |  | 148 | 5.45 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.striatus $\times$ M rude |  | 123 | 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. scabriculum $\times$ M.rude |  | 51 | 1.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.7.4 Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL LENGTHX LENGTH OF PROPODUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in males of

| Species | df |  | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EXY | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | $\underset{\{d y \cdot x}{S S}$ | MSS |  |
| Mrosenbergii | 105 | 94390.642 | 54324.113 | 48352.491 | 0.5755 | 104 | 17087.640 | 164.304 |  |
| Midella | 131 | 55549.879 | 47295.948 | 51189.488 | 0.8514 | 130 | 10921.052 | 84.008 |  |
| M equidens | 97 | 28607.444 | 14632.546 | 11979.065 | 0.5115 | 96 | 4494.600 | 46.819 |  |
| $M$ striatus | 111 | 17295.309 | 16383.306 | 20734.200 | 0.9473 | 110 | 5214806 | 47.407 |  |
| M scabriculum | 39 | 1776204 | 169.040 | 2150.600 | 0.0952 | 38 | 2134513 | 56.171 |  |
| M.rude | 14 | 759.733 | 333.733 | 1230053 | 0.4393 | 13 | 1083.452 | 83.342 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 40936.063 | 83.373 |  |
| Reg. Coeff. |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5346.166 | 1069.233 |  |
| COMMON |  | $1.984 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $1.331 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.356E+05 | 0.6711 | 496 | 46282.229 | 93311 | 12.8247 |
| Adj. Means |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 41561.373 | 8312275 | 89.0815 |
| total |  | 1.397E+06 | 4.316E+05 | $2.213 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.3091 | 501 | 87843.602 |  |  |
| Comparison of slopes $\mathrm{F}=$ Comparison of elevation $\mathrm{F}=$ |  |  | $1069233(5,496)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12.8247 \\ & 89.0815 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P<0.001 \\ & P<0.001 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 8312.275 | 5, 501) |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii $\times$ Midella | 234 | 4.72 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.rosenbergii $\times M$ equidens | 200 | 0.91 |  |
| M rosenbergii X M striatus | 214 | 4.40 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M rosenbergii $X$ M. scabriculum | 142 | 1.72 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $\times$ M rude | 117 | 0.30 |  |
| M idella $X$ M equidens | 226 | 5.66 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M idella $\times$ M striatus | 240 | 1.34 |  |
| M idolla $\times$ M scatriculim | 168 | 356 | $p=0001$ |
| $M$ Mletha $\times$ M minto | 143 | 123 |  |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.striatus | 206 | 6.59 | $P<0.001$ |
| M equidens $X$ M.scabriculum | 134 | 2.42 | P<0. 05 |
| M.equidens $\times$ M rude | 109 | 0.27 |  |
| $M$.striatus $\times$ M scabriculum | 148 | 4.85 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| $M$ striatus $X$ M rude | 123 | 1.92 |  |
| M.scabriculum $\times$ M.rude | 51 | 1.00 |  |

Table1.7.5. Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL. LENGTH $\times$ LENGTH OF SECOND CHELIPED in males of

| Species | df | $\Sigma \mathrm{X}^{2}$ | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{XY}$ | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS } \\ \{\mathrm{d} y \times 2 \end{gathered}$ | MSS |  |
| M.rosenbergii | 105 | 94390.642 | 99871.981 | 162690.236 | 1.0581 | 104 | 57018.609 | 548.256 |  |
| Midella | 131 | 55549.879 | $1.223 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $3.411 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 2.2017 | 130 | 71776.958 | 552.130 |  |
| Mequidens | 97 | 28607.444 | $3.673 E+04$ | $7.285 \mathrm{E}+04$ | 1.2839 | 96 | 25689.899 | 267.603 |  |
| M. striatus | 111 | 17295.309 | 36466.403 | 98223.477 | 2.1085 | 110 | 21335.659 | 193.961 |  |
| M.scabriculum | 39 | 1776.204 | 334848 | 5171.536 | 0.1885 | 38 | 5108.411 | 134.432 |  |
| Mrude | 14 | 759.733 | 909.833 | 7611.353 | 1.1976 | 13 | 6521.765 | 501.674 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 187451.300 | 381.775 |  |
| Reg Coeff. |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 56645.566 | 11329.113 |  |
| COMMON | 497 | $1.984 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $2966 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $6.876 E+05$ | 1.4952 | 496 | 244096.866 | 492.131 | 29.6749 |
| Adj Means |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 289369.702 | 57873.940 | 117.5987 |
| total | 503 | 1.397E+06 | $7872 \mathrm{E}+05$ | $9.772 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.5637 | 501 | 533466.568 |  |  |
| Comparison of slopes $\mathrm{F}=$ Comparison of elevation $\mathrm{F}=$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11329.113(5,496) \\ & 57873.940(5,501) \end{aligned}$ |  | 29.6749 | $\begin{aligned} & P<0.001 \\ & P<0.001 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 117.5987 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | df |  |  | t | Probability |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrosenbergii $\times$ Midella |  | 234 | 9.12 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrosenbergii $X$ M equidens |  | 200 | 1.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrosenbergii $X$ | iatus | 214 | 6.64 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrosenbergii $X$ M.scabriculum |  | 142 | 1.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrosenbergii $\times$ Mrude |  | 117 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $\times$ M. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Muidens |  | 226 | 6.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $\times$ M.striatus |  | 240 | 0.54 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M idella $\times$ M scabriculum |  | 168 | 3.90 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $\times$ M.rude |  | 143 | 1.17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mequidens $X$ M striatus |  | 206 | 5.67 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M$ equidens $X M$ scabricuium |  | 134 | 2.95 | $P<0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mequidens X M. rude |  | 109 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. striatus $\times$ M scabriculum |  | 148 | 5.76 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.striatus $\times$ M.rude |  | 123 | 1.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M scabriculum $\times$ M.rude |  | 51 | 1.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1．7．6．Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH XROSTRAL LENGTH in males of

| Species | df | EX | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EXY | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | $\underset{\{\mathrm{d} y \times 2}{\mathrm{SS}}$ | MSS |  |
| Mrosentergif | 105 | 12552991 | 12148.406 | 14467.557 | 0.9678 | 104 | 2710.696 | 26.064 |  |
| Midelia | 131 | 6468386 | 5769.991 | 5584.730 | 0.8920 | 130 | 437.729 | 3.367 |  |
| Mequidens | 97 | 3033156 | 3048.637 | 3287.144 | 1.0051 | 96 | 222.947 | 2.322 |  |
| M．striatus | 111 | 2000877 | 1683.832 | 1738.819 | 0.8415 | 110 | 321.794 | 2.925 |  |
| M scabriculum | 39 | 301.278 | 215.652 | 205.158 | 0.7158 | 38 | 50.796 | 1.337 |  |
| Mrude | 14 | 114.313 | 96.860 | 116.324 | 0.8473 | 13 | 34.253 | 2.635 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 3778.215 | 7.695 |  |
| Reg Coeff． |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 72.879 | 14.576 |  |
| COMMON | 497 | 24471.000 | 22963.377 | 25399.731 | 0.9384 | 496 | 3851.094 | 7.764 | 1.8942 |
| Adj．Means |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 7238.665 | 1447.733 | 186.4601 |
| TOTAL | 503 | 122313.282 | 164923.167 | 233466.681 | 1.3484 | 501 | 11089.759 |  |  |
| － |  |  | $===$＝ |  |  |  | ＝＝＝＝＝＝＝＝ | ＝＝＝$==$ | ＝ニッ＝ニ |
| Comparison of slopes $F=$ Comparison of elevation $\mathrm{F}=$ |  | $14.576(5,496)$ |  |  | 1.8942 | $P>0.05$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1447.733 （ 5,501 ） |  |  | 186.4601 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M rosenbergii $X$ Midella | 234 | 1.35 |  |
| Mrosenbergii $X$ M．equidens | 200 | 0.48 |  |
| M．rosenbergii $\times$ M．striatus | 214 | 1.39 |  |
| M．rosenbergii $X$ M．scabriculum | 142 | 0.98 |  |
| Mrosenbergii $X$ M rude | 117 | 0.26 |  |
| Midella $\times M$ equidens | 226 | 3.01 | P＜0．01 |
| Midella $\times$ M．striatus | 240 | 1.11 |  |
| M．idella $\times$ M．scabriculum | 168 | 1.75 |  |
| Midella $\times$ Mrude | 143 | 0.26 |  |
| M．equidens $\times$ M．striatus | 206 | 3.49 | $P<0.01$ |
| M．equidens $X$ M．scabriculum | 134 | 3.35 | $P<001$ |
| M．equidens $\times$ M．rude | 109 | 1.08 |  |
| M．striatus $\times$ M．scabriculum | 148 | 1.28 |  |
| $M$ striatus $\times$ M rude | 123 | 0.04 |  |
| M．scabriculum $\times$ Mrude | 51 | 0.93 |  |

Table 1.7.7. Comparison of regressian coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH X LENGTH OF MERUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in males of

| Species | df | $2$ |  |  | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | df | $\underset{\{d y . x 2}{S S}$ | MSS |  |
| Mrosenbergii | 105 | 12552.991 | 7797868 | 7018151 | 0.6212 | 104 | 2174.146 | 20.905 |  |
| Midella | 131 | 6468.386 | 8601.807 | 14029608 | 1.3298 | 130 | 2590729 | 19.929 |  |
| $M$ equidens | 97 | 3033.156 | 2577.523 | 3208990 | 0.8498 | 96 | 1018.656 | 10.611 |  |
| M striatus | 111 | 2000877 | 2318.299 | 3373977 | 1.1586 | 110 | 687.901 | 6.254 |  |
| M scabriculum | 39 | 301.278 | 16.724 | 224.459 | 0.0555 | 38 | 223.531 | 5882 |  |
| Mrude | 14 | 114.313 | 21.807 | 252.777 | 0.1908 | 13 | 248617 | 19.124 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 6943.580 | 14.142 |  |
| Reg Coeff. |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2565.196 | 513.039 |  |
| COMMON | 497 | 24471.000 | 21334.027 | 28107.962 | 0.8718 | 496 | 9508.776 | 19.171 |  |
| Adj Means |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 9098.992 | 1819.798 | 94.9249 |
| TOTAL | 503 | 122313.282 | 54465.954 | 42861.390 | 0.4453 | 501 | 18607.768 | - |  |
| Comparison of slopes $\mathrm{F}=$ Comparison of elevation $F=$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 513.039(5,496\} \\ 1819.798(5,501\} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 362784 \\ & 949249 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P<0.001 \\ & P<0.001 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | df | $t$ | Probability |  |  |  |  |  |
| M rosenbergii $\times$ Midella |  | 234 | 10.26 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrosenbergii $X$ M equidens |  | 200 | 2.83 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrosenbergii $\times$ M striatus |  | 214 | 6.11 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrosenbergii $\times$ M scabriculum |  | 142 | 2.36 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M rosenbergii X Mrude |  | 117 | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $X$ M.equidens |  | 226 | 5.46 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $\times$ M.striatus |  | 240 | 1.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $\times$ M. scabriculum |  | 168 | 5.28 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midella $\times$ M.rude |  | 143 | 2.71 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. equidens $\times$ M.striatus |  | 206 | 3.73 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.scabriculum |  | 134 | 4.32 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.equidens $\times$ Mrude |  | 109 | 2.03 | $P<0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.striatus $\times$ M.scabricufum |  | 148 | 7.19 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M$ striatus $\times$ M rude |  | 123 | 3.65 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.scabriculum $\times$ Mrudo |  | 51 | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.7.8. Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAFACE LENGTH XLENGTH OF CARPUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in males of

| Species | df | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{X}^{2}$ | EXY | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | $\begin{gathered} \text { ss } \\ \{\mathrm{d} . \times 2 \end{gathered}$ | MSS |  |
| Mrosenbergii | 105 | 12552.991 | 9201.726 | 11155.066 | 0.7330 | 104 | 4409.919 | 42.403 |  |
| Midelia | 131 | 6468.386 | 17319.068 | 59705.969 | 2.6775 | 130 | 13334.260 | 102.571 |  |
| M. equidens | 97 | 3033.156 | 4745.342 | 11257.693 | 1.5645 | 96 | 3833653 | 39.934 |  |
| M.striatus | 111 | 2000.877 | 4410.352 | 13132.059 | 2.2042 | 110 | 3410.717 | 31.007 |  |
| M scabriculum | 39 | 301.278 | 97.450 | 324.011 | 0.3235 | 38 | 292491 | 7.697 |  |
| M.rude | 14 | 114.313 | -84.277 | 1959.377 | -0.7372 | 13 | 1897245 | 145.942 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 27178.284 | 55.353 |  |
| Reg. Coeft |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 18304.404 | 3660.881 |  |
| COMMON | 497 | 24471.000 | 35689.662 | 97534.176 | 1.4584 | 48 | 45482.688 | 91.699 | 66.1371 |
| Adj.Means |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 55013.001 | 11002.600 | 119.9861 |
| TOTAL | 503 | 122313.282 | 56601.350 | 126688.371 | 0.4628 | 501 | 100495.689 |  |  |
| Comparison of slopes $F=$ Comparison of elevation $F=$ |  | 3660.881 (5,496) |  |  |  | $P<0.001$$P<0.001$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 66.1371 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 11002.600 | (5, 501) | 119.9861 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | df | $t$ | Probability |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. rosenbergii $X$ M idella |  | 234 | 14.59 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M . equidens |  | 200 | 6.40 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.rosenbergii $\times M$. striatus |  | 214 | 10.11 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M scabriculum |  | 142 | 1.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.rosenbergiil $X$ M rude |  | 117 | 2.13 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.idella $\times$ M.equidens |  | 226 | 5.80 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mideila $X$ M striatus |  | 240 | 2.21 | P<0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mideila $X$ M scabriculum |  | 168 | 4.43 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mideila $\times$ Mrude |  | 143 | 3.51 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.striatus |  | 206 | 3.75 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $M$ equidens $X$ M scabriculum |  | 134 | 3.70 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.equidens $X$ M.rude |  | 109 | 3.33 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 148 | 6.08 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.stnatus $\times$ M scabnculum M. striatus $\times$ M.rude |  | 123 | 4.66 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M scabriculum $X$ M rude |  | 51 | 1.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.7.9 Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH X LENGTH OF PROPODUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in males of various Macrobrachium spp. and the results of t-test

| Species | df | $E X^{2}$ | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LXY | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | SS <br> \{dyx2 | MSS |  |
| Mrosenbergii | 105 | 12552.991 | 21008.491 | 48352.491 | 1.6736 | 104 | 13193.006 | 126.856 |  |
| Midella | 131 | 6468.386 | 16211.971 | 51189488 | 2.5063 | 130 | 10556.788 | 81.206 |  |
| $M$ equidans | 97 | 3033.156 | 4749.496 | 11979.065 | 1.5659 | 96 | 4542.019 | 47313 |  |
| M. striatus | 111 | 2000.877 | 5519.651 | 20734.200 | 2.7586 | 110 | 5507.601 | 50.069 |  |
| $M$ scabriculum | 39 | 301.278 | 151.020 | 2150600 | 0.5013 | 38 | 2074.899 | 54.603 |  |
| M rudo | 14 | 114313 | 42427 | 1230.053 | 0.3711 | 13 | 1214.307 | 93.408 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 491 | 37088.620 | 75.537 |  |
| Reg. Coeff |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 5634287 | 1126.857 |  |
| COMMON | 497 | 24471.000 | 47683.056 | 135635.897 | 1.9486 | 496 | 42722.907 | 86.135 | 14.9180 |
| Adi. Means |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 31255.138 | 6251.028 | 72.5725 |
| TOTAL | 503 | 122313.282 | 134216.132 | 221255.345 | 1.0973 | 501 | 73978.044 |  |  |
| Comparison of siopes $F=$Comparison of elevation $F=$ |  |  | $1126.857(5,496)$ |  | 14.9180 | P<0.001 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $6251.028(5,501)$ |  | 72.5725 | $P<0.001$ |  |  |  |


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mrosenbergii $\times$ M idella | 234 | 5.40 | $P<0.001$ |
| Mrosenbergï $X$ M equidens | 200 | 0.57 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M striatus | 214 | 4.82 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Mrosenbergii $X$ M scabriculum | 142 | 1.94 |  |
| Mrosenbergii X Mrude | 117 | 1.25 |  |
| Midella $\times$ M equidens | 226 | 5.23 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M idella $\times$ M. striatus | 240 | 1.21 |  |
| Midella $\times$ M.scabriculum | 168 | 3.92 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Midslla $\times$ M rude | 143 | 2.49 | $P<0.05$ |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.striatus | 206 | 5.93 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| $M$ equidens $X$ M scabriculum | 134 | 2.51 | P<0.05 |
| M.equidens $\times$ M rude | 109 | 1:73 |  |
| $M$ striatus $X$ M scabriculum | 148 | 5.10 | $P<0.001$ |
| $M$ striatus $\times$ M rude | 123 | 3.36 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| M scabriculum X Mrude | 51 | 0.15 |  |

Table 1.7.10 Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH X LENGTH OF SECOND CHELIPED in males of

Table 1.7.11 Comparison of regression cofficients of TOTAL LENGTH X ROSTRAL LENGTH in females of various Macrobrachium spp. and results of $t$-test


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii X M.idella | 219 | 0.68 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.equidens | 220 | 0.35 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.striatus | 216 | 1.54 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.rosenbergii X M.scabriculum | 143 | 0.36 | $P>0.05$ |
| Midella $X$ M.equidens | 209 | 0.19 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.idella X M. striatus | 205 | 1.51 | $P>0.05$ |
| Midella X M. scabriculum | 132 | 0.40 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.equidens $X$ M.striatus | 206 | 1.38 | $P>0.05$ |
| Mequidens $\times$ M.scabriculum | 133 | 0.45 | $P>0.05$ |
| M. stiatus X M.scabriculum | 129 | 0.33 | $P>0.05$ |

Table 1.7.12 Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL LENGTH $\times$ MERUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in females of


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.idella | 219 | 0.16 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M equidens | 220 | 0.59 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.striatus | 216 | 4.59 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.rosenbergii X M. scabriculum | 143 | 0.18 |  |
| M.idella $X$ M.equidens | 209 | 0.31 |  |
| M.idella $\times$ M.striatus | 205 | 3.23 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| M.idella $\times$ M.scabriculum | 132 | 0.19 |  |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.striatus | 206 | 4.01 | P<0.001 |
| M. equidens $\times$ M. scabriculum | 133 | 0.97 |  |
| M.stiatus X M.scabriculum | 129 | 2.23 | P<0.05 |

Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL LENGTH X LENGTH OF CARPUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in females of
various Macrobrachium spp. and results of t -test


|  | df | $t$ | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mrosenbergii $X$ Midella | 219 | 4.09 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.equidens | 220 | 1.79 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M. striatus | 216 | 6.35 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.rosenbergii X M. scabriculum | 143 | 0.49 |  |
| Midella $\times$ M.equidens | 209 | 1.68 |  |
| M.idella $\times$ M.striatus | 205 | 1.02 |  |
| M.idella $X$ M.scabriculum | 132 | 1.50 |  |
| Mequidens $X$ M striatus | 206 | 4.41 | P<0.001 |
| Mequidens $\times$ M scabriculum | 133 | 2.12 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
| M.status X M.scabriculum | 129 | 4.01 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Table 1.7.13
Table 1.7.14 Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL LENGTH X LENGTH OF PROPODUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in females of

| Species | df | $: x^{2}$ |  | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EXY | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | $\underset{\{d y \times 2}{S S}$ | MSS |  |
| Mrosenbergii | 116 | 91893.248 | 32658.017 | 16297.863 | 0.3554 | 115 | 4691.504 | 40.796 |  |
| Midella | 105 | 14147.145 | 4382.820 | 3460.280 | 0.3098 | 104 | 2102.472 | 20.216 |  |
| $M$ equidens | 106 | 6637.088 | 2129.477 | 919.109 | 0.3208 | 105 | 235.877 | 2.246 |  |
| M striatus | 102 | 8616.065 | 4221.592 | 2841.189 | 0.4900 | 101 | 772.746 | 7.651 |  |
| M.scabriculum | 29 | 1204.700 | 309.340 | 160.188 | 0.2568 | 28 | 80.756 | 2.884 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 453 | 7883.355 | 17.403 |  |
| Reg. Coeff. |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 204.856 | 51.214 |  |
| COMMON | 458 | 122498.246 | 43701.246 | 23678.630 | 0.3567 | 457 | 8088.211 | 17.698 | 2.9429 |
| Adj.Means |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3584.690 | 896.172 | 50.6355 |
| TOTAL | 463 | 1596192.268 | 427433.822 | 126132.591 | 0.2678 | 461 | 11672.901 |  |  |
| Comparison of slopes $\mathrm{F}=$ Comparison of elevation $F=$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 51.214(4,457) \\ 896.172(4,461) \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2.9429 \\ 50.6355 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P<0.05 \\ & P<0.001 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | df | t | Prohability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.idella | 219 | 0.91 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.equidens | 220 | 0.57 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.striatus | 216 | 2.37 | P<0.05 |
| M. rosenbergii X M.scabriculum | 143 | 0.59 |  |
|  | 209 | 0.22 |  |
| M.idella $\times$ M.striatus | 205 | 3.52 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Midetla $X$ M. scabricutum | 132 | 0.43 |  |
| M.equidens $X$ M .striatus | 206 | 4.68 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.scabriculum | 133 | 1.33 |  |
| M.striatus XM.scabriculum | 129 | 2.95 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |

Table 1.7.15 Comparison of regression coefficients of TOTAL LENGTH X LENGTH OF SECOND CHELIPED in females of various Macrobrachium spp. and results of t-test

| Species | df | (x2 | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\{x y$ | \{y2 | RC | df | $\begin{gathered} S S \\ \{d y \cdot x 2 \end{gathered}$ | MSS |  |
| Mrosenbergii | 116 | 91893.248 | 64818.231 | 55611.077 | 0.7054 | 115 | 9890.601 | 86.005 |  |
| Midella | 105 | 14147.145 | 11260.265 | 20503.339 | 0.7959 | 104 | 11540.854 | 110.970 |  |
| $M$ equidens | 106 | 6637.088 | 4873.877 | 4740.875 | 0.7343 | 105 | 1161.794 | 11.065 |  |
| $M$ striatus | 102 | 8616.065 | 9528.125 | 14077.945 | 1.1059 | 101 | 3541.213 | 35.062 |  |
| M.scabriculum | 29 | 1204.700 | 676.510 | 498.110 | 0.5616 | 28 | 118.209 | 4.222 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 453 | 26252.672 | 57.953 |  |
| Reg.Coeff |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1344.233 | 336.058 |  |
| COMMON | 458 | 122498.246 | 91157.008 | 95431.346 | 0.7441 | 457 | 27596.904 | 60.387 | 5.7988 |
| Adj.Means |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 11214.834 | 2803.709 | 46.4289 |
| TOTAL | 463 | 1596192.268 | 878887.126 | 522740.019 | 0.5506 | 461 | 38811.738 |  |  |
| Comparison of slopes $\mathrm{F}=$ Comparison of elevation $\mathrm{F}=$ |  | $336.058(4,457)$ |  |  | 5.7988 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 46.4289 | P<0.00 |  |  |  |


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.idella | 219 | 1.01 |  |
| M. rosenbergii $X$ M. equidens | 220 | 0.32 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $\times$ M.striatus | 216 | 4.51 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.rosenbergii X M.scabriculum | 143 | 0.59 |  |
| Midella $\times$ Mequidens | 209 | 0.53 |  |
| Midella $\times$ M.striatus | 205 | 2.64 | $P<0.005$ |
| Midella $\times$ M.scabriculum | 132 | 0.83 |  |
| $M$ equidens $X$ M. striatus | 206 | 4.76 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.scabriculum | 133 | 1.78 |  |
| M.striatus X M.scabriculum | 129 | 3.32 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Table 1.7.16 Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH $\times$ ROSTRAL LENGTH in females of various Macrobrachium spp. and results of t -test

| Species | df |  | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | EXY | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | $\underset{\{d y \cdot x}{S S}$ | MSS |  |
| Mrosenbergii | 116 | 10847.915 | 10144.051 | 12290.769 | 0.9351 | 115 | 2804.911 | 24.391 |  |
| M.idella | 105 | 1719.325 | 1404.020 | 1710.678 | 0.8166 | 104 | 564.140 | 5.424 |  |
| M.equidens | 106 | 849.596 | 847.471 | 1073.097 | 0.9975 | 105 | 227.746 | 2.169 |  |
| M. striatus | 102 | 1095.593 | 881.868 | 1034.539 | 0.8049 | 101 | 324.704 | 3.215 |  |
| M.scabriculum | 29 | 222.735 | 159.769 | 150.459 | 0.7173 | 28 | 35.855 | 1.281 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 453 |  |  |  |
| Rog. Coeff. |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 48.655 |  |  |
| COMMON | 458 | 14735.163 | 13437.179 | 16259.543 | 0.9119 | 457 | $4006.011$ | $8.766$ | 1.3924 |
| Adj.Means |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $4296.182$ | $1074.045$ | 122.5256 |
| TOTAL | 463 | 126183.674 | 176045.609 | 253912.862 | 1.3952 | 461 | 8302.193 |  | 122.525 |
| Comparison of slopes $\mathrm{F}=$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 12.164(4,457) \\ 1074.045(4,461) \end{array}$ |  | 1.3924 | $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 122.5256 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |  |  |  |


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M Midella | 219 | 1.16 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.equidens | 220 | 0.47 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.rosenbergii $\times$ M.striatus | 216 | 1.08 | P>0.05 |
| M.rosonbergii X M.scabricalum | 143 | 0.72 | $P>0.05$ |
| $M$ istha $\times$ M orpuidens, | 209 | 1.22 | $P>005$ |
| Midella $\times$ M striatus | 205 | 0.15 | P>0.05 |
| M.idella X M.scabriculum | 132 | 0.65 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.equidens $X$ M.striatus | 206 | 1.57 | P>0.05 |
| M.equidens $X$ M.scabriculum | 133 | 1.64 | $P>0.05$ |
| M.striatus X M.scabriculum | 129 | 0.71 | $P>0.05$ |

Table 1.7.17 Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH X LENGTH OF MERUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in females of various Macrobrachium spp. and results of $t$-test

| Species | df |  |  |  | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{XY}$ | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | $\begin{gathered} S S \\ \{d y \cdot x 2 \end{gathered}$ | MSS |  |
| M.rosenbergii | 116 | 10847.915 | 4959.256 | 2677.231 | 0.4572 | 115 | 410.046 | 3.566 |  |
| M.idella | 105 | 1719.325 | 793.855 | 866.127 | 0.4617 | 104 | 499.585 | 4.804 |  |
| M.equidens | 106 | 849.596 | 369.183 | 230.739 | 0.4345 | 105 | 70.314 | 0.670 |  |
| M. striatus | 102 | 1095.593 | 755.041 | 753.838 | 0.6892 | 101 | 233.492 | 2.312 |  |
| M.scabriculum | 29 | 222.735 | 74.061 | 34.590 | 0.3325 | 28 | 9.964 | 0.356 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 453 | 1223.401 | 2701 |  |
| Reg.Coeff. |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 59.763 | 14.941 |  |
| COMMON | 458 | 14735.163 | 6951.397 | 4562.525 | 0.4718 | 457 | 1283.163 | 2.808 | 5.5322 |
| Adj.Means |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 166.723 | 41.681 | 14.8447 |
| TOTAL | 463 | 126183.674 | 57768.266 | 27896.830 | 0.4578 | 461 | 1449.887 |  |  |
| Comparison of slopes $F=$ Comparison of elevation $F=$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.941(4,457) \\ & 41.681(4,461) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 5.5322 \\ 14.8447 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P<0.01 \\ & P<0.01 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | df | Probability |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M. rosenbergii X M.idella |  | 219 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M equidens |  | 220 | 0.43 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.rosenbergii $\times$ M. striatus |  | 216 | 4.24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.scabriculum |  | 143 | 1.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.idella $\times$ M.equidens |  | 209 | 0.39 | $P<0.005$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.idella $\times$ M.striatus |  | 205 | 3.11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.idella $X$ M. scabriculum |  | 132 | 0.92 | P<0.005 |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.equidens $X$ M. striatus |  | 206 | 4.59 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mequidens $X$ M.scabriculum |  | 133 | 1.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| M.striatus X M.scabriculum |  | 129 | 3.53 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.7.18 Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH X LENGTH OF CARPUS OF SECOND CHELIPED in females of various Macrobrachium spp. and results of t-test

| Species | df | $\Sigma X$ | DEVIATIONS FROM REGRESSION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\Sigma \mathrm{XY}$ | $\Sigma Y^{2}$ | RC | df | $\underset{\{d \mathrm{y} . \times 2}{\mathrm{SS}}$ | MSS |  |
| M.rosenbergif | 116 | 10847.915 | 5884.085 | 4329.915 | 0.5424 | 115 | 1138.291 | 9.898 |  |
| M.idella | 105 | 1719.325 | 1566.960 | 3376.964 | 0.9114 | 104 | 1948.866 | 18.739 |  |
| M.equidens | 106 | 849.596 | 533.761 | 639.490 | 0.6283 | 105 | 304.154 | 2.897 |  |
| M. striatus | 102 | 1095.593 | 1111.897 | 1577.557 | 1.0149 | 101 | 449.113 | 4.447 |  |
| M.scabriculum | 29 | 222.735 | 75.328 | 33.908 | 0.3382 | 28 | 8.432 | 0.301 |  |
| WITHIN |  |  |  |  |  | 453 | 3848.856 | 8.496 |  |
| Reg.Coeff. |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 399.766 | 99.942 |  |
| COMMON | 458 | 14735.163 | 9172.031 | 9957.833 | 0.6225 | 457 | 4248.622 | 9.297 | 11.7628 |
| Adj Means |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1026.647 | 256.662 | 27.6076 |
| TOTAL | 463 | 126183.674 | 69328.099 | 43365.658 | 0.5494 | 461 | 5275.269 |  |  |
| Comparison of slopes $\mathrm{F}=$ Comparison of elevation $\mathrm{F}=$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} =========== \\ 99.942(6,320) \\ 256.662(6,326) \end{array}$ |  | = $11.7628 \quad \mathrm{P}<=0.01$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | df | $t$ | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.idella | 219 | 3.79 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M. equidens | 220 | 0.94 |  |
| M rosenbergii X M. striatus | 216 | 5.50 | P<0.001 |
| M.rosenbergii X M.scabriculum | 143 | 1.07 |  |
| Midella $\times$ M.equidens | 209 | 2.06 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| Midella $\times$ M.striatus | 205 | 0.78 |  |
| M.idella $\times$ M.scabriculum | 132 | 2.09 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
| M equidens $X$ M.striatus | 206 | 4.42 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.equidens $X$ M.scabriculum | 133 | 2.51 | P<0.05 |
| M striatus X M scabriculum | 129 | 4.89 | $P<0.001$ |

Table 1.7.19 Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH X LENGTH OF PROPODUS OF SECOND CHELIPED relationships in females of various Macrobrachium spp. and results of $t$-test


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. rosenbergii $\times$ Midella | 219 | 0.90 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.equidens | 220 | 1.28 |  |
| M. rosenbergii $X$ M. striatus | 216 | 1.95 |  |
| M.rosenbergii X M.scabriculum | 143 | 1.34 |  |
| Midella $\times$ M equidens | 209 | 0.62 |  |
| M idella $\times$ M.striatus | 205 | 3.05 | $P<0.005$ |
| M.idella $\times$ M. scabriculum | 132 | 1.37 |  |
| $M$ equidens $X$ M.striatus | 206 | 4.82 | $P<0.001$ |
| M.equidens $X$ M. scabriculum | 133 | 2.19 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
| M. striatus X M.scabriculum | 129 | 4.20 | $P<0.001$ |

Comparison of regression coefficients of CARAPACE LENGTH $\times$ LENGTH OF SECOND CHELIPED in females of various Macrobrachium spp. and results of $t$-test


|  | df | t | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.idella | 219 | 0.93 |  |
| M. rosenbergii $X$ M.equidens | 220 | 0.58 |  |
| M.rosenbergii $\times$ M.striatus | 216 | 3.90 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M rosenbergii X M.scabriculum | 143 | 1.44 |  |
| Midella $\times$ Mequidens | 209 | 1.19 |  |
| M.idella $\times$ M.striatus | 205 | 2.31 | P<0.05 |
| Midella $\times$ M. scabriculum | 132 | 1.63 |  |
| M.equidens $X$ M. striatus | 206 | 4.92 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.scabriculum | 133 | 2.43 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
| M.striatus $\times$ M.scabriculum | 129 | 4.54 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |

Table 1.8.1 D-square analysis between males and females of different Macrobrachium spp.

| Species | df1 | di2 | D | F | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergï | 209 | 15 | 2.207 | 16.92 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.idella | 224 | 15 | 2.300 | 19.50 | P<0.01 |
| M.equidens | 190 | 15 | 1.938 | 11.92 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M. striatus | 201 | 15 | 1.581 | 8.35 | P<0.01 |
| M.scabriculum | 56 | 6 | 3.285 | 28.52 | P<0.01 |

Table 1.8.2 D-square analysis between males of different Macrobrachium spp.

| Species combination | df1 | df2 | D | F | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.idella | 224 | 15 | 8.094 | 241.50 | $P<0.01$ |
| M.rosenbergii $\times$ M.equidens | 190 | 15 | 7.251 | 166.10 | $P<0.01$ |
| M.rosenbergiï $X$ M.striatus | 204 | 15 | 7.812 | 207.20 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.rosenbergii $\times$ M. scabriculum | 132 | 6 | 6.412 | 192.09 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.rude | 107 | 6 | 9.309 | 181.81 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| Midella $\times$ M.equidens | 216 | 15 | 1.641 | 9.48 | $P<0.01$ |
| M.idella $\times$ M.striatus | 230 | 15 | 2.984 | 33.89 | $P<0.01$ |
| Midella $\times$ M.scabriculum | 158 | 6 | 4.695 | 109.46 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.idella X M.rude | 133 | 6 | 5.044 | 55.15 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| Mequidens $X$ M.striatus | 196 | 15 | 2.118 | 14.58 | $P<0.01$ |
| M equidens $\times$ M.scabriculum | 124 | 6 | 3.817 | 66.44 | $P<0.01$ |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.rude | 99 | 6 | 5.652 | 66.14 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.striatus X M.scabriculum | 138 | 6 | 3.202 | 48.69 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.striatus X M.rude | 113 | 6 | 5.065 | 54.30 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.scabriculum $\times$ M.rude | 41 | 6 | 2.145 | 7.58 | $P<0.01$ |

Table 1.8.3 D-square analysis between females of different Macrobrachium spp.

| Species combination | df1 | df2 | D | F | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M. rosenbergii $\times$ M.idella | 209 | 15 | 8.472 | 249.20 | $P<0.01$ |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M. equidens | 210 | 15 | 9.239 | 297.98 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.striatus | 206 | 15 | 9.350 | 298.75 | P<0.01 |
| M.rosenbergii $X$ M.scabriculum | 133 | 6 | 7.372 | 208.82 | P<0.01 |
| Midella $\times$ Mequidens | 199 | 15 | 2.836 | 26.66 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.idella $\times$ M.striatus | 195 | 15 | 3.541 | 40.71 | $P<0.01$ |
| Midella $\times$ M.scabriculum | 122 | 6 | 2.757 | 28.52 | $P<0.01$ |
| M.equidens $\times$ M.striatus | 196 | 15 | 2.085 | 14.19 | $P<0.01$ |
| M.equidens $X$ M. scabriculum | 123 | 6 | 3.330 | 41.70 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.striatus X M scabriculum | 119 | 6 | 3.162 | 37.24 | $P<0.01$ |

Table 1.9.1 Correlation matrix showing correlation coefficients of various ratios of morphometric measurements in males of
Macrobrachium gquidens

| 2171 | $21 \pi \mathrm{~L}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m} \pi \mathrm{~L}$ | 20.7 L | $2 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{T}$ L | 2 criect | 2 iKCL | $2 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{CL}$. | $2 \mathrm{chl} / \mathrm{CL}$ | CL2c | RL/2c | 1chl/2c | 212c | 3ch/2c | RL2m | $21 / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{TL}$ | 0758 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0731 | 0.946 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{T}$ | 0.755 | 0.558 | 0945 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{chl} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.809 | 0.981 | 0979 | 0.983 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 CL | 0.504 | 0.614 | 0535 | 0.593 | 0.631 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2 p} \mathrm{p}$ CL | 0731 | 0.895 | 0880 | 0.958 | 0.930 | 0.689 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 chich | 0.794 | 0.921 | 0.915 | 0.943 | 0.949 | 0.749 | 0982 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLi2c | 0.668 | -0.816 | -0.900 | -0.868 | -0.882 | -0.575 | -0.870 | -0.850 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RL 22 c | -0.633 | -0.810 | -0.900 | -0.843 | -0.870 | -0.495 | -0.023 | -0.849 | 0.568 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1chlize | -0.685 | -0.852 | -0.946 | -0.864 | -0895 | -0.510 | -0.808 | -0.840 | 0.926 | 0941 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 izc | -0.619 | -0.572 | -0 735 | -0.631 | -0.628 | 0609 | -0.556 | -0.546 | 0.725 | 0.761 | 0.702 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| Schlic | -0.598 | -0.768 | -0867 | -0.828 | -0.838 | -0.473 | -0.814 | -0.828 | 0.542 | 0944 | 0.921 | 0.756 | 1.000 |  |  |
| RLim | -0.718 | -0.876 | -0814 | -0.855 | -0.862 | -0633 | -0.857 | -0.873 | 0.843 | 0.869 | 0847 | 0.501 | 0.784 | 1.000 |  |
| 2:2m | 0.503 | -0.561 | -0.572 | -0.550 | -0.520 | 0.408 | -0.480 | -0.442 | 0.492 | 0534 | 0.521 | 0.826 | 0.507 | 0.526 | 1.000 |

\footnotetext{
Tabie 1.9. 2 Correlation matrix showing correlation coefficients of various ratios of morphometric measurements in males of Macrobrachium striaus

|  | 2 iTL | $2 \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{TL}$ | $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{t}$ | $2 \mathrm{p} \pi \mathrm{L}$ | 2 chimL | $2 \mathrm{i} C \mathrm{CL}$ | 2p/CL | 20hlicl | CL2c | RLIC | $1 \mathrm{ch} / 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 21120 | 3ch/2c | RL/2m | $21 / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{LL}$ | 1.000 0.683 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 cmL | 0.650 | 0.531 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{TL}$ | 0.683 | 0.509 | 0947 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 chimL | 0.754 | 0.951 | 0981 | 0.982 | 1.050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 iCL | 0.947 | 0.618 | 0626 | 0.618 | 0.687 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2p/CL | 0.635 | 0.873 | 0.913 | 0.971 | 0.946 | 0.646 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 chicl | 0.705 | 0.915 | 0.948 | 0.950 | 0.963 | 0.724 | 0.979 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cuis | -0.658 | -0.855 | -0.920 | -0.891 | -0.914 | -0.657 | -0.905 | -0.933 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RLize | -0.714 | -0.864 | -0. 909 | -0.890 | -0.917 | -0.680 | -0.878 | -0.907 | 0.967 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{ch} / 2 \mathrm{c}$ | -0.659 | -0.791 | -0.852 | -0.845 | -0.860 | -0.626 | -0.834 | -0.849 | 0.929 | 0933 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| $2 i / 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 0.502 | -0.571 | -0 060 | -0.598 | -0.577 | 0.066 | -0.594 | -0.574 | 0.663 | 0589 | 0.533 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{ch} / 2 \mathrm{cc}$ | -0.650 | -0.826 | -0 896 | -0.871 | -0.891 | -0.612 | -0.858 | -0879 | 0.961 | 0958 | 0.955 | 0.629 | 1.000 |  |  |
| RL2\% | -0.674 | -0.507 | -0 089 | -0.811 | -0.850 | -0.654 | -0.807 | -0.849 | 0.831 | 0.898 | 0.781 | 0.456 | 0.812 | 1.000 |  |
| 2 i 2 m | 0.361 | -0.404 | -0.686 | -0.571 | -0.441 | 0.579 | -0386 | -0.457 | 0.555 | 0704 | 0.640 | 0.753 | 0.621 | 0.447 | 1.000 |
| TL- Tota len RL- Carapaa RL- Rostral |  |  |  | - 18 chium of Carpus of Propodus | nd cheliped nd cheliped 2nd cheliped . |  |  |  | H - Length of <br> H - Length of <br> H - Length of | st cheliped nd chelliped ra walking le |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.9.3 Correlation matrix showing correlation coefficients of various ratios of morphometric measurements in fema es of

|  | 2 mmL | $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{TL}$ | $2 \mathrm{ch} / \mathrm{TL}_{\mathrm{L}}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{CL}$ | $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 2p/CL | 2ch/CL | RL2c | $1 \mathrm{ch} / 2 \mathrm{c}$ | 3w1/2c | 4w/2c | RLi2m | $1 \mathrm{chil2m}$ | 3w/2m | 4 chl 2 m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 mTL | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 c TL | 0.615 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2}^{2 \mathrm{chim} / \mathrm{L}}$ | 0.774 0.411 | 0.937 0355 | 1000 0394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 CiCL | 0676 | 0.747 | 0643 | 0.764 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2pict | 0447 | 0.586 | 0562 | 0.794 | 0.912 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 chicl | 0655 | 0.610 | 0589 | 0.881 | 0.956 | 0.944 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R1. 20 | 0413 | -0688 | -0700 | -0.666 | 0810 | -0.824 | -0824 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vanio | -0.410 | -0.708 | -0730 | $-0.499$ | -0643 | -0.492 | -0536 | 0.554 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3wlize | -0.535 | -0.875 | -0828 | -0.685 | -0.710 | -0.674 | -0.608 | 0.701 | 0.784 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4wil2c | -0.515 | -0.845 | -0792 | -0.769 | -0.676 | -0.569 | -0.575 | 0.729 | 0.807 | 0886 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| RL2\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | -0.475 | -0.383 | -0446 | -0.741 | -0.542 | -0.661 | -0.665 | 0.837 | 0.824 | 0638 | 0.400 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| $1 \mathrm{chl} / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -0574 | -0.429 | -0488 | -0.709 | $-0.568$ | -0.889 | -0.563 | 0.730 | 0.751 | 0.465 | ${ }^{0.476}$ | 0.830 | 1.000 |  |  |
| Swl2m | -0.728 | -0 411 | -0.522 | -0.412 | -0.626 | -0.680 | -0.786 | 0.536 | 0.734 | 0650 | 0.468 | 0.492 | 0.577 | 1.000 |  |
| 4 chi 2 m | -0.717 | -0.452 | -0539 | -0.595 | -0.729 | -0.564 | 0.583 | 0.461 | 0.442 | 0.563 | 0.719 | 0.522 | 0.602 | 0.743 | 1.000 |

Table 1.94 Correlation matrix showing correiation coefficients of various ratios of morphometric measurements in females of
Macrobrachium striatus

|  | 2 mTL | $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{L}_{2}$ | 2chi/TL | $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{CL}$ | $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 2p/Cl | 2 chucl | RL/2c | $1 \mathrm{ch} / 2 \mathrm{~L}$ | $3 \mathrm{wl\mid l2c}$ | 4w/2c | RLi2m | $1 \mathrm{ch} / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | $3 \mathrm{w} / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | $4 \mathrm{ch} / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{T}$ | 0.846 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{chi/T}$ | 0.928 | 0.940 | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 0.896 | 0.750 | 0818 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{CL}$ | 0.719 | 0.502 | 0819 | 0.823 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 piCl | 0733 | 0.776 | 0841 | 0.830 | 0.856 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{chi/CL}$ | 0796 | 0.832 | 0874 | 0.915 | 0.933 | 0.947 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RU2c | -0.628 | -0.810 | -0 0742 | -0.642 | -0.826 | -0.677 | -0.758 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1chlisc | -0.649 | -0.828 | -0.754 | -0.633 | -0.813 | -0.681 | -0.739 | 0627 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3will2c | -0.745 | -0.866 | -0 805 | - -. 732 | -0.848 | -0.701 | -0.788 | 0.728 | 0.856 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4wil2c | -0.725 | -0.842 | -0.790 | -0.728 | -0.839 | -0.698 | -0.790 | 0.852 | 0.879 | 0.900 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |
| RLi2im | -0.800 | -0.740 | -0787 | -0820 | -0.742 | -0.712 | -0.797 | 0.888 | 0.714 | 0.747 | 0.805 | 1.000 |  |  |  |
| 1thi/2m | -0.829 | -0.654 | -0.746 | -0.816 | -0.612 | -0.066 | -0.715 | 0.579 | 0.779 | 0.721 | 0.739 | 0.772 | 1.000 |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{w} / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | -0.853 | -0.680 | -0759 | -0.0.845 | -0.643 | -0.658 | -0.732 | 0.549 | 0.628 | 0.845 | 0.742 | 0.761 | 0.860 | 1.000 |  |
| $4 \mathrm{ch} / 12 \mathrm{~m}$ | -0.832 | -0.649 | -0.741 | -0.840 | -0.628 | -0.654 | -0.731 | 0.597 | 0.642 | 0.740 | 0.838 | 0.810 | 0880 | 0.888 | 1000 |
| TL-Total len CL- Carapac RL- Rostral |  |  |  | - Ischium of <br> m - Merus of <br> c- Carpus of <br> p- Propodus | cheliped <br> cheliped <br> cheliped <br> 2nd cheliped |  |  |  | CH - Length of CH-Length o CH- Length of | st cheliped 2nd cheliped rd walking le |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1.10.1 D-square analysis using different ratios of morphometric measurements of Macrobrachium equidens and M.striatus

|  | df1 | df2 | D | F | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males | 196 | 15 | 57.94 | 10910 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| Females | 196 | 15 | 8.832 | 254.5 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |

Table 1.10.2 D-square analysis using different ratios in males and females of Macrobrachium equidens and M.striatus

|  | df1 | df2 | D | F | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.equidens | 191 | 15 | 162.5 | 83.87 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| M.striatus | 201 | 15 | 54.97 | 10089 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |

## Chapter 2

## Ecology and Distribution of Macrobrachium Spp.

## Introduction

The Vembanad lake, extending between the lat. $9^{\prime} 28^{\prime}$ and $10^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and long. $76^{\circ} 13^{\prime}$ and $76^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ is the largest estuarine system in the south west coast, of India, showed all the characteristics of a typical tropical positive estuary (Pritchard, 1967; Qasim, et al., 1969; Madhuprathap, et al., 1977 Lekshmanan, et al., 1982). Alterations brought about in the ecology of this lake with a view to intensify paddy cultivation in Kuttanad coupled with the adverse effects from agriculture wastes and other human interventions to the aquatic ecosystem have imparted severe ecological imbalance (Balchand, 1983; Kurup et al., 1992a). With the commissioning of salinity barrier at Thanneermukkom across Vembanad lake, the estuarine characteristics were more or less retained from Cochin to Thanneermukkom, on the contrary, upstream fart has fully been transformed into a freshwater habitat (Kurup et al., 1992a). Genus Macrobrachium is represented by 6 species (Jayachandran and Joseph, 1992) which are widely distributed in the Vembanad lake, of which 4 contribute to the fishery either subsistence or at commercial level. Pattern of distribution of Macrobrachium spp. in a water body where ecology has transformed due to man-made alterations, deserves special attention in the context of the dwindling nature of the fishery resources (Kurup et al., 1992a). Studies on the spatial and temporal distribution pattern of Macrobrachium spp. are imited to some
species of commercial importance (Ibrahim, 1962; Raman, 1967; Rajyalakshmi, 1980; Jinadasa, 1985; Kurup et al., 1992a; Prakash, 1994) and no attempt has hitherto made to delineate the pattern of distribution of freshwater prawns in estuaries. Therefore, an attempt is made to study the seasonal and spatial distribution patterns of Macrobrachium spp. in the Vembanad lake and also to understand the bearing of various physico-chemical parameters on the pattern of distribution.

Physico-chemical parameters of the Vembanad lake, especially around Cochin area was studied by Balakrishnan (1957), Ramamritham and Jayaraman (1963), George and Kartha (1963), Cherian (1967), Qasim and Gopinath (1969) and Sankaranarayanan and Qasim (1969). Josanto (1971) studied the bottom salinity characteristics of Vembanad lake with reference to the salt water penetration to the lake prior to the commissioning of the salinity barrier. Balakrishnan and Shynamma (1976), Leskshmanan et al. (1982) and KWBSP (1989) studied the physico-chemical parameters since the commissioning of the salinity barrier. Kurup and Samuel (1987) studied the fish distribution pattern in this lake with reference to the variations in physicochemical characteristics.

Distribution of freshwater prawns of the Indian waters are limited to the studies of Henderson and Matthai (1910), Natraj (1942), Chopra and Tiwari (1947), Tiwari (1955b, 1961), Tiwari and Pillai (1973), Kurian (1954), John (1958), Jayachandran (1984, 1992), Jayachandran and Joseph (1986, 1989a), Jones (1967) and Raman et al. (1986). Natraj (1942) and Kurian (1954) reported the occurrence of $M$. rosenbergii, M. idella, M. equidens and M. daynus from the erstwhile Travancore. Jayachandran (1987) surveyed 23
different water bodies of south west coast of India and presented a detailed account on the distribution of 13 species belonging to the genus Macrobrachium. Investigations on the distribution and abundance of $M$. rosenbergii from Hooghly estuary (Rao, 1967), Vembanad lake (Raman, 1967; Kurup et al ., 1992a; Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997b) and Bolgoda lake, Sri Lanka (Jinadasa, 1985) were carried out. Similar information regarding M.malcomsonii was reported by Ibrahim (1962), Rajyalekshmi (1961, 1980) and Rajyaleshmi and Ranadhir (1969).

## Materials and Methods

Water quality parameters from 13 zones were observed by collecting samples on a monthly basis from March 1995 to February 1996 during the fishery survey cruises in M.B. King Fisher. Temperature was recorded to the nearest $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the help of a mercury thermometer and pH , using a portable pH pen. Salinity and dissolved oxygen were estimated using argentometric titration (Strickland and Parson, 1972) and azide- modification of Winkler method (Greenberg et al, 1992) respectively. Rainfall data was procured from Mankombu Agricultural Station, situated in the Alleppey district, which is proximal to the upper reaches of the lake.

Data on distribution and abundance of various species of Macrobrachium collected from 29 stations representing 13 stations of the lake on a monthly basis from March 1995 to February 1996. Direct observations of the exploited catch were made during the fishery survey cruise from various fishing gears, besides conducting experimental fishing. Nature of occurrence of
different species was expressed following the scheme of classification adopted by Jayachandran (1987) such as present, common and abundant.

Indepth study on the numerical abundance of various species was made from a sample station viz. Kumbalam, situated in the down stream part of the lake (Fig. 1 station 4), which showed wide fluctuation in salinity and is also characterised with a combination of muddy, sandy and stony bottom. 10 bush traps (Padal) were immersed in water and the catches were observed on a weekly basis in fishing season while, fortnightly observations were made during lean period. Specimens were brought to the laboratory, segregated, enumerated and average number of individuals belonging to each species per day was worked out and presented. Cast net catches in the vicinity of this station was also observed as $M$. rosenbergii adults were rarely represented in 'Padal' catches in the selected station. Numerical occurrence of various Macrobrachium spp. arrived at from the selected stations was correlated with different water quality parameters recorded following standard procedures (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967). Richness index (R2) was calculated by the formula $\mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{S} / \sqrt{ } \mathrm{n}$, where S is the total number of species in the community and n is the number of individual observed (Ludwig and Reynolds, 1988). Shannon index was calculated by the formula $H^{\prime}=-\Sigma\left(P_{i} \times \ln \left(P_{i}\right)\right)$, where $P_{i}$ is the portion of individuals belongs to $i^{\text {th }}$ species (Ludwig and Reynolds, 1988). Evenness index (E1) was calculated from the Shannon index using the formula $\mathrm{E} 1=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}} / \ln (\mathrm{s})$ (Ludwig and Reynolds, 1988).

## Results

Annual rainfall pattern showed a sharp increase from May to June 1995 and thenceforth decreased up to December. Lowest rain fall was recorded in the months of December to February (Fig. 2.1). Annual rainfall recorded was 2940 mm in 1995-'96 and monsoon accounted for major discharge of rainfall, registering $67.35 \%$ while post-monsoon registered only $14.10 \%$ and the premonsoon showers was relatively higher (18.54\%) when compared to that of post-monsoon.

Monthly variation in the water temperature in 13 zones of the Vembanad lake are depicted in Fig. 2.2.1. A clear pattern in the variation of temperature could be seen in different zones with a major peak in April-May and a minor peak in September-October. Highest surface temperature of $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was recorded in April in station 6, whereas lowest $\left(24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was in July in station 13. Bottom temperature was found to be lower than surface temperature and varied between $24.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in June to $31.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in March. In the months from June to August, commensurate with the monsoon showers, water temperature showed lower values. Fluctuations of temperatures were more pronounced in the down stream region when compared to that of upstream and riverine regions.

High fluctuations in the surface and bottom salinity of different zones of the lake could be seen in the present study. Salinity was found in a range of 20.0 to 28.6 ppt in the months of March and April respectively in the high saline zones (zone 1 and 2 ) of the lake and decreased to less than 2 ppt during the onset of monsoon (Fig. 2.2.2). Limited intrusion of salinity to the upstream area due to the operation of salinity barrier was discernible and the
highest salinity observed was 8 ppt in zone 7 just south of the salinity barrier. A reduction in higher values (Maximum $<15 \mathrm{ppt}$ ) of salinity was also discernible in the southernmost parts of downstream area (zone 5 and 6) in contrast to 5 ppt salinity recorded in Kaipuzha which emptying just south of bund as a result of salinity intrusion through the barrier.

In the present study pH showed variations between 4.8 in zone 12 to 9.5 in zone 6 and 7. (Fig. 2.2.3). A slightly acidic pH was observed in upstream area in many of the months, on the contrary, lower stretches showed alkaline nature. A prominent fluctuation in the dissolved oxvgen content in the range 2.2 to $9.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{l}$ could be observed in the present study (Fig 2.2.4).
M. rosenbergii has been recorded from all the stations of the lake with a definite peak of occurrence in monsoon and post-monsoon seasons. In the down stream region $M$. rosenbergii was abundant from August to December, however, it become sparse and sporadic during March to May (Table 2.1.1). Whereas in upstream area the presence of 1 . rosennergii could be observed round the year with maximum abundance dunig March to December. In Kumarakom, Chithira and C-block, the preseace of $M$. rosenbergil was noticed almost year round. In stations 25 to 27 in the Pampa river system $M$. rosenbergii was predominantly abundant during the months of April to December, whereas, it became rare during July to Eebruary in Kaipuzha. In Murinjapuzha (station 29) also regular occurreace of $M$. rosenbergii could be registered in all the months except in April.

Presence of M. idella was found only during June to Secember in lower and upper regions of the Vembanad lake (Table 2.1.2). The ciatches were
mainly noticed from various types of 'padal' (Bush traps) and cast nets. In Pampa (Stations 25 to 27) this spices was found to be very rare, on the contrary, it was predominantly seen in cast net catches of Kaipuzha and Murinjapuzha (Station 28 and 29). M. idella constituted a good fishery in the upper reaches of the lake (Stations 19 to 23) during August to November whereas it was found abundant in lower stretch during July to November. At Kumbalam (Station 4) and adjacent stations an almost year round availability of M.idella could be discernible.
M. equidens showed regular occurrence in high numbers during October to May when the salinity was high (Table 2.1.3). In lower stretches (Stations 1 to 8 ) its regular availability could be discernible in the months from November to June whereas it was recorded only in trace numbers in the 'padal' catches in other months. In the southern areas of the lower stretch of Vembanad lake (Stations 10 to 13), the occurrence of M. equidens was recorded during October-November to April.

The presence of $M$. striatus could be seen in stray numbers in the 'padal' and cast net catches in the lower stretch of the Vembanad lake during June to April with a peak from August to January (Table 2.1.4). M. striatus was not commercially exploited from the Vembanad lake and it was found along with M. idella and M. equidens. M. striatus was found to be very rare in the upper stretches as well as in the Pampa river system, on the contrary, it was found represented in moderate numbers at Kaipuzha and Murinjapuzha (Stations 28 and 29) during June to November.

No commercial exploitation of Mscabriculum could be seen in the Vembanad lake as it was found only in stray numbers in 'Padal' and cast net
from almost all regions of the lake (Table 2.1.5). In lower stretch of the Vembanad lake M.scabriculum was observed during June to January whereas, in the upper stretches and in Pampa river system an year round availability was observed. From Kaipuzha and Murinjapuzha the occurrence of M.scabriculum was recorded from April to September and August to January respectively.

In the present study, M.rude could only be collected from Kumbalam (Station 4) and sample composed of 15 male specimens. In all other stations studied, their absence was quite noteworthy.

Number of male and female specimens of different Macrobrachium spp. collected from Kumbalam (Station 4) is presented in Table. 2.2. Among them M. idella was the most dominant species having an year round availability, showing a peak during August to February. Females dominated in the catches in all the months except May. The occurrence of M.rosenbergii was noticed only during June to February in the cast net catches while juveniles appeared in stray numbers in the 'padal' catches. Presence of M. equidens was recorded from September to June with a dominance of females in the catches except in January.

In Kumbalam, M. striatus was recorded from June to March and it showed a mutually repellent behaviour with that of M. equidens. The occurrence of M.scabriculum in sparse number was registered during June to January with a peak during October to December. M.rude was very rare and its presence was irregularly noticed from July to March. Highest number of 818 individuals belonging to all the six species of Macrobrachium could be recorded during October (Table. 2.3). During May to October the number of individuals showed a gradual increase, thereafter a decrease could be registered
up to May (Table 2.3; Fig. 2.2.2). During October to January all the six species of Macrobrachium were found in the catches except in the month of November.

Richness of Macrobrachium spp. is highest in the months of December and January as evident from the highest richness index arrived at ( $\mathrm{R} 2=0.293$ and 0.295 respectively). In April and May, only M.idella and M.equidens could be encountered in the exploited stock (Table 2.3). Shannon index showed highest value in December (0.847) and March (0.831) whereas, lowest was in June and July ( 0.258 and 0.291 respectively) (Table 2.3). Evenness index (EI) showed highest values during November (1.282) and December (1.302), showing high degree of evenness in the occurrence of all the 6 species.

The result of correlation analysis between various species and different physico-chemical parameters are presented in Table 2.4. Occurrence of all the species except $M$. equidens showed a negative correlation with salinity. However, M. equidens showed significant positive relationship with temperature. Interestingly, all the Macrobrachium spp. studied showed a positive correlation with pH and dissolved oxygen, however, the correlation was not found significant in all these cases.

## Discussion

Pattern of fluctuation of water temperature can very well be correlated to the fluctuation noticed in the rainfall. Monthly fluctuation pattern of water temperature as well as the highest and lowest temperatures recorded during the study period fully agrees with earlier reports (Haridas et al., 1973; Pillai et al., 1975; Silas and Pillai, 1975; Lekshmanan et al., 1982; Kurup and Samuel, 1987; KWBSP, 1989). It is pertinent to mention that the major share
( $>60 \%$ ) of the total annual rainfall is contributed during the monsoon months and a resultant reduction in water temperature could also be observed in the lake. Freshwater prawns are reported to be migrating to the estuarine regions of the lake for facilitating the successful development of the larvae (John, 1957; Raman, 1967; Ling, 1969a). The reproductive cycle and other biological cycles may be viewed as an integrated response of the individuals of a population to the environment, both in a functional and temporal sense (Sastry, 1983). As the salinity intrusion to the rivers seldom occurred ever since the commissioning of the salinity barrier, temperature may play a major role in the timely downward movements of individuals to a preferred area for breeding. Raman (1967) reported that mature bull males of M. rosenbergii probably occurred and preferred deep and cool area of river Pampa, confirming its preference to low temperature habitats.

Salinity appeared as the most fluctuating water quality parameter in the lake and the operation of the salinity barrier at Thanneermukkom plays a major role in the distribution of salinity in the Vembanad lake. Salinity in downstream area showed high fluctuation when compared to upstream area where the highest salinity recorded was only 8 ppt . The present salinity values recorded from the lake when compared against Josanto (1971) revealed that a total change in the pattern of salinity distribution in the lake has taken place since the commissioning of the barrier. An increase in salinity during the dry months when the freshwater discharges got reduced and a corresponding decrease in salinity during monsoon when freshwater pushes salt water down the lake were usually seen(Josanto, 1971). Pronounced variation could be observed in the surface and bottom salinity in stations 1 and 2 , which may be
due to the formation of salinity tongue as a result of the intrusion of saline water through the botton (Wellershaus, 1973). Josanto (1971) reported a salinity fluctuation between 23 and 31 ppt in March prior to the commissioning of the salinity barrier, whereas, in the present study the fluctuation was in a range of 12 to 28 ppt which is on a lower side. Similarly in the upstream area, bottom salinity fluctuated between 18 and 22 ppt prior to the commissioning of the salinity barrier (Josanto, 1971), on the contrary, variation in the range of 0 to 8 ppt could only be recorded during the present study and this is found higher when compared to Kurup and Samuel (1987) who recorded a salinity fluctuation of 0 to 3 ppt only in upstream area. An increasing trend observed in the highest salinity recorded from upstream area can be attributed to the changes in the operation schedule of salinity barrier (Kurup et al., 1992a). A decrease in the highest salinity previously recorded from the southern parts of downstream area is also worth mentioning and this may be due to the commissioning of Idukki hydro-electric project and subsequent diversion of part of water to Muvattupuzha river whereby a perennial flow in Muvatttupuzha, is being maintained. The seasonal variations in salinity have a profound influence on the distribution of estuarine animals as salinity act as the master factor controlling the life of estuarine animals (Kinne, 1966).

Lowest values of pH were recorded in the zone 8 and 12 whereas, highest were from zone 6 and 7 and these observation fully agree with KWBSP (1989). Harikrishnan (1997) reported a high levels of hardness and alkalinity coincided with the higher pH in Punnamada area. A slightly acidic pH was observed in upstream area in many of the months, on the contrary, lower stretches showed an alkaline nature. This may be due to the lowered intrusion
of saline water which have a buffering action as well as minimised free flushing action of floods due to salinity barrier which imparts numerous environmental problems and also results in upsetting the ecological balance (Balchand, 1983). The wide fluctuation of $\mathrm{pH}(4.8$ to 9.5$)$ and dissolved oxygen could also be discernible in the present study, on the contrary, fluctuation was still narrower in earlier reports (Silas and Pillai, 1975; Kurup and Samuel, 1987; KWBSP, 1989). Fluctuation in the pH and dissolved oxygen in northern areas of upper stretch (Zone 7 to 9 ) may also be attributed to the high degree of bottom churning due to the dredging of clam shell deposits. Odum (1970) opined that most estuarine sediment contain high concentration of oxygen demanding materials and when they discharged to the water, a high oxygen demand is exerted. Windom (1976) pointed out a wide fluctuation in the oxygen demand and pH in the areas of dredging and also dredging will directly affect the animals by habitat disruption, inhibition and stimulation due to water quality changes and interference with migration.

More than 40 species coming under the genus Macrobrachium were identified from Indian waters and about 14 of the moderate sized prawns contribute to the commercial fishery and M. rosenbergii being the largest species (Jayachandran and Joseph, 1989a). In Vembanad lake M. rosenbergii and Midella are commercially exploited with a peak fishing season during monsoon and post-monsoon (Raman, 1967; Jayachandran and Joseph, 1989a; Kurup, et al., 1992b). Mequidens and M.striatus were also seen in considerable numbers in the cast net catches and bush trap catches (Pillai, 1990 a\&b; Kurup et al., 1992a). M.scabriculum often caught as stray catches and has no commercial value, while Mrrude occurs only in very low numbers.

Jayachandran (1987) reported occurrence of six species belonging to the genera Macrobrachium from the Vembanad lake.

In lower stretches of the lake, a good fishery of $M$. rosenbergii was recorded in the monsoon and post-monsoon seasons (Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997b) which were delineated as the breeding period of this species (Raman, 1967; Kurup et al., 1992a.). Kurup et al. (1992a) observed a shift in the breeding ground of M.rosenbergii from Kumarakom (Zone 8 of present study) to Thevara-Perumbalam (Zone 2 and 4 of present study) and after breeding the adults may prone to fishing mortality or returned to freshwater habitat (Ling, 1969a; Raman, 1967; Kurup et al., 1992a, Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997b). This may be the reason for the limited occurrence of M. rosenbergii in the low saline areas in downstream region after pre-monsoon period. Rajyalakshmi (1980) observed a positive correlation between the magnitude of occurrence and the amount of rainfall. Rao (1967) reported good fishery of M. rosenbergii in freshwater and gradient zones of Hooghly and Matlah estuary during the spawning period and only stray catches were recorded from the marine zone which is well in agreement with the present observation. Present findings also showed similarity with the pattern of distribution of M.malcomsonii in Hooghly and Godavari river systems (Rajyalekshmi, 1980) and M.choprai from Ganga river system (Prakash, 1994). Jinadasa (1985) showed two peaks in the occurrence of $M$. rosenbergii in Bolgoda lake Sri Lanka commensurate with the two monsoonal rains which lowered salinity as well as temperature in the lake. Highest occurrence of $M$. rosenbergil in Kolleru lake was also reported in winter and monsoon period (Rao, 1992) and from September to January in Irrawadi river in Burma (Taw, 1982) and present findings showed a strong
agreement with these findings.. Kadir et al.(1982) noticed that the density of this species in Pulikat lake is depended up on the influx of water.

Due to the operation of salinity barrier the region south of Thanneermukkom become transformed to an almost freshwater habitat (Kurup et al., 1992a) and this may be the reason for the regular availability of $M$. rosenbergii in the upper stretches of the lake. Highest salinity recorded from the upstream area was 8 ppt in the month of April and due to the lower salinity conditions prevailing in this part in most of the months, $M$. rosenbergii may prefer to inhabit this part of the lake (zones 7 to 9 ). The results of the present study also indicate the possibility of a resident stock of $M$. rosenbergii in these areas especially in Kumarakom (Zone 8) and Chithira (zone 9). Raman (1967) reported an year round availability of $M$. rosenbergii in the river Pampa (Pulinkizh and Ranni) where salinity seldom intrudes. Low salinity observed in the zone 8 and 9 due to the operation of salinity barrier might be reason for the presence of a resident stock as this species can tolerate a salinity even up to 18 ppt without much stress (Venugopalan and Thampi, 1992)

Distribution of M. idella was found to be more prominent in the lower stretches of the lake when compared to other species. The year round occurrence of $M$. idella in the stations 3 and 4 showed its higher tolerance to the salinity stress as these stations are characterised by marked fluctuation in salinity. It is worth mentioning about the stray occurrence of M. idella in the Pampa river system in contrast to the good fishery prevailed in the southern parts of the upstream region in the month of August to November. Occurrence of M.idella was noticed in Kaipuzha and Murinjapuzha in most of the months.

However, a specific pattern in the migration of M.idella could not be arrived at in the present study.

Distribution patterns of $M$. equidens and $M$. striatus were found to be almost similar to that of Midella. These species were well restricted to lower stretches of the lake and also in Kaipuzha and Murinjapuzha region whereas, their occurrence were very sparse in upper stretches and Pampa river. Johnson (1966) categorised this type of prawns as essentially inhabitants of low saline brackish waters and only penetrate marginally into fully freshwater regions. Positive correlation observed in the relationship between the occurrence M.equidens and salinity (Table 2.4) fully support the suggestion of Jehnson (1966). Pillai (1990a \& b) differentiated M.equidens and M.striatus on the basis of phenotypic difference, variation in the larval morphology and on the tasis of inability to interbreed. In the present study it could be seen that these two species showed a differential availability in the lower stretches of the Vembanad lake (Table 2.2). Besides, the occurrence of M.equidens showed a direct correlation with salinity while, $M$. striatus showed an inverse relationship and this can also be taken as an ecological tool for differentiation of these two species.
M.scabriculum showed a restricted distribution in the sower stretches of the lake whereas, in the upper stretches it was found in almost all the months. Johnson (1966) categorised this species as a inhabient of freshwater regions often living far from the sea, but its larvae were fo:snd in saline waters. M.rude could be recorded only from Kumbalam in ver: few quantities whereas, in all other stations its total absence is noteworthy.

Individuals belonging to various species of Macrobrachium was found high in the months of July to November (Table. 2.3) and this is well in agreement with the report of Ibrahim (1962), Raman (1967), Rajyaleshmi (1980), Jinadasa (1985), Kurup et al. (1992a) and Prakash (1994) who have reported that maximum occurrence of prawn takes place in the monsoon and post monsoon periods. Evenness index was appeared to be maximum in April and May which may be due to the low species number and also due to the more or less even occurrence of $M$. idella and M. equidens. Evenness index was found to be minimum in the months of June to August which may be due to the occurrence of only five species and among them M. idella showed an obvious dominance in the exploited stock.
Table 2.1.1. Pattern of distribution of Macrobrachium rosenbergii in Vembanad lake

| No. | Zone | Stations | MAR 95 | $\begin{gathered} \text { APR } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAY } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUN } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUK } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEP } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCT } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOV } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEC } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | JAN 96 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FEB } \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Cochin | - | - | - | - | - | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ | + | + |
| 2 | 1 | Thevara | - | - | - | . | - | ++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | $+$ | $+$ |  |
| 3 | 2 | Cheppanam | - | - | - | + | - | ++ |  | ++ | ++ | ++ | $+$ | + |
| 4 | 2 | Kumbalam | - | - | - | + | + | +++ | ++ | +++ | +++ | + | + | + |
| 5 | 2 | Arookutty | - | - | - | + | + | ++ | + | $4+$ | ++ | + | + | - |
| 6 | 3 | Kakkathuruthu | * | - |  | - | - | $+$ | ++ | +++ | ++ | + | $+$ |  |
| 7 | 3 | Olaippu |  | - | - | + | + | +++ | ++ | ++ |  | + | + |  |
| 8 | 3 | Chenganda | - | . | - |  | + | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | + | $+$ | - |
| 9 | 4 | Panavally |  | - | - | + | + | ++ | + | ++ | - | ++ | -- |  |
| 10 | 4 | Perumbalam | - | - | - |  | + | ++ | ++ | + | + |  | + | - |
| 11 | 4 | Udayamperoor |  |  | - | - | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | - |
| 12 | 5 | Poochakkal | - | - |  | - | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | ++ | $+$ | - |
| 13 | 5 | Anjuthurutio | - |  | + | ++ | ++ | ++ |  | ++ | ++ | $+$ | -- | - |
| 14 | 6 | Pallipuram | - | - | - |  | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | - |
| 15 | 6 | Vaikom | - | - | - | + | + | + + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | $+$ | . |
| 16 | 6 | T.V.Puram | - | - |  | + | ++ | + | + |  |  |  | + | - |
| 17 | 6 | Vechoor | - | - | - | + | + | ++ | ++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | -- | - |
| 18 | 7 | Thannermukkom |  |  |  | + | - | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | + | -- | - |
| 19 | 8 | Kumarakom | + | + | ++ | ++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | + |
| 20 | 8 | Muhamma | - |  | - |  | ++ | ++ |  |  | + | + | ++ | - |
| 21 | 8 | Aryad | - |  | + | ++ | + | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + |
| 22 | 9 | Chithira | + | + | + | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + |
| 23 | 9 | C-Block | - | + | + | ++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ |
| 24 | 10 | Punnnamada | + | + | - | + | ++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | + | + |  | + |
| 25 | 11 | Nedumiudl | + | + | ++ | +++ | + + | +++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | +++ | - | - |
| 26 | 11 | Pallathuruthy | + |  |  | +++ | + | + | +++ | + | ++ | +++ | - | + |
| 27 | 11 | Kavalain | - | - | - | +++ |  |  | +++ | + | ++ | +++ |  | - |
| 28 | 12 | Kaipuzha | + | - | - |  | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | . | - | + | + |
| 29 | 13 | Murinjapuzha | + | - | + | ++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ |

[^5]Table 2.1.2 Pattern of distribution of Macrobrachium idella in Vembanad lake

|  |  | Stations | MAR 95 | APR 95 | MAY 95 | JUN 95 | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUL } \\ \mathbf{9 5} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AUG } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | SEP 95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCT } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOV } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEC } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | JAN 96 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FEB } \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Cochin |  |  |  | ++ |  | ++ | ++ | + + | - | $+$ |  | - |
| 2 | 1 | Thevara | - | $+$ | + | ++ | ++ | ++ |  | ++ | + | + | + | - |
| 3 | 2 | Cheppanam |  | $+$ | ++ | + | ++ | $+$ | ++ | ++ | $++$ | $+$ | - | ++ |
| 4 | 2 | Kumbalam. | ++ | $+$ | + | + | ++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | + + + | +++ | ++ | ++ |
| 5 | 2 | Arookutty | + |  | + | ++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | +++ |  | ++ | + | - |
| 6 | 3 | Kakkathuruthu | - | $+$ | - | - | +++ | $+$ | +++ | + | ++ | + | ++ | + |
| 7 | 3 | Olaippu | - | - | + | - | +++ | + | ++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | + |
| 8 | 3 | Chenganda |  | - |  | + | - | +4+ | +++ | ++t | +++ | $t+t$ | + | + |
| 9 | 4 | Fanavally | - |  | - | + | + | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ |  | ++ | - |
| 10 | 4 | Perumbalam | - | - |  | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | $+$ | - |
| 11 | 4 | Udayamperoor |  | - | $\bullet$ | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | + |  | $+$ |
| 12 | 5 | Poochakkal | - | - | $+$ | $+$ |  | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | + | - | ++ |
| 13 | 5 | Anjuthuruth | - | - | - | + | +++ | + | $+++$ | +++ | ++ | ++ | - | ++ |
| 14 | 6 | Pallipuram |  |  | - | $+++$ | +++ | - | + + | $+$ | $+$ | - | - |  |
| 15 | 6 | Vaikom | $\sim$ | - |  | + | ++ | +++ | + + | $+$ | ++ | + |  | - |
| 16 | 6 | T.V.Puram | - | - | + | . |  | + | +++ | ++ | +++ | $+$ | - |  |
| 17 | 6 | Vechoor | - |  |  | - | ++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | - | $+$ |
| 18 | 7 | Thannermukkom | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | ++ | + | ++ |  |  |
| 19 | 8 | Kumarakom | - |  | - | - | - | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | - | - |
| 20 | 8 | Muhamma |  | - |  | +++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | ++t | +++ | ++ | - |
| 21 | 8 | Aryad | - | - | - | + | - | ++ | +++ | +++ | + | - | - | - |
| 22 | 9 | Chithira | - | - | - | +++ | +++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ |  | - |  |
| 23 | 9 | C-Block | - |  |  | - | $+$ | + | $+$ | +++ | + | - |  | - |
| 24 | 10 | Punnnamada |  | - | - |  | + | - | + | - | ++ |  |  |  |
| 25 | 11 | Nedumudi |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | + | - |  | - | - |
| 26 | 11 | Pallathuruthy | - | * |  | - |  | $+$ |  | - | - | - | + | - |
| 27 | 11 | Kavalam |  |  | - |  | - |  | $\sim$ | - |  | - | - | - |
| 28 | 12 | Kaipuzha |  | - |  | $+$ | + | ++ | ++ | +++ | ++ | ++ | + | ++ |
| 29 | 13 | Murinjapuzha |  | + | $+$ | + | ++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | $+$ | ++ |  | - |

[^6]Table 2.1.3 Pattern of distribution of Macrobrachium equidens in Vembanad lake

|  | Zone | Stations | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAR } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { APR } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAY } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUN } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUL } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AUG } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEP } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OCT } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOV } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEC } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JAN } \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEB } \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Cochin | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | + | ++ | - | + | + |
| 2 | 1 | Thevara | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |  | ++ | + |
| 3 | 2 | Cheppanam | + | + |  | - | + | + | + |  | + | + | ++ | ++ |
| 4 | 2 | Kumbalam | + | ++ | + | + | - | - | + | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ |
| 5 | 2 | Arookutty | ++ | + | + | + |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | + |
| 6 | 3 | Kakkathuruthu | + | + | + |  | - | + | - | + | + | - | - | + |
| 7 | 3 | Olaippu | - | + | + | - | + |  | + |  | + | - | - | ++ |
| 8 | 3 | Chenganda | ++ |  | - | - | + | + | ++ | - | ++ | ++ | + | + |
| 9 | 4 | Panavally | + | + | ++ | + | + |  | + | ++ |  | ++ |  | ++ |
| 10 | 4 | Perumbalam | + | ++ | + | + | + | ++ | + |  | + | ++ | + | + |
| 11 | 4 | Udayamperoor |  | + | + | - | + | ++ | + | + | + | ++ | + | + |
| 12 | 5 | Poochakkal |  | + |  | + | - | - | - | + | + | + | + |  |
| 13 | 5 | Anjuthuruth | + |  | - |  |  | - |  | + |  | + |  | + |
| 14 | 6 | Pallipuram |  |  |  | - | - | - | + | - |  |  |  | - |
| 15 | 6 | Vaikom | + | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | + | - | - | - |
| 16 | 6 | T.V.Puram |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | . | - | - |  |
| 17 | 6 | Vechoar |  |  | - | - |  | - | - | $+$ |  | - | + |  |
| 18 | 7 | Thannermukkom |  | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| 19 | 8 | Kurnarakom |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| 20 | 8 | Muhamma | - |  |  |  |  | - | + | - | - | + | - | - |
| 21 | 8 | Aryad |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | + | - |  |  |  |
| 22 | 9 | Chithira |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | + |
| 23 | 9 | C-Block | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| 24 | 10 | Punnnamada |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - |  | - |
| 25 | 11 | Nedumudi |  | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| 26 | 11 | Pallathuruthy | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |
| 27 | 11 | Kavalam | + | + | - | + |  | ++ | + |  | ++ | + |  | + |
| 28 | 12 | Kaipuzha | ++ |  | + | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 29 | 13 | Murinjapuzha | - | + | + | - | + | + |  | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | ++ |

[^7]$+++=$ Abundan
$-\quad=$ Absent
Blank = Data not available
Table 2.1.4 Pattern of distribution of Macrobrachium striatus in Vembanad lake

|  | Zones |  | Stations | MAR 95 | $\begin{gathered} \text { APR } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAY } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUN } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUL } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { AUG } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEP } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { OCT } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOV } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEC } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JAN } \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FEB } \\ 96 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | Cochin |  | - |  |  |  |  | + | + | ++ | + |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | Thevara | - |  |  | - | + |  | + | + | + |  |  | - |
| 3 |  |  | Cheppanam |  | - | - |  | - | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | + |
| 4 |  |  | Kumbalam | - | - | - | + | + | ++ | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| 5 |  |  | Arookutty |  |  |  | . | + | ++ | + | ++ | + |  | + | + |
| 6 |  |  | Kakkathuruthu | * | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | - |
| 7 |  |  | Olaippu |  | - |  |  | + | + | - | + | + | + | - |  |
| 8 |  |  | Chenganda | - | + | - | - | + | + | $+$ | - | + | + | - | + |
| 9 |  |  | Panavally |  | . | - | - | . | + | ++ | + | ++ |  | - |  |
| 10 |  |  | Perumbalam | - | - |  | + | - | ++ | $+$ | + | + | ++ | + | + |
| 11 |  |  | Udayamperoor | - | - |  |  | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + |
| 12 |  |  | Poochakkal | - |  |  | ++ | + | ++ | + | + |  | - |  | - |
| 13 |  |  | Anjuthuruth | - | - |  | +* |  | + | + | + | + | + | - | - |
| 14 |  |  | Pallipuram | - |  | - | + | + | + | + | ++ |  | + | - |  |
| 15 |  |  | Vaikom |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | + | + |  | + | - |
| 16 |  |  | T.V.Puram |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | + |  | + |  | $+$ |
| 17 |  |  | Vechoor |  |  | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| 18 |  |  | Thannermukkom |  | - | - |  |  | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| 19 |  |  | Kumarakom |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | . | - | - |  | - |
| 20 |  |  | Muhamma | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - |
| 21 |  |  | Aryad | - |  |  | + | - | - |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| 22 |  |  | Chithira |  |  |  | + |  |  |  | + |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  | C-Block |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  | Punnnamada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  | Nedumudi | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |
| 26 |  |  | Paliathuruthy |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| 27 |  |  | Kavalam | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| 28 |  |  | Kaipuzha |  |  | - | + | + | + |  | + | + | + | + | + |
| 29 |  |  | Murinjapuzha | - |  | - |  | + | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + |

[^8]$+++=$ Abundant
$+++=$ Abundant
$-\quad=$ Absent
Blank = Data not available
Table 2.1.5 Pattern of distribution of Macrobrachium scabriculum in Vembanad lake

|  | Zone | Stations | MAR 95 | APR 95 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAY } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUN } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JUL } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AUG } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SEP } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OCT } \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NOV } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DEC } \\ 95 \end{gathered}$ | JAN 96 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FEB } \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Cochin | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 1 | Thevara |  | - | - | - | - | + | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| 3 | 2 | Cheppanam |  |  |  | + |  |  | ++ | - | - | - |  |  |
| 4 | 2 | Kumbalam | - | - | - | + | + | ++ | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | - |
| 5 | 2 | Arookutty |  | - | - | - | + |  | + | - | ++ |  |  |  |
| 6 | 3 | Kakkathuruthu | - |  | - | - | + | + | + | + |  | - | - |  |
| 7 | 3 | Olaippu |  | - | - | - |  | + | + | + | + | - |  | - |
| 8 | 3 | Chenganda |  |  | - | + | + |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ |
| 9 | 4 | Panavally |  | - | - | + |  | + | - | + | $+$ |  | - |  |
| 10 | 4 | Perumbalam | - |  | - | $+$ | - | - |  | + | + | + |  | - |
| 11 | 4 | Udayamperoor |  | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - |
| 12 | 5 | Poochakkal | - | - | - | - | + | + | + | + | - | - |  | - |
| 13 | 5 | Anjuthuruth |  |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | + | - | - |  |
| 14 | 6 | Pallipuram | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | + | + | - |  | - |
| 15 | 6 | Vaikom | - | - | - | - |  | - | + |  | - | - |  |  |
| 16 | 6 | T.V.Puram |  | - | - | + | + | + |  | + | - |  |  | - |
| 17 | 6 | Vechoor | - | - | - | - |  | + | + | + |  | + | - |  |
| 18 | 7 | Thannermukkom | - | - | - |  | - | + | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | 8 | Kumarakom | - |  | - | - | + | - |  | + | - | - | - |  |
| 20 | 8 | Muhamma |  |  | + | + |  | + | + |  |  | + | + | - |
| 21 | 8 | Aryad |  | + | ++ |  | + |  | + | ++ |  | + |  | + |
| 22 | 9 | Chithira | - |  | + | ++ |  | + |  | - | + | + | - |  |
| 23 | 9 | C-Block |  | + | - | - | ++ |  | ++ | + | - |  | + | - |
| 24 | 10 | Punnnamada | + |  | + | + | + | + | ++ |  | + |  | + | + |
| 25 | 11 | Nedumudi |  | + | + | + |  | + | - | - | + |  | + |  |
| 26 | 11 | Pallathuruthy | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |  | - | + | + |  |
| 27 | 11 | Kavalam | + | + |  | + |  | + | - | - | + | + | + |  |
| 28 | 12 | Kaipuzha |  | + | - | + | + | + | + | - | + |  |  |  |
| 29 | 13 | Murinjapuzha |  |  |  | + | + | + | + | + |  | - | + | + |

[^9]Table 2.2 Monthly occurrence of Macrobrachium spp. at Kumbalam

|  | M. rosenbergii | Midella | M.equidens | M. Striatus | M.Scabriculum | M.rude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAR 95 | 0 | 47 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| APR | 0 | 38 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MAY | 0 | 42 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JUN | 4 | 54 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| JUL | 14 | 39 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| AUG | 19 | 124 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 4 |
| SEP | 8 | 118 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 3 |
| OCT | 16 | 87 | 12 | 18 | 20 | 2 |
| NOV | 5 | 96 | 5 | 20 | 8 | 0 |
| DEC 95 | 1 | 158 | 8 | 15 | 16 | 5 |
| JAN 96 | 2 | 113 | 19 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
| FEB | 0 | 137 | 14 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| FEMALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAR 95 | 0 | 89 | 38 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| APR | 0 | 48 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MAY | 0 | 29 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JUN | 1 | 274 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| JUL | 2 | 684 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 0 |
| AUG | 5 | 481 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 0 |
| SEP | 14 | 354 | 4 | 24 | 9 | 0 |
| OCT | 20 | 598 | 9 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| NOV | 13 | 328 | 15 | 18 | 12 | 0 |
| DEC 95 | 8 | 168 | 21 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| JAN 96 | 1 | 238 | 14 | 10 | 2 | 0 |
| FEB | 3 | 195 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2.3 Diversity indices caculated for Macrobrachium spp. at Kumbalam

| Month | No. of <br> species | No. of <br> Individuals | Richness <br> Index | Diversity <br> Index <br> (Shannon- <br> Index) | Evenness <br> Index |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{S})$ | $(\mathrm{n})$ | (R2) $)$ |  |  |
| Mar 95 | 4 | 211 | 0.275 | 0.831 | 1.657 |
| Apr | 2 | 159 | 0.159 | 0.690 | 2.876 |
| May | 2 | 106 | 0.194 | 0.634 | 2.721 |
| Jun | 5 | 354 | 0.266 | 0.258 | 0.804 |
| Jul | 5 | 768 | 0.180 | 0.291 | 0.831 |
| Aug | 5 | 676 | 0.192 | 0.467 | 0.992 |
| Sep | 6 | 557 | 0.254 | 0.640 | 1.059 |
| Oct | 6 | 818 | 0.210 | 0.675 | 1.096 |
| Nov | 5 | 520 | 0.219 | 0.725 | 1.282 |
| Dec 95 | 6 | 418 | 0.293 | 0.847 | 1.302 |
| Jan 96 | 6 | 413 | 0.295 | 0.594 | 1.010 |
| Feb | 4 | 392 | 0.202 | 0.542 | 1.241 |

Table 2.4 Correlation coefficients with respect tooccurrence of Macrobrachium spp.and different water quality parameters

| Species | Salinity | Temp. | DO | pH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M.rosenbergii | -0.519 | -0.123 | 0.283 | 0.175 |
| Midella | -0.685 * | -0.491 | 0.239 | 0.088 |
| M.equidens | 0.892 * | 0.698 * | 0.224 | 0.292 |
| M.striatus | -0.286 | -0.22 | 0.293 | 0.549 |
| M.scabriculum | -0.149 | 0.076 | 0.409 | 0.469 |
| M.rude | -0.228 | -0.328 | 0.128 | 0.324 |

*Sigificant at $5 \%$ level $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$
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Fig. 2.2.3 Monthly variation in water pH in different zones of Vembanad lake
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## Chapter 3

## Food and feeding habits of Macrobrachium rosenbergii and M.striatus

## Introduction

Studies on food and feeding of animals are of great importance in understanding growth, migration, reproduction, seasonal variation in body condition, etc. Basic knowledge on the food preference and feeding habits of a species are of primary necessity for ascertaining its suitability for aquaculture. Members of the genus Macrobrachium are adapted themselves to a wide range of feed of both animal and plant origin and are reported to be omnivorous in their feeding habits. In the absence of proper feeding these species often become cannibalistic and this acts as the most commercial disadvantage from the view point of farming (Wickins, 1972; Peebles, 1977). Feeding mechanism, food contents, behaviour and process of feeding are studied with respect to domesticated and natural populations of M.rosenbergii (John, 1957; Raman, 1967; Rao, 1967; Ling, 1969a; Lee et al., 1980; Munshi et al.,1991). Feeding habits of M.americanum (Smitherman et al., 1974), M.idella (Jayachandran and Joseph, 1989), M.lanchesteri (Johnson, 1968), M.Choprai (Prakash and Agarwal, 1989) and M.equidens (Murthy and Rajagopal, 1990) are also known. Deru (1990) and Kamaruddin et al. (1994) studied the morphological variation in gut and digestive enzyme activity during larval development of M.rosenbergii. Harpaz et al. (1987), Steiner and Harpaz (1987) and Harpaz and Steiner (1987) studied the feeding behaviour of M.rosenhergli in captivity.

Eventhough the food preference and feeding intensity of M.rosenbergii were studied from natural waters, however, the food preference and feeding habit of morphotypes of M.rosenbergii either from grow-out or natural populations are totally unknown. Moreover, M.striatus has been conferred with distinct taxonomic status quite recently and therefore, its food and feeding habits were also not yet unravelled. Therefore, the present study is aimed to delineate the seasonal, maturity stage wise, morphotype wise variation if any, in the food preference and intensity of feeding of M.rosenbergii and males and females of M.striatus.

## Materials and methods

A preliminary qualitative study of the gut content revealed that M.rosenbergii and M.striatus are omnivores and detritus feeders and therefore, volumetric points method recommended by Swynnerton and Worthington (1940) is found to be suitable for the estimation of volume and percentage of different food items encountered from the gut of these species.

Random samples of $10-20$ live specimens of each sex of both M.rosenbergii and M.striatus were collected on a monthly basis from the landing centres located at the upstream region of the lake and Kumbalam in the downstream region respectively and preserved in $5 \%$ formalin, after making some perforations on the carapace for better preservation of gut, gonads and hepatopancreas. A total of 676 specimens of $M$.rosenberg $i i$ and 463 specimens of M.striatus belonging to the size group of $72-297 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $38-96 \mathrm{~mm}$ respectively were used for gut content analysis during March 1994 to February 1996. The specimens so collected were segregated based on sex, stage of
maturity and morphotype wise. After weighing the specimens, stomach was dissected out and weighed. The food items in general showed a high degree of mutilation as it was in masticated condition. Therefore, the gut contents could only be identified up to higher taxonomic groups. Index of preponderance of each food item was worked out by applying the formula recommended by Natarajan and Jhingran (1962). Monthly variation in feeding intensity was studied by deriving gastrosomatic index, the percentage weight of stomach to the total body weight. Feeding intensity was also assessed by classifying the stomachs as 'nil', 'trace', ' $1 / 4$ full', ' $1 / 2$ full', ' $3 / 4$ full' and 'full' depending on the state of distension and amount of food in the stomach. The contents of intestine was excluded from the analysis as these were found to be in partially or fully digested state.

## Results

Following materials were identified from the stomach contents of both M.rosenbergii and M.striatus.

1. Detritus:- Contributed significantly to the diet and was identified by black, dark brown or dark green colour.
2. Mud:- Presence of mud was noticed in many guts and was difficult to separate from detritus.
3. Sand:- Sand was encountered occasionally and was separated by continuous washing.
4. Fish remains :-This category include fish bones and scales
5. Animal matter:- Includes semi-digested and mutilated flesh of different animals
6. Plant matter:- Represented by the broken roots, leaves and stem. Some times decayed barks of plants with attached algal mass could also be encountered. In M.rosenbergii a sizeable quantity of coconut kemel was also encountered from the stomach contents as the same is being used as the bait in different types of fishing operations. Coconut kernel was included under plant matter. A high percentage of algal mass was encountered from the stomach content of M.striatus which was found difficult to separate and identify as the same were in mutilated form along with detritus and plant materials. Therefore, these algal masses are included under plant matter.
7. Filamentous algae:- Their presence is noticed in M.rosenbergii in very small quantity. Spirogyra sp. contributed the major portion of this group. In M.striatus it was not well represented and if present it was in highly mutilated form, therefore included under plant matter.
8. Diatoms :- Benthic diatoms were found in fairly large numbers, however their relative volume was small in M.rosenbergii. They were predominantly represented by Navicula, Nitzschia, and Coscinọiscus. In M.striatus diatoms were very difficult to differentiate from plant matter and detritus therefore, included under plant matter.
9. Crustacean remains:- Comprises of mainly crustacean appendages, broken shells, etc. The regular occurrence of this item could be noticed in mutilated from majority of samples.
10. Miscellaneous matter:- Semi-digested and unidentifiable items were grouped under this category.

## Preference to food items

Index of preponderance of various food items worked out in males and females of M.rosenbergil and M.striatus are presented in Table 3.1 and 3.2. Detritus showed a regular occurrence in moderate quantity in males and females of M.rosenbergii whereas, both sexes of M.striatus showed preference to plant matter. Semi-digested and unidentifiable food items occurred in considerable quantities in the gut contents of both males and females of M.striatus.

Monthly variation in the index of preponderance worked out for various food items encountered from the gut of both sexes of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus are presented in Table 3.3 and 3.4 respectively. In M.rosenbergii, detritus showed a regular occurrence during most of the months whereas in M.striatus, plant matter and unidentifiable materials predominated in the stomach contents.

In M.rosenbergii, maturing females showed higher preference towards plant matter while, orange berried prawns preferred crustacean remains. Besides, detritus and plant matter also showed a regular occurrence in all the maturity stages (Table 3.5).

In M.striatus miscellaneous matter, plant matter and detritus showed highest occurrences. in immature, maturing and matared stages of males respectively (Table 3.6). Index of preponderance worked out in females of M.striatus are presented in Table 3.7.

Among various male morphotypes t-SOC, SBC and OBC showed strong preference towards detritus whereas, SOC showed preference towards
crustacean appendages (Table 3.8). The food of SM and WBC consisted of higher percentage of plant matter, while that of WOC consumed almost equal proportions of detritus, sand, animal matter, plant matter and miscellaneous items. Gut contents of the female morphotypes invariably comprised of plant matter, detritus and sand (Table 3.9).

## Gastrosomatic index

Variation in the gastrosomatic index recorded from males and females of M.rosenbergii during March 1994 to February 1996 are depicted in Fig. 3.1 and 3.2. In male M.rosenbergii, a prominent peak of gastrosomatic index was observed in June 1994 (5.679) and May 1995 (3.893) and thereafter it showed a decreasing trend up to February in both the years studied. Whereas in females, gastrosomatic index showed higher values during June 1994 (3.745) and May 1995 (5.023) indicating its higher feeding intensity.

In males of M.striatus, gastrosomatic index was relatively high during July 1994 (3.928) and August 1995 (3.646), thereafter in both the years of study, a gradual decline in gastrosomatic index was quite discernible in December (Fig. 3.3). In contrast, a specific patterm in seasonal variation of gastrosomatic index could not be delineated in females of M.striatus(Fig 3.4).

A distinct variation in feeding intensity could be observed in M.rosenbergii commensurate with the ovarian maturation process (Fig. 3.5). Feeding intensity was high in immature females as evidenced by higher gastrosomatic index, whereas, it was lowest in matured females.

Gastrosomatic indices estimated in different maturity stages of M.striatus are depicted in Fig. 3.6 and 3.7. Among various maturity stages of M.striatus, highest gastrosomatic index was registered in matured males and immature females while, lowest was in maturing males and matured females. In general, variation in gastrosomatic index in different maturity stages of female of M.striatus showed almost similar pattern of female M.rosenbergii.

Gastrosomatic indices of various male and female morphotypes are presented in Fig. 3.8 and 3.9 and it appears to be higher in SOC and WBC which would manifest their voracious feeding habit. A decreasing trend from WOF to SBF could be observed coinciding with the transformation pathway of female morphotypes of M.rosenbergii (Fig. 3.9).

## Stomach conditions

Percentage occurrence of various stomach conditions in males and females of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus during March 1994 to February 1996 is presented in the Fig. 3.10 to 3.13. In M.rosenbergii, females showed a higher percentage of 'full' stomachs during the initial months of the breeding period (August-September). On the contrary, an increase in the percentage of empty stomach could be discernible towards the end of breeding season (DecemberJanuary).

In M.rosenbergii, a decrease in the percentage of full stomach was observed commensurate with the progression of female maturity stages (Fig. 3.14). In yellow berried females $50 \%$ of the stomach observed were in 'full' conditions which shows its voracious feeding habit. Thereafter, a gradual
decrease in 'full' stomach was noticed in conjunction with the re-maturation of the gonad.

Variation in the stomach conditions during the changing maturation phases of males and females of M.striatus are depicted in Fig. 3.15 and 3.16. Percentage occurrence of 'full' stomach in male M.striatus showed an increasing trend from $4.5 \%$ in immature to $18 \%$ in matured animals. Whereas, empty stomach showed a more or less uniform occurrence ( $10 \%$ ) irrespective of the maturity stages in males.

Percentage occurrence of various stomach conditions in male and female morphotypes of M.rosenbergii are presented in Fig. 3.17 and 3.18. In SOC 'full' and ' $3 / 4$ full' stomach could be seen in more than $60 \%$ of the individuals which would manifest its voracious feeding habits. Higher percentage of empty stomachs were observed in SM, t-SOC and OBC. Among various female morphotypes, an increase in the percentage of 'full' stomachs could be discernible coinciding with developmental pathway from SOF onwards. Interestingly, the occurrence of empty stomachs also showed an increasing trend from SOF to SBF .

## Discussion

Variety of organisms encountered from the gut revealed that both M.rosenbergii and M.striatus are bottom feeders. Diets of these species were found to be almost similar however, M.rosenbergii showed preference towards detritus, in contrast, M.striatus relished plant matter. Gut contents of both the species consisted of detritus, small worms, small arthropods and fish remains which represents different trophic levels. Natarajan et al. (1979) reported the dominance of crustacean remains in both juvenile and aduit prawns.

Qualitative estimation of gut contents of M.rosenbergii fully agrees with Raman (1967), Rao (1967), Ling (1969a) and Costa and Wanninayake (1986) who have reported that aquatic worms, insects, insect larvae, small molluscs, crustaceans, fish remains, materials of plant origin, algae and detritus are the items of food often encountered. Panikkar and Menon (1955) observed mud, sand and detritus in the gut contents of prawns. Ibrahim (1962) reported that major portion of the food of M.malcomsonii was constituted by detritus, sand and mud while, Jayachandran and Joseph (1989b) and Kadir et al. (1982) observed a significant quantity of detritus and crustacean remains in the stomach of M.idella and M.rude.

In the gut content of M.striatus, fairly good quantity of decaying bark of twigs used for making 'padal' with algae on them were observed. It may, therefore, be inferred that M.striatus preferred food which is abundant in their dwelling habitat. This observation agrees with the report of Jayachandran and Joseph (1989b) that abundance of detritus and insect remains in the gut of M.idella corresponds to the increased availability of these food items in the habitat. John (1957) also reported that M.rosenbergii shows a change in food preference with the environmental changes. M.lanchestri prefers to algae (Johnson, 1968) while, Murthy and Rajagopal (1990) observed that in smaller size groups of M.equidens, diatom showed a predominance, in contrast, diatoms were negligible or absent in adults. In the present study a higher occurrence of diatoms was observed in the gut having a considerable amount of detritus. This may be due to the possible ingestion of diatoms along with detritus. Similar possibility was reported in M.idella (Jayachandran and Joseph, 1989b).

Presence of fish scales by accidental entry along with detritus was observed in the gut content of M.rosenbergii (Raman, 1967) and M.equidens (Murthy and Rajagopal, 1990). Whereas, in the present study a considerable quantity of fish bones, fish scales and animal tissue were encountered in the gut content of M.rosenbergii pointing towards its predatory habit. Munshi et al.(1991) reported that M.rosenbergii is a filter feeder predator. Lee et al. (1980) after studying the digestive enzymes of M.rosenbergii suggested that it is carnivorous. Rao (1965) reported that M.rosenbergii will eat their exuvia after moulting and similar observations were made by Natraj (1947) in M.idella. Murthy and Rajagopal (1990) reported that crustacean appendages formed the most important food item of M.equidens other than decayed organic matter, sand and mud.

The variation in food preference of different maturity stages was not perceptible. SOC, OBC and SBF were found to be more carnivorous in their feeding habits as evidenced by the occurrence of relatively high percentage of animal matter in their gut contents. The gut content of SOC showed a higher percentage of crustacean body parts and animal matter regularly and therefore, the faster growth rate shown by this morphotype (Sagi and Ra'anan, 1988; Kurup et al., 1997) can be explained on the basis of ingestion of high protein food and their voracious feeding habits. The fast growing nature of SOC can further be explained by its higher gastrosomatic index among various male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii, which also manifests its voracious feeding habit. Carnivorous feeding habits of large size group of Midella was reported by Jayachandran and Joseph (1989b) whereas, detritus, sand and crustacean remains constituted major portion of gut content of M.equidens (Kadir et al.,
1982). In M.rosenbergii and M.striatus a perceptible variation in food preference could not be brought out with respect to different maturity stages.

The percentage of empty stomachs showed an increase in both M.rosenbergii and M.striatus towards the end of breeding season and this is very well comparable with Ibrahim (1962) that a reduction in feeding in matured females of M.malcomsonii was noticed due to the enlargement of gonads and after the oviposition females become voracious feeder as evidenced by the occurrence of gorged stomachs. Variation in feeding intensity of M.rosenbergii can further be confirmed by noticing a lower gastrosomatic index in matured females against higher gastrosomatic index seen in yellow berried females. Percentage of empty stomachs were more in females and this may be due to the reduced feeding as a result of enlargement of gonads which occupy major portion of the cephalothorax. Similar pattern of variation in gastrosomatic index could also be noticed in different female maturity stages of M.striatus. Murthy et al. (1997) reported a decline in feeding intensity in females of M.equidens with the advancement of maturity and also during moulting.

Table 3.1 Index of preponderance of various food items in Macrobrachium rosenbergii

|  | MALES | FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of samples | 356 | 320 |
| 1 Detritus | 24.07 | 27.52 |
| 2 Sand | 12.85 | 14.66 |
| 3 Crustacean remains | 10.85 | 10.23 |
| 4 Fish remains | 4.43 | 5.40 |
| 5 Animal tissue | 8.13 | 4.21 |
| 6 Mud | 6.25 | 3.05 |
| 7 Plant matter | 19.22 | 23.77 |
| 8 Filamentous algae | 0.19 | 0.25 |
| 9 Diatoms | 0.22 | 0.07 |
| 10 Miscellaneous matter | 13.78 | 10.83 |

Table 3.2 Index of preponderance of various food items in Macrobrachium striatus

|  | MALES | FEMALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of samples | 398 | 476 |
| 1 Detritus | 22.95 | 16.80 |
| 2 Sand | 2.14 | 4.52 |
| 3 Crustacean remains | 12.50 | 8.70 |
| 4 Fish remains | 4.25 | 2.84 |
| 5 Animal tissue | 7.38 | 13.84 |
| 6 Mud | 4.73 | 6.99 |
| 7 Plant matter | 25.48 | 23.47 |
| 8 Miscellaneous matter | 20.57 | 22.84 |

Table 3.3
Index of preponderance of various food items in males and females of Macrobrachium rosenbergii during March 1994 to February 1996
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Index of preponderance of various food items in males and females of Macrobrachium striatus during March 1994 to February 1996
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Table 3.5 Incex of preponderance of various food items in
different maturity stages of female Macrobrachium rosenbergi

|  | Immature | Maturing | Matured | Yellow Benty | Orange Ветту | Grey <br> Berry | black <br> Berry | Spent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of samples | 50 | 103 | 10 | 8 | 29 | 49 | 27 | 44 |
| 1 Detritus | 32.06 | 22.67 | 28.09 | 20.79 | 27.85 | 23.37 | 33.46 | 38.04 |
| 2 Sand | 15.69 | 15.43 | 24.58 | 15.42 | 7.27 | 17.21 | 8.95 | 12.42 |
| 3 Crustacean remains | 5.55 | 7.32 | 7.17 | 22.99 | 19.80 | 13.83 | 13.24 | 11.82 |
| 4 Fish semains | 5.43 | 4.30 | 14.04 | 7.18 | 8.38 | 4.32 | 2.74 | 1.25 |
| 5 Animal tissue | 1.68 | 4.11 | 6.32 | 16.09 | 7.39 | 2.66 | 3.97 | 6.26 |
| 6 Mud | 4.94 | 1.62 | 0.42 | 1.92 | 3.30 | 6.31 | 1.76 | 1.27 |
| 7 Plant matter | 25.81 | 32.69 | 16.02 | 12.64 | 12.53 | 19.12 | 23.92 | 16.24 |
| 8 Filamentous algae | 0.08 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.37 | 0.08 |
| 9 Diatoms | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| 10 Miscellaneous matter | 8.71 | 11.42 | 3.37 | 2.68 | 13.48 | 12.39 | 11.53 | 12.53 |

Table 3.6 index of preponderance of various food iterns in different maturity stages of male Macrobrachium striatus

|  | Immature | Maturing | Matured |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of samples | 112 | 241 | 123 |
| 1 Detritus | 17.50 | 23.55 | 29.81 |
| $2 \text { Sand }$ | 0.94 | 0.28 | 2.96 |
| 3 Crustacean remains | 14.50 | 5.91 | 12.80 |
| 4 Fish remains | 10.80 | 1.38 | 4.20 |
| 5 Animal tissue | 7.50 | 11.90 | 6.79 |
| 6 Mud | 3.40 | 6.80 | 4.00 |
| 7 Plant matter | 20.81 | 28.70 | 22.60 |
| 8 Miscellaneous matter | 27.55 | 21.48 | 16.84 |

Table 3.7 Index of preponderance of various food items in different maturity stages

|  | Immature | Maturing | Matured | Berry | Spent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of samples | 85 | 92 | 67 | 70 | 84 |
| 1 Detritus | 23.30 | 21.60 | 8.55 | 18.90 | 18.40 |
| 2 Sand | 5.80 | 9.85 | 0.74 | 1.83 | 3.80 |
| 3 Crustacean remains | 4.20 | 0.00 | 24.64 | 10.66 | 4.00 |
| 4 Fish remains | 5.44 | 2.31 | 0.00 | 9.20 | 0.00 |
| 5 Animal tissue | 4.56 | 6.00 | 24.64 | 8.00 | 28.55 |
| 6 Mud | 2.00 | 8.00 | 9.60 | 2.00 | 15.80 |
| 7 Plant matter | 32.99 | 30.64 | 10.83 | 22.60 | 13.65 |
| 8 Miscellaneous matter | 21.71 | 21.60 | 21.00 | 26.81 | 15.80 |

Table 3.8 Index of preponderance of various food items in
cifferent male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

|  | SM | WOC | SOC | t-SOC | WBC | SBC | OBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of samples | 43 | 66 | 26 | 77 | 77 | 41 | 26 |
| 1 Detritus | 21.63 | 18.42 | 17.93 | 29.78 | 21.60 | 27.94 | 25.19 |
| 2 Sand | 12.45 | 11.35 | 9.70 | 14.39 | 9.82 | 19.96 | 11.66 |
| 3 Crustacean remains | 16.90 | 920 | 32.37 | 10.60 | 7.59 | 7.40 | 23.24 |
| 4 Fishtremains | 201 | 5.89 | 2.07 | 4.30 | 0.98 | 986 | 16.86 |
| 5 Animal tissue | 5.25 | 10.51 | 19.72 | 9.28 | 5.55 | 5.30 | 6.78 |
| 6 Mud | 6.23 | 9.16 | 3.88 | 5.53 | 8.68 | 2.36 | 2.50 |
| 7 Plant matter | 24.22 | 17.33 | 0.49 | 15.16 | 24.19 | 18.20 | 6.39 |
| 8 Filamentous algae | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.26 |
| 9 Diatoms | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.34 |
| 10 Miscellaneous matter | 11.07 | 17.84 | 13.71 | 10.49 | 21.17 | 8.53 | 6.78 |

SM= Smail Males
WOC = Weak orange clawed males
WBC = Weak blue clawed males
SOC = Strong orange clawed mates SBC = Strong blue clawed males
t-SOC = Pre-transforming strong orange clawed males

Table 3.9. Index of preponderance of various food items estimated from
different female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

|  | WOF | SOF | TOF | WBF | SBF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of samples | 46 | 34 | 66 | 84 | 90 |
| 1 Detritus | 26.41 | 27.11 | 30.57 | 24.78 | 26.22 |
| 2 Sand | 15.81 | 15.25 | 13.51 | 14.77 | 13.61 |
| 3 Crustacean remains | 12.27 | 5.01 | 5.09 | 11.69 | 17.20 |
| 4 Fish remains | 4.20 | 6.02 | 6.48 | 2.75 | 8.67 |
| 5 Animal tissue | 3.83 | 5.05 | 3.81 | 3.39 | 4.81 |
| 6 Mud | 3.16 | 1.33 | 1.93 | 3.95 | 4.46 |
| 7 Plant matter | 27.11 | 22.03 | 25.07 | 28.43 | 15.07 |
| Q Filamentous algae | 0.09 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.59 |
| 9 Diatoms | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 10 Miscellaneous matter | 7.01 | 17.50 | 13.25 | 10.16 | 9.34 |

WOF = Weak orange clawed femate
SOF = Strong orange clawed female
TOF = Transforming orange clawed female
WBF = Weak blue chated female
SBF = Strong blue clawed
female


Fig. 3.1 Monthly variation of Gastrosomatic Index in males of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 3.2 Monthly variation of Gastrosomatic Index in females of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 3.3 Monthly variation of Gastrosomatic Index in males of Macrobrachium striatus


Fig. 3.4 Monthly variation of Gastrosomatic Index in females of Macrobrachium striatus


Fig. 3.5 Variation of Gastrosomatic index in female maturity stages of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 3.6 Variation of Gastrosomatic index in male maturity staces of Macrobrachium striatus


Fig. 3.7 Variation of Gastrosomatic index in female maturity siages of Macrobrachium striatus


Fig. 3.8 Variation of Gastrosomatic index in male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 3.9 Variation of Gastrosomatic index in female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 3.10 Variations of stomach condition in males of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 3.11 Variations of stomach condition in females of Macrobrachium rosenbergii
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Fig. 3.12 Variations of stomach condition in males of Macrobrachium striatus


Fig. 3.13 Variations of stomach condition in females of Macrobrachium striatus
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Fig. 3.14 Variations of stomach condition in female maturity stages of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 3.15 Variations of stomach condition in male maturity stages of Macrobrachium striatus


Fig. 3.16 Variations of stomach condition in female maturity stages of Macrobrachium striatus


Fig. 3.17 Variations of stomach condition in male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 3.18 Variations of stomach condition in female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

## Chapter 4

## Reproductive Biology of Macrobrachium rosenbergii and M.striatus

## Introduction

Most of the species of Macrobrachium undertake breeding migration as brackish water conditions are essentially required for the successful completion of larval metamorphosis (Ling, 1969a; Raman, 1967; Kurup et al., 1992a). Man made alterations brought about in the ecosystem and consequent transformations which eventually hampered spawning migration and recruitment have resulted in the dwindling of the stock of Macrobrachium spp. during the last few decades (Carlander, 1980; Frusher, 1983; Kurup, et al., 1992a). Reproductive biology of Macrobrachium spp. have been subjected to detailed investigation and the most notable works among them are those of John (1957), Ling and Merican, (1961), Ibrahim (1962), Ling (1964, 1969a), Lewis et al. (1966), Raman (1967), Rao (1967), Koshy and Tiwari (1975), Rajayleshmi (1961, 1975, 1980), Robertson (1983), Berber (1984), Jayachandran (1984), Jinadasa (1985), Murthy et al. (1987) and Prakash (1994). The age at first maturity of M.rosenbergii were studied by Rajyalpshmi (1961); Raman (1967), Rao (1967) and Goorah and Parameswaran (1983). While similar information of lesser palaemonids are those of Arroya et al. (1982), Jayachandran (1984), Inyang (1984) and Berber (1984). Descriptive accounts on courtship of M.rosenbergii are provided by Ling (1962), Rao (1965) and Chow et al. (1982). Reproductive biology of the morphotypes of M.rosenbergii from grow-out as well as natural habitat are not yet fully understood, while similar information
with regard to M.striatus is totally unknown. Therefore, in the present study an attempt was made to explicate the reproductive biology of various morphotypes of M.rosenbergii as well as little known M.striatus of the Vembanad lake.

## Materials and Methods

Monthly sample of M.rosenbergii were collected during the fishery survey cruises while that of M.striatus were procured from the catches of 'padal' operated at Kumbalam. The specimens collected in live condition were preserved in $5 \%$ formalin, after making some perforations on the carapace for better preservation of gut, gonads and hepatopancreas and brought to the laboratory for detailed examination.

Animals were segregated morphotype wise and maturity stage wise following Kuris et al. (1987), Ang et al. (1990) and Harikrishnan and Kurup (1997a) and the specimens were dissected out to remove gonads and hepatopancreas (=mid gut gland), after recording total weight to the nearest 0.1 g with the help of a electronic balance. Weight of gonad and hepatopancreas were also recorded to nearest 0.1 mg after removing excess moisture using filter paper. Gonadosomatic and hepatosomatic indices were calculated as the percentage weight of gonad and hepatopancreas respectively to the total body weight. Monthly average of GSI was sequentially arranged in time series in order to delineate spawning season (King, 1995).

In order to calculate the size at first maturity, percentage occurrence of individuals with maturity stage 3 and above were plotted against length groups. Length at first maturity was ascertained from the length at which $50 \%$ of the individuals showed maturity.

80 berried females of M.rosenbergii and 58 of M.striatus were sorted based on length, embryonic development and morphotype wise. Eggs were carefully removed from the brood pouch, weighed and absolute fecundity was estimated following standard procedures (Kurup and Kuriakose, 1994). Absolute fecundity so arrived at was regressed to 25 and 16 morphometric measurements in M.rosenbergii and M.striatus respectively to establish the relationship of various body dimensions to fecundity. Relationship of fecundity to total weight, total length and carapace length of prawns of different length groups and having different stages of maturity and morphotypic stage were compared statistically using analysis of covariance (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967). The relative fecundity derived with respect to total weight, total length and carapace length were compared using analysis of variance to assess variation, if any, between embryonic developmental stages, morphotypes and length groups.

## Results

## 1. Description of Maturity Stages

## A. Macrobrachium rosenbergii

## I. Males

In males, size of the animals can not be used as a criterion in assessing the reproductive stage in view of the fact that male morphotypes show differences in the reproductive activity (Cohen et al., 1981; Kuris et al., 1987; Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997a).

## II. Females

Females of M.rosenbergii were classified into 5 stages based on the development of ovaries and furthermore, the fourth stage (Berried female) was
further classified into 4 stages based on the extent of embryonic development. Both maturity and embryonic stages were considered in a sequential manner in the present study.

1. Immature females

Ovaries ill developed and appear like flabby, off white mass of tissue. Immature females can easily be identified by the presence of five to eight bluish black striation on the carapace. Ovary not visible through carapace. Immature females are invariably below 90 mm total length.
2. Maturing females

Ovaries started developing, show slight orange colouration, not visible through the carapace. No striations on the carapace. Second cheliped with bluish and/or yellowish colour. Maturing females are found to be having 90 mm and above in total length.
3. Matured females

Ovaries well developed and occupy about $2 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of the cephalothorax, orange in colour, visible through the carapace. In larger animals with thick carapace, ovaries not visible through carapace however, an orange colouration of the ovaries visible through the base of rostrum.
4. Berried females with yellow eggs (Yellow berry)

Females just after oviposition. Colour of the eggs yellowish or bright orange. This stage lasts for about 3-5 days.
5. Berried females with orange eggs (Orange berry)

Eggs incubated for about a week. Colour of the egg mass changed from yellowish to orange.
6. Berried females with grey eggs (Grey Berry)

Eggs incubated for more than two weeks. Egg mass greyish in colour. Eyes of embryo show pigmentation.
7. Berried females with slate grey or Black eggs (Black Berry)

Egg mass slate grey or black in colour. Eggs almost completed incubation and are ready to hatch within 2-3 days. Eye of the embryo clearly visible.
8. Spent females

Females already released the larvae, brood pouch broad and devoid of eggs. Pleopods with ovigerous setae.

## B. Macrobrachium striatus

## I. Males

Among males, three stages could be identified based on the structure of testis, total length and external morphological features.

1. Immature males

Small males with more or less translucent body. Testis appeared as a translucent mass. Individuals having less than 30 mm belongs to this group.
2. Maturing males

Animals having more than 30 mm total length. $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped moderate with greyish colour having yellowish striations and/or patches. Testis gained white colour and seminal vesicle developed. Coiled portion
of vas-deferens not well developed, embedded in a gelatinous mass of connective tissues.

## 3. Matured males

Large males with opaque body. $2^{\text {nd }}$ chelipeds very large with brownish- grey colouration. Testis well developed.

## II. Females

Five maturity stages were identified in M.striatus based on the development of ovaries. A distinct variation in colour of the egg mass of M.striatus could not be observed with the progression of incubation.

## 1. Immature females

Ovaries not developed and appeared like a translucent flabby, off white mass of tissue. Internal organs of cephalothorax easily visible through the carapace. Yellow striations on $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped prominent.
2. Maturing females

Ovaries started development, slight greenish colour on the dorsal side, with off white ventral side. Ovary may be visible through the carapace in advance maturing stage. Feeble yellow striations on the $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped.

## 3. Matured females

Ovaries reddish brown in colour, well developed and occupies major portion of the cephalothorax. Ovaries visible through the carapace.
4. Berried females

Females bearing eggs on the ventral side of the abdomen.
5. Spent females

Females already released the larvae. Brood pouch broad and devoid of eggs.

## 2. Description of Morphotypes of M.rosenbergii with Reference to Reproductive Activity.

## I. Male

Reproductive activity of male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii are well established and documented (Telekey, 1984; Ra'anan and Sagi, 1985; Kuris et al., 1987; Sureshkumar and Kurup, 1998).

1. Small males

Small males are reproductively active. Though they are not dominant in the hierarchy, however, they can successfully mate using sneak mating strategy.
2. Weak Orange Clawed Males

Reproductively submissive morphotype and does not show hierarchial dominance. WOC shows very low success in mating.
3. Strong Orange Clawed Males

SOC are reproductively submissive, shows very low mating success and subdominant in their hierarchy.
4. Pre-transforming Strong Orange Clawed Males
t -SOC are reproductively submissive morphotypes showing moderate mating success. They are subdominant in their hierarchy
5. Weak Blue Clawed Males

Reproductive potential of this morphotype is not known and so also the hierarchial dominance.
6. Strong Blue Clawed Males

Reproductively very active morphotype having high mating success. SBC showing a tendency to sequester moulted females. SBC Showed hierarchial dominance.
7. Old Blue Clawed Males

Reproductively active morphotypes showing hierarchial dominance.

## II. Females

Female morphotypes were distinguished and categorised recently (Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997a, Harikrishnan et al., 1998) and the variations, if any, in their reproductive activity have not yet been studied. Berried females were encountered from all the female morphotypes except small females, which showed that all the morphotypes except SF are sexually active.

Similar morphotypic differentiation could not be observed in M.striatus.

## 3. Seasonal Occurrence of Various Maturity Stage of Macrobrachium rosenbergii and M.striatus

Occurrence of various maturity stages in female population of M.rosenbergii during March 1994 to February 1996 is depicted in Fig 4.1. Nonberried females viz. immature, maturing and matured females dominated in the
exploited population during July to September while berried females showed its predominance during October to January.

Matured and berried females of M. striatus were encountered in most of the months during 1994-96 except in May 1994, April 1995 and May 1995. Highest number of matured and berried females could be registered during November -December (Fig. 4.3). During January, towards the end of breeding season, a higher percentage of immature females could also be registered.

## 4. Seasonal Occurrence of Morphotypes of M.rosenbergii

Reproductively submissive male morphotypes viz. WOC, SOC and t -SOC were predominant from March to June and thenceforth a dominance of reproductively active morphotypes could be discernible (Fig. 4.4). In November to December 1995 OBC showed predominance, in contrast, SBC was found to be abundant during October to December 1994. Small males were encountered in very few numbers in the exploited stock with a negligible contribution of only $0.13 \%$ in August 1994 (Fig. 4.4)

Monthly variations in the occurrence of female morphotypes and their transitional stages in female population of M.rosenbergii are presented in Fig. 4.5. Females were totally absent in the exploited stock during March and April during both the years. Appearance of SF could only be observed in August and December 1994. Orange clawed females (WOF, SOF and TOF) showed a distinct predominance during May to August. In contrast, the percentage occurrence of blue clawed females showed an increasing trend from September to January in both the years

Percentage occurrence of various maturity stages among different female morphotypes of M.rosenbergii is depicted in Fig. 4.6. Small females were fully comprised of by immature individuals. The occurrence of immature and maturing individuals among the female morphotypes showed a gradual decrease from SF to SBF however, an exception to this situation was WBF.

## 5. Seasonal Variation in GSI

Monthly variation of GSI in males of M.rosenbergii is depicted in Fig. 4.7. The GSI showed a gradual increase during April to October and thereafter a sudden decrease could be noticed during November and December. Almost similar pattern was seen in both the years of study.

GSI of females of M.rosenbergii showed very pronounced variation when compared to that of males (Fig. 4.8). A gradual increase in GSI of males from 0.13 to 0.62 could be noticed during June to October 1994. GSI decreased to 0.10 in November 1994 and subsequently, showed an increase to 0.22 in January 1995. At variance with this, a gradual ascent from 0.08 to 0.36 was observed in August -October period and thenceforth a remarkable decline up to February could be recorded in 1995-1996.

In male M.striatus, GSI showed lowest value (0.18) in the month of August and increased to 0.38 in October 1994. Similar trend could also be seen in the second year (Fig. 4.9).

In M.striatus also, variation of GSI was very much prominent in females when compared to males (Fig. 4.10). Among females, GSI showed an
upward trend from June to September 1994 and then it decreased during November 1994 to March 1995.

## 6. Variation of GSI Among different Morphotypes and Maturity Stages

In females of M.rosenbergii, an increase in GSI could be noticed during development from immature to matured stage, in constrast, in yellow berries, a decline in GSI could be discernible. A progression in GSI commensurate with the embryonic development was seen in M.rosenbergii as ripe ovaries were encountered in black berried females (Fig. 4.11).

Variation of GSI in male and female morphotypes of M.rosenbergii are shown in Fig. 4.12 and 4.13 respectively. Among male morphotypes, SOC showed lowest GSI of 0.105 and thenceforth an increase was observed from SOC to SBC coinciding with the developmental pathway. Interestingly, in SM the GSI was 0.350 which was apparently higher than its successive four morphotypes. Among female morphotypes, GSI showed a higher value in TOF whereas, the same in respect of its preceding and succeeding morphotypes were very low (Fig. 4.13).

Among males of M.striatus, an increase in GSI was observed with the development from immature to mature stages (Fig. 4.14). The pattern of variation of GSI in different female maturity stages of M.striatus were found to be almost similar to that of M.rosenbergii (Fig. 4.15).

## 7. Seasonal Variation in HSI

In males of M.rosenbergii, HSI showed a gradual increase during April - August 1994 and thereafter a decline during November 1904 to April

1995 (Fig. 4.16) could be discernible. Two distinct peaks of GSI was noticed during June 1995 and October 1995. Whereas, seasonal variation of HSI in females of M.rosenbergii did not show any specific pattern (Fig. 4.17).

In M.striatus, the fluctuation of HSI among females was higher when compared to males (Fig. 4.18 and 4.19). HSI of males of M.striatus was lowest (2.53) in August 1994, and gradually increased, showing highest (3.85) value in October 1994, however it started plummeting in the subsequent months (Fig. 4.18). Whereas, in 1995, no such specific pattern of variation in HSI could be observed. HSI of females plummeted from 3.58 to 2.00 during March September period in 1994 and thenceforth increased to 3.02 in March 1995. The pattern of variation of HSI of females during 1995-1996 was more or less comparable with that of 1994-95.

## 8. Variation in HSI among Morphotypes and maturity stages

Among female morphotypes of M.rosenbergii an increasing pattern in HSI could be noticed from immature to maturing stage (Fig. 4.20). Both matured and spent females showed lowest HSI value of 2.526 and 2.+69 respectively.

Variation of HSI amongst male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii showed an inverse relationship with GSI (Fig. 4.21). Highest HSI was encountered in SOC (6.351) and thereafter a decreasing trend could be discemible commensurate with morphogenesis. Highest HSI was observed in SOF (5.605) while the lowest was in WBF (3.64) (Fig. 4.22).

In males of M.striatus, an increase in HSI from 2.670 to 3.471 was registered coinciding with the gonadal maturation (Fig. 4.23). A decrease of HSI from immature to matured females of M.striatus was recorded and matured females showed lowest HSI values (1.374) (Fig. 4.24). HSI of berried females (2.471) were on a higher side when compared to matured (1.374) and spent females (1.955).

## 9. Size at first maturity

Percentage occurrence of matured females of M.rosenbergin and M.striatus in various length group are shown in Fig. 4.25 and 4.26. The size at first maturity of females of M.rosenbergii was estimated to be 145 mm (Fig. 4.25) and that of M.striatus were 47 and 43 mm for males and females respectively (Fig. 4.26).

## 10. Fecundity

Minimum, maximum, mean and standard deviation of fecundity and various morphometric characters studied in M.rosenbergii are presented in Table 4.1. Highest absolute fecundity enumerated was $2,27,161$ for a grey berried female having total length of $258 \mathrm{~mm}(208 \mathrm{~g})$ while lowest $(30,666)$ was in a orange berry of 158 mm total length $(33.7 \mathrm{~g})$. Fecundity per unit measurements of the body dimension was estimated directly from the mean of observations as well as from the logarithmic equation derived and presented in Table 4.1. Number of eggs per unit dimension of total length, carapace length
and total weight recorded from M.rosenbergii was found to be 447, 1623 and 896 respectively.

Results of regression analysis of fecundity with various morphometric characters are also presented in Table 4.1. Relative fecundity of yellow, orange, grey and black berried females of M.rosenbergii showed a gradual decrease commensurate with the embryonic development (Table 4.2) though the variation was not found to be significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) (Table 4.5). Nevertheless, an increasing trend was noticed in black berried females. The relationship of fecundity with total length, carapace length and total weight of berried females during various embryonic developmental stages showed no significant variation $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$ (Table 4.6).

Differences noticed in relative fecundity in different length classes of M.rosenbergii are presented in Table 4.3. The relationship between fecundity to total length, carapace length and total weight in different length groups showed no significant variation (Table 4.5), whereas, relative fecundity in various length group showed significant variation (Table 4.6). Absolute and relative fecundities recorded from various female morphotypes are presented in Table 4.4. The relationship of fecundity to total length, carapace length and total weight showed no significant variation among various female morphotypes (Table 4.5).

Details of fecundity and morphometric measurements of M.striatus and results of regression analysis are presented in Table 4.7. Highest fecundity enumerated in M.striatus was 9,625 eggs (total length 80 mm , weight 7.4063 g ) while lowest was 1,175 eggs (total length 53 mm , weight 2.0853 g ). Average
absolute fecundity was worked out to be 4,352 eggs. In M.striatus, number of eggs per unit dimension of total length, carapace length and total weight were recorded to be 66, 229 and 1033 respectively (Table 4.7).

Variation in fecundity in different length groups of M.striatus is presented in Table 4.8. The relationship between fecundity and total length $(\mathrm{F}=4.366 ; \mathrm{P}<0.01)$ and carapace length $(\mathrm{F}=3.8202 ; \mathrm{P}<0.01)$ showed significant variation, whereas, relationship of fecundity to total weight ( $\mathrm{F}=2.0066 ; \mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) showed insignificant variation in different length groups. Relative fecundity with respect to total length ( $\mathrm{F}=44.07 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), Carapace length ( $\mathrm{F}=51.13$; $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and total weight ( $\mathrm{F}=19.17 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) differed significantly in various length groups.

## Discussion

Males of M.rosenbergii showed a regular occurrence in Vembanad lake from April-May onwards and subsequently, berried females also started appearing in August with peak availability during September-November periods (Fig. 4.1). By examining the pattern of availability of male and female in the lake it appears that April-May to December-January is the breeding season of M.rosenbergii. Downward migration of M.rosenbergii from rivers to estuaries for the purpose of breeding has been reported earlier by Ling and Merican (1961), Johnson (1966), Raman (1967), Rao (1967) and Jinadasa (1985). Breeding season of M.rosenbergii was found to be highly related to the prevailing climatic conditions of the region. The breeding season of M.rosenbergii coincided with the south-west monsoon showers in Vembanad lake (Raman, 1967) while, in Hooghly river, it coincided with the North-west

Monsoon (Rao, 1967) . In Bolgoda lake, Sri Lanka, M.rosenbergii shows two peaks in breeding corresponding to monsoon showers (Jinadasa, 1985). Similarly in M.striatus also, the occurrence of relatively higher number of matured and berried females during September to January indicates the possibility of breeding of this species in the above periods (Fig 4.2 and 4.3).

Male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii represent stages of morphogenesis and these morphotypes undergo transformation in an irreversible pattern from small males to orange clawed and subsequently to blue clawed males (Cohen et al., 1981; Kuris et al., (1987). Numerical abundance of reproductively inactive morphotypes in the population in the beginning of breeding season and subsequent increase of reproductively active male morphotypes in the peak breeding season (Fig. 4.4) clearly manifest the transformation process undergone by the males in the natural population inhabiting Vembanad lake.

At the end of breeding season, an unusual increase in the immature females of M.rosenbergil and their subsequent absence in the lake would suggest that the newly recruited individuals might have ascended to the riverine region for further development. (Fig 4.1). Similar pattern of distribution of M.striatus could also be discernible. Presence of immature individuals and their subsequent disappearance may manifest the possibility of return migration of juveniles of M.striatus to the freshwater habitat. Presence of matured specimens of M.striatus through out the breeding season at Kumbalam can be attributed to the relatively high salinity prevailed in the station. This observation
is well in agreement with Pillai (1993) that berried females of M.striatus were encountered from higher salinity gradient zones of Vembanad lake.

Small females of M.rosenbergii encountered from the lake were very small as well as immature and therefore, can be designated as juvenile female prior to attainment of sexual maturity, which are morphologically similar to that of small males without appendix masculina. As the developmental pathway of female morphogenesis (Harikrishnan et al., 1998) are progressing from SF to SBF, a corresponding increase in the occurrence of matured and berried stages could also be observed except in case of WBF (Fig. 5.6). It would thus appear that the transformation from WOF $\rightarrow$ SOF $\rightarrow$ TOF $\rightarrow$ SBF may be an expression of age and WBF may represents a stage in the postulated bypass transformation as suggested by Harikrishnan (1997). In contrast, $3 \%$ of total SBF encountered from the lake were immature which indicate that the terminal morphotypic stage also comprises of immature individuals. It may, therefore, be inferred that variation in colour pattern in different female morphotypes are the manifestation of age rather than the expression of reproductive activity in contrast to male morphotypes wherein the difference in colour pattern of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped is the real expression of their dominance and reproductive potential (Sagi and Ra'anan, 1985; Sureshkumar and Kurup, 1998).

Homogenous nature of size-structure of females in grow-out population was reported earlier (Cohen, et al., 1981; Kuris et al., 1987) and recently Harikrishnan et al. (1997) established a more or less homogenous nature of female morphotypes in natural system. From the present observation
on reproductive capacity of female morphotypes, it may be inferred that the homogeneity in size distribution of females might have been created as a result of uniformity in reproductive activity. Among male morphotypes, suppression of growth of SM due to the presence of BC in their vicinity has already been reported and therefore, the removal of BC consequently stimulates SM to grow at an enhanced rate ( Ra 'anan and Cohen, 1985; Karplus et al., 1992b).

In fishes, gonadosomatic index can be taken as a useful criterion for determining the duration and intensity of spawning (June 1953; Erdman, 1968). In consonance with this, the specific peak of GSI of males and females recorded in September to November can be considered as the period of higher breeding activity in M.rosenbergii. Large sized males were encountered in the catches during October 1994 and correspondingly a higher value of GSI could also be observed during that time. Similarly, higher GSI was observed in females of M.striatus during August-September to December-January and therefore, this period could be delineated as its breeding season in the Vembanad lake. The magnitude of variation of GSI in males was relatively low in M.striatus when compared to M.rosenbergii and this may be due to the regular occurrence of matured males in moderate number in the exploited stock.

Variation in gonadosomatic index in different maturity stages of females showed similar patterns in M.rosenbergii and M.striatus. Increase of GSI could be noticed when yellow berry turns to black berry and this would manifests the simultaneous development of ovary along with embryonic development. This observation would lend to support the possibility of more than one spawning of an individual in a breeding season. This is well in
agreement with the findings of Cole (1958) and Geurao et al. (1994) that Palaemon serratus had up to 3 spawning on French coast and P.xiphias spawn 5-6 times in Spain in a breeding season. Raman (1967) also reported the possibility of more than one spawning in M.rosenbergii of Vembanad lake.

Gonadosomatic index in male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii can be taken as a reliable index of their reproductive activity. From SM to SOC there is a steady decrease in GSI and it progresses afterwards commensurate with the transformation pathway. This fully agrees with the earlier reports on reproductive activity of various male morphotypes by Cohen et al. (1981) and Ra'anan and Sagi (1985). GSI recorded from SM is higher than that or four of its succeeding morphotypes and therefore indicates its higher reproductive activity. GSI of TOF showed highest values during the present study and this may be due to the occurrence of a high percentage of matured individuals in this category.

Hepatopancreas plays a major role in the food assimilation (Dhall and Moriarty, 1984) and its relative weight probably manifests the provision for energy utilisation for growth and metaboloism. A specific peak in HSI of males of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus with the progress of breeding season during June to November could be observed. These higher values of HSI may be due to the increased activity of males either for somatic growth or reproduction. On the contrary, in females of M. rosenbergii and M.striatus a declining trend could be observed in HSI during breeding season as the developing ovaries may occupy a major portion of the cephalothorax.

Sagi and Ra'anan (1988) opined that the relative size of hepatopancreas is highly correlated with the morphotypic stage and its relative energy expenditure in growth and sexual activity. In the present investigation, relatively higher HSI could be observed in SOC which manifests the possibility of higher rate of food assimilation and growth. This observation corroborates with the earlier reports (Kuris et al., 1987; Kurup et al., 1997a) that SOC has a relatively high somatic growth rate. A gradual decrease in HSI could be noticed in SOC to OBC coinciding the developmental pathway of morphotypes and this observation is in full agreement with Ra'anan and Sagi (1985) who reported that growth is reduced and moulting is infrequent in the latter stage of male morphogenesis. Similarly, lowest HSI was recorded in SM in the present study which also conforms to its retarded growth as suggested by Cohen et al.(1981) and Sagi and Ra'anan (1988). In contrast, an increase in HSI could be noticed from SM to SOC which also conforms to the established fact that the former is characterised with a reduced growth rate in contrast to rapidly growing phase of the latter.

Average fecundity in M.rosenbergii is computed at 95,687 eggs and is comparable with the report of Jinadasa (1985) and Goorah and Parameswaran (1983). According to Ling (1969a) fecundity ranges from 60,000 to $1,00,000$ in M.rosenbergii, however, Rao reported that it varies from 40,000 to $1,50,000$ eggs depending on the size of the prawn. The result of the present study showed that the absolute fecundity varied from 30,666 to $2,27,161$ for females of total length $158 \mathrm{~mm}(33.7 \mathrm{~g})$ and $258 \mathrm{~mm}(202.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and this is well comparable with the report of Rao (1986).

Jinadasa (1985) observed a linear relationship between carapace length and fecundity in M.rosenbergii collected from Bolgoda Lake, Sri Lanka. However, similar relationship was obtained in respect of total weight, total length and carapace length in M.rosenbergii and M.striatus collected from Vembanad lake only when log transformed values were used for the analysis (Table.4.1 and 4.7) and this would suggest existence of a curvilinear relationship (Beganal, 1978) between fecundity and other morphometric characters. The exponential value (regression coefficient) between total length and fecundity was found to be lower than the cube in M.rosenbergil (Table 4.1) and higher than the cube in M.striatus (Table 4.7) and this is well in agreement with the generally accepted view that fecundity is related to the length of the fish by a factor closer to the cube (Beganal, 1978). The exponential values between fecundity and total body weight ( 0.7547 ) was found to be slightly deviated from 1 in M.rosenbergii, however, this is comparable with that of Kurup and Kuriakose (1994). Sakunthala (1976) reported the direct relationship of fecundity to total length in Midae and M.rude respectivelv: Similar relationship was reported in M.lamerrei, M.dayanum (Kozhy and Tiwari, 1975), M.novaehollanidae (Greenwood et al., 1976), Mamazonicum (Rojas and Silva. 1979) and M.acanthurus (Berber, 1984).

Fecundity of M.malcomsonii (Rajyalekshmi, 1961; Ibrahim, 1962: Sankolli and Shenoy, 1980) and M.idella (Natraj, 1947, Jayachandran, 1984) when compared to M.rosenbergii is found to be on a lower side. Cabrera et al. (1979) classified the prawns of the genus Macrobrachium based on the fecundity and placed Mrosenbergii as a species with medium fecundity
year) àre showing higher fecundity when compared to M.rosenbergii. Fecundity of M.striatus is found to be on a lower side when compared to its related species, Midella.

The relative fecundity of orange, yellow, grey and black berries showed a gradual decrease commensurate with the progression of maturation though the difference is not statistically significant. Nevertheless, an increasing trend could be seen when grey berry turns to black (Table 4.2). The egg loss during incubation may be the reason for the decreasing trend in the former group while the increasing trend noticed in the black berry might be due to loss in weight of the female in its advanced stage of incubation as a result of diminishing feeding activity. In M. malcomsonii a reduction in feeding rate is observed with the progression of maturation of the ovary as a result of increment in the gonadal volume which occupy a major portion of the cephalothorax and after the extrusion of egg it become voracious feeder as evidenced by gorged stomach (Ibrahim, 1962). In the present study also, it could be seen that the total weight of black berried females belonging to different length groups were found to be lower when compared to its counter parts in other groups (Table 4.2). Gonadosomatic index of the black berry of M.rosenbergii was also found to be higher when compared to other berried female which would indicate the progression of ovarian development (Fig. 4.9). The ovarian development and consequent reduction in feeding intensity may contribute considerably to the decrease in the body weight and this decrease may account for increase in relative fecundity in black berried female.

The results of ANOVA showed that there is no statistically significant difference in the absolute fecundity and relative fecundity among four maturity stages of berried prawn. It may, therefore, be inferred that under natural conditions though berry loss is taking place during the period of incubation it is statistically not significant among the four types of berried female. This observation is totally at variance with the observation of Ang et al. (1991) who reported that 32.3 and $34.3 \%$ berry loss during the change of first to second and second to third stage of maturation respectively. This disparity may be due to the variation in size of the berried prawn used for the studies.

An attempt was also made to derive an easily measurable index of fecundity. Among the morphometric parameters studied, total weight, total length and carapace length showed strong positive correlation to fecundity and therefore, can be taken as most reliable and convenient indices of fecundity estimation of M.rosenbergii. Indirect estimation of fecundity by resorting to reliable indices is immensely useful for hatchery operation of M.rosenbergii as it causes only least disturbance to the mother prawns. Available reports suggest that each gram of berried female can roughly produce 1,000 larvae and berried females of $10-12 \mathrm{~cm}$ total length normally carry about $10,000-30,000$ eggs (New and Singholka, 1985). On the contrary, the results of the present study showed that eggs per gram body weight of M.rosenbergii varied from 378.7 to 1576.5 and the same in respect of millimetre body length ranged from 183.9 to 880.5. It would thus worked out to be an average egg per gram body as 887.75 while the same per millimetre of total length and carapace length were 452.13 and 1624.56 respectively. The average number of eggs computed based on the
equation $\log F=\log a+b \log X$, per unit gram body weight was 844.41 while. in unit millimeter of total length, carapace length were $424.07,1526.75$ respectively (Table 4.1) and these computed fecundity values were found to vary only $3.03 \%, 6.20 \%$ and $6.02 \%$ respectively from the estimated fecundity. In the case of $M$ striutus, the average relative fecundity observed and estimated with respect to total length, carapace length and total weight showed an error of only $0.59,2.03$ and $2.85 \%$ respectively. It would thus appear that fecundity estimation based on the regression equation derived in the present study is comparable with the directly estimated values.

In M.rosenbergii, the length at first maturity of females estimated at 145 mm is very well comparable with that of Rao (1967) from Hooghly estuar: However, Goorah and Parameswaran (1983) reported size at first maturity to be as low as 118 mm from the ponds of Mauritius. First maturity size of M.striatus is comparable with that of M.equidens (Pillai, 1980; Murthy et al., 1987), M.acanthurus (Berber, 1984) and M.idella (Jayachandran, 1984).
Table 4.1 Minimum, Maximum, Mean and standard deviation of various morphometric characters and fecundity and

| No | Morphometric Characters | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | Result of regression analysis with fecundity |  |  |  | Fecundity per <br> Unit body dimension |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | SD | Regression Constant <br> (a) | Regression Coefficient <br> (b) | Correlation Coefficient (r) | Observed Value | Calculated Value | \% Error** |
| 1 | Total length (mm) | 158 | 258 | 211.64 | 19.63 | -0.9449 | 2.5361 | 0.6038 | 452.13 | 424.07 | 6.21 |
| 2 | Calapace length (mm) | 40 | 77 | 58.90 | 6.77 | 1.1076 | 2.1729 | 06382 * | 1624.56 | 1526.75 | 6.02 |
| 3 | Rostral length (mm) | 60 | 95 | 78.14 | 7.60 | 0.6345 | 2.2815 | 0.5683 * | 1224.60 | 1148.67 | 6.20 |
| 4 | Length of Telson (mm) | 20 | 31 | 24.98 | 2.34 | 1.5956 | 2.4023 | 0.5685 * | 3830.53 | 3592.89 | 6.20 |
| 5 | 2nd Pleural Width (mm) | 19 | 32 | 25.11 | 2.71 | 1.9222 | 2.1655 | 0.5899 * | 3810.70 | 3578.67 | 6.09 |
| 6 | 1st Abdomen Diameter (mm) | 60 | 152 | 95.68 | 18.31 | 2.8241 | 1.0764 | 0.4986 * | 1000.12 | 945.00 | 551 |
| 7 | 5 th Abdomen Diameter (mm) | 39 | 78 | 58.18 | 8.25 | 29298 | 1.1466 | 0.4132 * | 1644.67 | 1543.52 | 6.15 |
| 8 | Length of ischium of 1st cheliped (mm) | 10 | 22 | 14.54 | 2.84 | 3.9716 | 0.8458 | 0.4031 * | 6580.93 | 6155.14 | 6.47 |
| 9 | Length of merus of 1st cheliped (mm) | 16 | 29 | 23.10 | 2.53 | 2.6819 | 1.6653 | 0.4699 * | 4142.28 | 3882.50 | 6.27 |
| 10 | Length of carpus of 1 st cheliped ( mm ) | 21 | 38 | 29.39 | 3.46 | 2.7293 | 1.5146 | 0.4611 * | 3256.09 | 3052.21 | 6.26 |
| 11 | Length of propodus of 1st cheliped (mm) | 9 | 18 | 13.74 | 1.95 | 3.3637 | 1.4026 | 0.4918 * | 6965.62 | 6634.61 | 4.75 |
| 12 | Length of dactylus of 1st cheliped (mm) | 5 | 7 | 5.89 | 0.71 | 3.9396 | 1.3154 | 0.4001 * | 1625390 | 15220.33 | 6.36 |
| 13 | Total length of 1st cheliped (mm) | 58 | 102 | 80.64 | 8.78 | 1.2155 | 1.9606 | 0.5482 * | 118663 | 1114.08 | 6.11 |
| 14 | Length of ischium of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 17 | 38 | 27.25 | 3.71 | 2.7401 | 1.5429 | 0.5466 * | 3511.44 | 3306.43 | 5.84 |
| 15 | Length of merus of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 17 | 37 | 28.15 | 3.72 | 2.6741 | 15732 | 0.5396 * | 339917 | 3198.46 | 5.90 |
| 16 | Length of carpus of 2 nd cheliped (mm) | 22 | 46 | 3530 | 4.63 | 2.7182 | 1.4444 | 0.4932 * | 2710.67 | 2547.01 | 6.04 |
| 17 | Length of propodus of 2nd cheliped ( mm ) | 31 | 72 | 54.03 | 8.73 | 3.2337 | 0.9931 | 0.4291 * | 1770.99 | 1666.26 | 5.91 |
| 18 | Length of dactylus of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 15 | 44 | 25.79 | 5.62 | 3.4234 | 1.0877 | 0.5697 * | 3710.22 | 3525.20 | 4.99 |
| 19 | Length of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 88 | 185 | 14473 | 18.87 | 1.6993 | 1.5066 | 0.5254 * | 661.14 | 622.07 | 5.91 |
| 20 | Brood Pouch Length (mm) | 42 | 88 | 71.25 | 8.53 | 1.7112 | 1.7505 | 0.5816 * | 1342.97 | 1263.90 | 5.89 |
| 21 | Brood Pouch Width (mm) | 12 | 38 | 22.79 | 3.66 | 3.6323 | 0.9738 | 0.4338 * | 4198.63 | 3951.18 | 5.89 |
| 22 | Brood Pouch Depth (mm) | 18 | 27 | 17.35 | 278 | 3.9831 | 0.7825 | 0.3265 * | 5515.08 | 5170.73 | 6.24 |
| 23 | Brood Pouch Area (mm) | 558 | 2408 | 1645.04 | 394.32 | 2.5855 | 0.7382 | 0.5341 * | 58.17 | 55.40 | 4.76 |
| 24 | Brood Pouch Volume (mm) | 5880 | 56700 | 29186.73 | 9944.83 | 2.7587 | 0.4938 | 0.5081 * | 3.28 | 3.15 | 3.96 |
| 25 | Total Weight (g) | 33.7 | 208 | 109.89 | 36.62 | 3.4272 | 0.7547 | 0.6686 * | 870.75 | 844.41 | 3.02 |
|  | Fecundity | 30666 | 227161 | 95686.68 | 37015.56 | -- | -- | - |  |  |  |

[^10]Table 4.2 Average values of morphometric measurements and relative fecundity in different stages of embryonic development of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| No. | Berry Colour | Number of Observations | Mean <br> Total <br> Length <br> (min) | Mean Carapace Length (mm) | Mean <br> Total Weight (g) | Меап Absolute fecundity. | Relative fecundity Fec./TL * | Relative fecundity Fec./CL | Relative fecundity Fec.TW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yellow | 21 | 209.38 | 57.71 | 110.93 | 100174.1 | 479.41 | 1735.84 | 941.1 |
| 2 | Orange | 18 | 203.39 | 57.28 | 96.94 | 9007683 | 429.16 | 1519.08 | 929.7 |
| 3 | Grey | 33 | 219.7 | 61.21 | 124.76 | 101929 | 458.93 | 1645.4 | 834.1 |
| 4 | Black | 8 | 202.88 | 56.5 | 74.48 | 70779.88 | 347.84 | 1244.61 | 958.6 |

Table 4.3 Average values of morphometric measurements and relative fecundity in different length groups of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| No. | Length Group | Number of Observa tions | Mean <br> Total Length (mm) | Mean Carapace Length (mm) | Mean <br> Total Weight (g) | Mean <br> Absolute fecundity | Relative fecundity Fec. $/ \mathrm{LL}$ * | Relative fecundity Fec./CL | Relative fecundity Fec. ITW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 150-170 | 4 | 165.25 | 46.75 | 57.35 | 60080.5 | 666.18 | 2251.68 | 1047.61 |
| 2 | 170-190 | 3 | 182.33 | 4933 | 55.93 | 49036 | 268.04 | 988.1 | 876.69 |
| 3 | 190-210 | 29 | 200.45 | 55.1 | 87.4 | 87268.03 | 434.2 | 1579.76 | 998.49 |
| 4 | 210-230 | 30 | 218.67 | 60.63 | 118.06 | 97139.73 | 444.18 | 1600.48 | 822.82 |
| 5 | 230-250 | 11 | 234.18 | 67.73 | 154.72 | 111924.1 | 478.64 | 1645.2 | 723.41 |
| 6 | 250-270 | 3 | 258 | 72.67 | 204 | 197124.7 | 746.05 | 2714.74 | 966.3 |

Table 4.4 Average values of morphometric measurements and relative fecundity in different femaie morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| No. | Morphotype | Number of Obsenations | Mean <br> Total <br> Length <br> (mm) | Mean Carapace Length (mm) | Mean <br> Total Weight (g) | Mean Absolute fecundity | Relative fecundity Fec./TL * | Relative fecundity Fec./CL * | Relative fecundity Fec. $/$ TW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | WOF * | 12 | 186.5 | 49.9 | 62.5 | 83247.15 | 445.82 | 1666.83 | 1333.22 |
| 2 | TOF | 8 | 217.3 | 62.57 | 125.6 | 98935.25 | 453.33 | 1584.76 | 786.53 |
| 3 | SOF | 11 | 210.55 | 58.46 | 104.382 | 96039.27 | 444.58 | 1604.8 | 941.25 |
| 4 | WBF | 33 | 214.47 | 60.19 | 116.98 | 99611.91 | 461.04 | 1638.87 | 880.19 |
| 5 | SBF | 16 | 203 | 55.13 | 89.71 | 82441.94 | 399.64 | 1470.12 | 918.26 |

- Fec. $/ T \mathrm{~L}=$ Relative fecundity with respect to total length
*     - Fec. $/ C L=$ Relative fecundity with respect to carapace length
* Fec. $/ T W=$ Relative fecundity with respect to total weight
- WOF - Weak Orange Clawed Femate

SOF - Strong Orange Clawed Female
TOF - Trasnforming Orange Clawed Females
WBF- Weak Blue Clawed Female
SBF - Strong Blue Clawed femaie
Table 4.5 F-ratios obtained on the comparison of relative fecundity in various colours of berry,
ength groups and morphotypes in Macrobrachium rosenbergii (tested using anays
(eng in Macrobrachium rosenbergii (tested using analysis of variance)

| Categories | df | Total length and Fecundity | Carapace length and Fecundity | Total weight and Fecundity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berry colour Length Groups Morphotypes | $\mathrm{df} 1=79 \mathrm{df} 2=3$ <br> df1=79 df2 25 <br> df1=79 df2=4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6563+ \\ & 4.803^{*} \\ & 1.0122+ \end{aligned}$ | $1.9751+$ 4.800* $0.6182+$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0565+ \\ & 2.3549++ \\ & 0.3076+ \end{aligned}$ |
| * - Significant at $5 \%$ level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) <br> +- Not significant $(P>0.05)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Table 4.6 F-ratios of the relationship between fecundity and different morphometric variables in different berry colours, length groups and morphotypes in Macrobrachium rosenbergii (tested using analysis of covariance) |  |  |  |  |
| Categories | df | Total length and Fecundity | Carapace length and Fecundity | Total weight and. Fecundity |
| Berry colour Length Groups Morphotypes | df1=79 df2=3 <br> df1=79 df2=5 <br> df1=79 df2=4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0618+ \\ & 1.6219+ \\ & 2.0363+ \end{aligned}$ | 1.7361+ 0.5350+ $0.6691+$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6470+ \\ & 1.2145+ \\ & 1.7677+ \end{aligned}$ |

+- Not significant $\quad(P>0.05)$
Table 4.7 Minimum, Maximum, Mean and standard deviation of various morphometric characters and fecundity and

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Result of regression analysis with fecundity |  |  | Fecundity per Unit body dimension |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Marphometric Characters | Minimum | Maximum | Mean | SD | Regression Constant (a) | Regression Coefficient <br> (b) | Correlation Coefficient (r) | Observed Value | Calculated value | \% Error** |
| 1 | Total length ( mm ) | 52 | 80 | 64.31 | 7.22 | -6. 1405 | 5.3799 | 09183 * | 66.36 | 65.97 | 0.59 |
| 2 | Carapace length (mm) | 14 | 24 | 18.49 | 2.64 | -1.5473 | 4.0562 | 0.8530 * | 229.42 | 224.77 | 0.59 2.03 |
| 3 | Rostral length (mm) | 14 | 25 | 20.10 | 2.74 | -0.3939 | 3.0543 | 0.4780 * | 214.72 | 195.90 | 8.76 |
| 4 | Length of Telson (mm) | 7 | 11 | 8.87 | 1.30 | 0.0198 | 3.7672 | 0.7690 * | 480.24 | 462.89 | 3.61 |
| 5 | 2nd Pleural Width (mm) | 6 | 12 | 8.22 | 1.40 | 1.6024 | 2.1685 | 0.3257 | 53244 | 470.79 | 11.58 |
| 6 | Total length of 1 st cheliped (mm) | 18 | 32 | 24.45 | 3.53 | -1.8461 | 3.9164 | 0.8031 * | 173.75 | 168.85 | 2.82 |
| 7 | Length of ischium of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 6 | 12 | 8.08 | 1.67 | 1.3488 | 2.4744 | 0.5880 * | 529.28 | 493.36 | 6.79 |
| 8 | Length of merus of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 6 | 14 | 10.22 | 2.21 | 1.5394 | 2.0292 | 0.5909 * | 418.11 | 379.00 | 9.35 |
| 9 | Length of carpus of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 9 | 22 | 14.47 | 3.36 | 0.9840 | 2.2537 | 0.6738 * | 29383 | 276.98 | 5.73 |
| 10 | Length of propodus of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 9 | 32 | 20.36 | 5.15 | 1.1142 | 1.9003 | $0.641!$ * | 209.62 | 19551 | 6.73 |
| 11 | Length of dactylus of 2nd cheliped (mm) | 4 | 12 | 7.34 | 1.91 | 2.2130 | 1.5970 | 0.4054 | 594.78 | 532.65 | 10.45 |
| 12 | Length of 2nd cheliped ( mm ) | 33 | 80 | 53.13 | 11.84 | -0.3873 | 2.3092 | 0.6960 * | 79.88 | 75.13 | 5.95 |
| 13 | Length of 3rd walking leg (mm) | 21 | 88 | 28.10 | 408 | -2.1537 | 3.9652 | 0.8039 * | 151.22 | 14744 | 2.50 |
| 14 | Length of 4th walking leg (mm) | 23 | 39 | 28.91 | 3.95 | -2.5579 | 4.2077 | 0.8019 * | 147.34 | 14368 | 2.48 |
| 15 | Length of 5th walking leg (mm) | 24 | 39 | 29.89 | 390 | -2.8244 | 4.3467 | 0.7794 * | 142.86 | 13888 | 2.79 |
| 16 | Total Weight (g) | 1.8807 | 8.0176 | 4.0656 | 13984 | 2.5864 | 1.6901 | 0.8455 * | 1032.65 | 100326 | 2.85 |
|  | Fecundity | 1175 | 9625 | 4352.00 | 100400 | -- | -- | -- |  |  |  |

[^11]Table $4.8 \quad$ Average value of the morphometric measurements in different length groups of

| No. | Length Group | n | Mean Total Length (mm) | Mean Carapace Length (mm) | Mean <br> Total Weight <br> (g) | Avarage Fecundity | Fec./TL | Fec./CL | Fec./TW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 50-55 | 10 | 54.29 | 15.00 | 2.32 | 1460.26 | 26.86 | 97.23 | 634.29 |
| 2 | 56-60 | 8 | 56.67 | 16.33 | 2.88 | 1995.50 | 35.07 | 121.38 | 687.27 |
| 3 | 61-65 | 12 | 61.60 | 17.42 | 3.65 | 3231.69 | 52.28 | 184.12 | 874.89 |
| 4 | 66-70 | 11 | 66.95 | 19.70 | 4.48 | 5367.76 | 80.08 | 273.18 | 1209.57 |
| 5 | 71-75 | 9 | 71.17 | 20.55 | 4.90 | 6882.78 | 96.51 | 332.87 | 1332.28 |
| 6 | 76-80 | 8 | 77.50 | 22.75 | 6.77 | 8894.55 | 114.65 | 390.43 | 1438.39 |

[^12]

Fig. 4.1 Seasonal variation of maturity stages in Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Female) of Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.2 Seasonal variation of maturity stages in Macrobrachium striatus (Male) of Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.3 Seasonal variation of maturity stages in Macrobrachium striatus (Female) of Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.4 Seasonal variation in the percentage occurrence of male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii and their transitional stages in Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.5 Seasonal variation in the percentage occurrence of female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii and their transitional stages in the Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.6 Maturity stages in different female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 4.7 Variation in the gonadosomatic index of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Male) in Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.8 Variation in the gonadosomatic index of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Female) in Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.9 Variation in the gonadosomatic index of Macrobrachium striatus (Male) in Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.10 Variation in the gonadosomatic index of Macrobrachium striatus (Female) in Vembanad lake


Fig. 4.11 Variation in gonadosomatic index in various maturity stages of Macrobrachium rosenbergii(Female)


Fig. 4.12 Variation in gonadosomatic index in male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 4.13 Variation in gonadosomatic index in female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 4.14 Variation in gonadosomatic index in various maturity stages of Macrobrachium striatus (Male)


Fig. 4.15 Variation in gonadosomatic index in various maturity stages of Macrobrachium striatus (Female)


Fig. 4.16 Variation in the hepatosomatic index of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Male)


Fig. 4.17 Variation in the hepatosomatic index of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Female)


Fig. 4.18 Variation in the hepatosomatic index of Macrobrachium striatus (Male)


Fig. 4.19 Variation in the hepatosomatic index of Macrobrachium striatus (Female)

HEPATOSOMATIC INDEX FEMALE MATURITY STAGES


Fig. 4.20 Variation in hepatosomatic index in various maturity stages of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Female)

HEPATO-SOMATIC INDEX OF MALE MORPHOTYPES


Fig. 4.21 Variation in hepatosomatic index in male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii
hepatosomatic index of
FEMALE MORPHOTYPES


Fig. 4.22 Variation in hepatosomatic index in female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 4.23 Variation in hepatosomatic index in various maturity stages of Macrobrachium striatus (Male)


Fig. 4.24 Variation in hepatosomatic index in various maturity stages of Macrobrachium striatus (Female)


Fig. 4.25 Size at first maturity of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (Female)


Fig. 4.26 Size at first maturity of Macrobrachium striatus (Male and Female)


Fig. 4.27 Relationship between total length (a), carapace length (b) and total weight (c) to fecundity in Macrobrachium rosenbergii


Fig. 4.28 Relationship between total length (a), carapace length (b) and total weight (c) to fecundity in Macrobrachium striatus

## Chapter 5

## Breeding migration and sex ratio of Macrobrachium rosenbergii and M.striatus

## Introduction

The dwindling nature of M.rosenbergii in the Vembanad lake in recent years can solely be attributed to the impact of human interventions in the ecosystem which brought about severe alterations in its natural habitat (Kurup et al., 1992a). Exposure to estuarine environment with salinity $12-14 \mathrm{ppt}$ is essential for the completion of larval metamorphosis of $M$. rosenbergii and thereafter post larvae undertake a retum migration to the riverine region (Ling and Merican, 1961). Upstream and downstream migrations of a species would be an adaptive advantage for getting specific salinity for the reproduction and subsequent development (Hughes and Richard, 1973; Sastry, 1983). Many of the Macrobrachium spp. needed estuarine condition for the successful development of the larvae (Raman, 1967; Ling, 1969a; Rao, 1967; Lee and Fielder, 1979; Natividad, 1982; Read, 1983; Pandian, 1987; Gamba, 1987). M.striatus is often present in saline regions and a specific migratory pattern has not been so far established in the earlier studies (Pillai, 1990a). Rao (1967)and Jinadasa (1985) reported breeding migration of M.rosenbergii in Hooghly estuary and Bolgoda lake, Sri Lanka respectively. M.malcomsonii on the other hand is categorised as a larval migrant and performs no migration for breeding in Godavari estuary, however, the larvae reach the estuarine zone by the water currents (Ibrahim, 1962). Upstream migration of juveniles of M.malcomsonii and M.scabriculus recorded in Godavari river (Ibrahim, 1962).

Though there is a wealth of information is available on the breeding migration of palaemonid prawns from natural waters, however, information giving
emphasis to the quantification and characterisation of breeding stock are totally lacking. In the present context of dwindling nature of the natural resources of M.rosenbergii due to the man-made stress imposed to the ecosystem, particularly in the obstruction caused to the downwardly migrating breeding stock and upwardly migrating juveniles. A concerted study to delineate the effect of salinity barrier on the breeding migration is highly warranted. Therefore, an attempt is made to study the pattern of migration of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus in the Vembanad lake giving due emphasis to

1. the effect of temperature and salinity on the breeding migration of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus.
2. delineate the sex specific differential migration of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus
3. study the impact of the salinity barrier on the downwardly migrating spawning stock of M.rosenbergii.
4. study the characteristics of the migratory stock of M.rosenbergii viz. length frequency and morphotypic composition etc.

## Materials and Methods

The Vembanad lake was apportioned to three regions such as river proper ( 5 km stretch each of Pampa, Meenachil and Muvattupuzha adjoining the lake), upstream (area south of bund where saline water rarely intrude) and downstream (northern part of bund having wide salinity fluctuations) regions based on prevailing ecological characteristics (for details please refer Section 1.). The exploited stock of M. rosenbergii from various fishing gears were observed during March 1994 to February 1996 from three stations each from the above three regions. The fishing activity of each station was observed for a period of 24 hr . and the catches from not
less than $30 \%$ of total units were examined at fishing ground itself. As regards M.striatus catches were observed from 10 'padals' to enumerate the number of males and females. Specimens so collected were segregated sex wise and morphotype wise following Kuris et al. (1987) and Harikrishnan and Kurup (1997a) and their number was noted giving due emphasis to numerical strength of berried prawns in the catches. Total length of the specimens were also recorded to the nearest millimetre for assessing length frequency distribution. A total of 2196 males and 3055 females of M.rosenbergii and 202 males and 261 females of M.striatus were observed. The data of M.striatus has recorded only from a single station and therefore length frequency was not attempted. Apart form the data presented in the $2^{\text {rd }}$ chapter the temperature and salinity recorded from the stations corresponding to the sampling sites were pooled and presented in this chapter. Migration of the stock was assessed on the basis of appearance of males and females in different regions of the lake. Chi-square analysis was carried out to assess the variation if any, in sex ratio from that of hypothetical value 1:1 (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

## Results

Variations in salinity and temperature in various regions of the lake are shown in Fig. 5.1. Temperature was very high during April to May while the lowest values were recorded during June to August commensurate with monsoon showers. Maximum salinity recorded from the upstream region was only 8 ppt . In downstream region salinity varied from 20.0 to 28.6 ppt during April-May period whereas with the onset of monsoon it was reduced to less than 5 ppt in most of the stations.

A specific pattern in the seasonal availability of M.rosenbergii could be discernible in all the three regions (Table 5.1 to 5.3; Fig. 5.2). Males dominated in the population up to June-July periods in all the regions and thenceforth females dominated in the exploited stock (Table 5.1 to 5.3; Fig. 5.2). A regular occurrence of males of M.rosenhergii could be discemible in the upstream region whereas, in
riverine region the appearance was almost round the year except in March 1994 and November 1995. Presence of females was found restricted during May-June to December-January periods in riverine and upstream regions. Appearance of both males and females of M.rosenbergii was found restricted during May- December in downstream region. Numerical occurrence of males and females together were high in upstream region in contrast, dominance of female in downstream region during October-November periods was quite discernible.

Highest number of females were present in riverine region in August 1994 followed by September 1994 in upstream and October 1994 in downstream regions. which would suggest a gradual downward shift of stock from riverine to downstream regions (Fig.5.2). Similar trend could also be discernible in the second year. On the contrary, in males, such a specific patterm could not be delineated. Males were invariably more in the upstream region when compared to riverine and downstream regions (Table 5.1 and 5.3). A decrease in the population size of Mrosentergii in upstream region during September to December could be discemible while a corresponding increase in the downstream region was quite discernible.

Chi-square analysis of sex ratio of M.rosenbergii did not show significant $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$ variation from the hypothetical ratio $1: 1$ in the months of September 1994, November 1994, January 1995 and October 1995 to February 1996 in riverine region and this may be due to the smaller population size (Table 5.1). Whereas, in July 1994, August 1995 and October 1995 the sex ratio showed no significant variation from hypothetical value despite the population size was large. Eventhough a predominance of males could be noticed in riverine region on an annual basis, however, the sex ratio did not skewed significantly ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) (Tabie 5.1). Whereas, both in upstream and downstream regions of the lake, sex ratio showed significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) from the hypothetical ratio on an annual basis. The test of heterogeneity of sex ratio showed that monthly variation in sex ratio was significant in different regions of the Vembanad lake (Table 5.1 to 5.3).

The appearance of berried females could be registered from June-July to December-January periods, with a distinct peak during September to November. A specific pattern in downward movement of the berried prawns couid not be delineated, however an aggregation of berried prawns in the upstream and downstream regions could be discernible (Fig. 5.3).

Length frequency distribution of males and females of M.rosenbergii from the three regions of the lake are shown in Fig. 5.4. Interestingly, in females the size distribution was found to be uniform in all the three regions with a distinct mode at 200-219 mm whereas, in males the $180-199 \mathrm{~mm}$ size group dominated in the exploited stock. However, percentage representation of larger males were relatively high in the catches from riverine and down stream regions when compared to upstream region. Among the various male morphotypes, blue clawed morphotypes dominated in upstream region in contrast to downstream region (Fig. 5.5). The presence of small males (SM) could only be encountered from downstream and upstream regions. Berries could be encountered in all the female morphotypes and therefore, it can reasonably be asserted that they are reproductively active. Weak blue clawed females (WBF) dominated in the upstream region against strong blue clawed females ( SBF ) in the downstream as well as riverine regions.

Very few specimens of M.striatus could be collected during April to June in both the years and it was virtually absent in May 1994 as well as April and May 1995. A clear predominance of females in almost all the months except October 1994, January, March, November 1995 and February 1996 was observed. Sex ratio did not skewed from the hypothetical ratio in most of the months (Table 5.4). However, overall sex ratio varied significantly with a clear predominance of females. Berried females of M.striatus first appeared in the month of July in 1994-95 and August in 1995-96 and its availability showed an increase up to January in former year and December in the latter(Fig. 4.3).

## Discussion

Fluctuations noticed in the temperature and salinity following a definite pattern in consonance with the prevailing climatic conditions in the present study fully agree with the earlier reports (Josanto 1971; Kurup and Samuel, 1987; KWBSP, 1989). The salinity was as high as 28 ppt was recorded in upstream region before the commissioning of the salinity barrier (Raman, 1967) and therefore the upstream region served as the breeding ground of this species. In contrast, highest salinity recorded during the present study was only 8 ppt . It would thus appear that the upstream region of the lake can no longer serve as the breeding ground of this species due to the drastic reduction seen in the salinity profile and therefore, $M$.rosenbergii is compelled to undertake a lengthy downward breeding migration to Cochin Backwaters during September- December periods. Similar observation were also made by Kurup et al. (1992a). Sastry (1983) opined that ecological factors have a profound influence on the breeding migration of crustaceans.

A sudden increase in the availability of males from March to May in different regions of the lake would suggest the commencement of breeding migration of M.rosenbergii from upper stretches of rivers to the lake which was immediately followed by females in June-July period. Predominance of females could be observed in upstream region from June and subsequently berried prawns started appearing in this region. Thereafter, a sudden increase in number of both non berried as well as berried females in the downstream regions of the lake were discemible and these findings would suggest the downward migration of females especially that of berried prawns. Pandian (1987) classified M. rosenbergii as an adult migrant, in which adults migrate to the brackish water region for spawning, on the contrary, adults of M.malcomsonii do not migrate down the estuaries and the larvae were brought to saline area by the currents. Foremost occurrence of males of $M$. rosenbergii in the breeding ground was reported by Rao (1967) from Hooghly estuary. Movement
shown by the female of M.rosenbergii is comparable with the movement of M.peterst in which the downward movement is along with the flood water and upward movement coincided with the elevation of salinity (Read, 983)

The results of the present study showed that females evinced a distinct migratory pattern on the contrary, a small proportion of the male population was only migrating far down to the breeding ground. Results of the Chi-square analysis also supports this finding. In riverine region, the sex ratio was not varying significantly, while, significant variation due to out numbering of females could be noticed in upstream and downstream regions of the lake. It may, therefore be inferred that in M.rosenbergii mating takes place in riverine and upstream regions of the lake and the impregnated and berried females may undertake migration down to the low saline regions of the lake.

Obstructions caused to the downward migration of breeding stock as well as the return migration of the post larvae due to human interventions are attributed as the major conjunctures for the dwindling nature of the natural resource of $.1 /$ rosenbergii in the Vembanad lake (Kurup et al., 1992a; Harikrishnan and Kurup. 1997b). Alteration in salinity due to the construction of dam in Puran in the deltaic region of Gulf of Papua was found to affect the crustacean fishery resources including M. rosenbergii (Frusher, 1983). Carlander (1980) suggested over fishing and water hyacinth as the major factors for the dwindling of fishery resources in Rawa Pening lake, Central Jawa. Similar reasoning can be made for the depletion of stock of M.rosenbergii in Vembanad lake also. Jinadasa (1985) reported that 100 and $60^{\circ}$ of the total female landed are berried in major and minor peak of fishing respectively in the Bologoda lake, Sri Lanka.

Highest water temperature was recorded during April-May coincided with the commencement of breeding migration of males of M.rosenbergii, in contrast. femaies started downward migration with the onset of south west monsoon which corresponis with the decrease of water temperature. As there is no salinity intrusion into the river
system ever since the commissioning of the salinity barrier and therefore it can be asserted that temperature may play a key role in triggering the breeding migration rather than salinity. Raman (1967) also opined that temperature governs the breeding migration of M.rosenbergii in the Vembanad lake. Return migration of the adults was found to be coincided with the elevation in salinity and therefore it can reasonably asserted that the retum migration was influenced by salinity. Absence of M.rosenbergii in estuarine region with a corresponding elevation in salinity has already been reported earlier (Raman, 1967; Rao, 1967). Read (1983) also reported the return migration of M.petersi from the estuaries due to the rise in salinity.

The possibility of return migration of M.rosenbergii from the downstream region to the Pampa river system is quite doubtful as the salinity barrier is kept closed by December. Correspondingly, in downstream region, presence of berried females could be observed up to December. Both males and females of M. rosenbergii was found to be virtually absent in the downstream region after December, however, its availability was noticed from the Muvattupuzha river in December-January. It is quite possible that due to the closure of the salinity barrier in December a portion of the prawns trapped in the down stream region may migrate to freshwater region through Muvattupuzha river whereas, females which had already been negotiated the barrier and reached the upstream region may further ascent to the river Pampa. Upstream region of the lake offers an ideal dwelling ground for M.rosenbergii as there is no high salinity intrusion due to the closure of the barrier. An year round occurrence of $M$. rosenbergii could be observed from this region and a corresponding absence in the riverine region would suggest the existence of a resident stock in the upstream region. Raman (1967) observed a resident stock in the upper reaches of the Pampa river where salinity seldom intruded before the commissioning of the salinity barrier. A similar situation might have been created now in the upstream region where the salinity seldom raises beyond 8 ppt .

The result of the present study revealed that in riverine region males and
females of M.rosenbergii occur in almost equal numbers without any significant variation from the hypothetical sex ratio. Whereas, in upstream and downstream regions the sex ratio varies considerably and this may be due to the combined effect of differential migration and varying fishing intensity imposed on the stock. Kurup et al.(1992a) reported an insignificant variation in sex ratio of M.rosentergii in Vembanad lake, however, this trend could be seen only in the riverine region during the present study. On the contrary, while examining the total population females showed a clear preponderance (male : female 1:1.39) over its male counterpar and the result of Chi-square analysis also showed that the variation is significant $\left(\chi^{2}=139.46\right.$; $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ ). This finding is similar to that of Rao (1967) who reported the predominance of females in the exploited stock of Hooghly estuary.

Percentage occurrence of blue clawed males of M.rosenbergil which is sexually active was higher in riverine and downstream regions when compared to upstream region. The overall sex ratio was around $1: 1$ in upstream and riverine regions, whereas the sex ratio varied considerably in downstream region with a predominance of females. Percentage occurrence of old blue clawed males (OBC) was higher at riverine region and this finding shows similarity with Raman (1967). The reproductively submissive weak orange clawed males (WOC) (Fig 4) and males belonging to smaller size group ( $<180 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) (Fig. 3) were also registered in adequate numbers from the upstream region. It may be inferred that WOC might have migrated from the riverine region to upstream region, during when they have undergone morphotypic transformation to a reproductively active morphotype and thereater they might have either migrated further down along with females or returned to riverine regions. Weak blue clawed females (WBF) and strong blue clawed females (SBF) together constitute a major portion of the female stock and their frequent presence in the downstream region couples with a higher percentage occurrence of berry among them would suggest that they may be the sexually more active female morphotype of A.rosenhergii.

The occurrence of males and females of M.striatus during June to March in lower stretches of estuaries indicate its breeding season and it is found to coincide with the monsoon and post monsoon. Occurrence of berried females of M.striatus was reported from Cochin backwaters from July to October (Pillai, 1990b). Whereas occurrence for a longer period extending from July to January could be noticed in the present study. Predominance of females in the Kumbalam station would suggest that females migrate downstream to facilitate the successful development of the larvae. As the animals could be collected round the year from only one station the present data base is too inadequate to delineate the migratory pattern of M.striatus.

Table 5.1 Sex ratio of Macrobrachium rosenbergii recorded from the riverine region of Vembanad lake.

| Month | Male | Female | M : F | Chi-square | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 94 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| April | 19 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 19.00 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| May | 20 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 20.00 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| June | 90 | 1 | 1:0.01 | 87.04 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| July | 17 | 22 | 1:1.29 | 0.64 |  |
| August | 18 | 53 | 1:2.94 | 17.25 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| September | 5 | 6 | 1:1.20 | 0.09 |  |
| October | 16 | 42 | 1:2.63 | 11.66 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| November | 5 | 5 | 1:1.00 | 0.00 |  |
| December 94 | 6 | 21 | 1:3.50 | 8.33 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| January 95 | 3 | 5 | 1:1.67 | 0.50 |  |
| February | 5 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 5.00 |  |
| March | 10 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 10.00 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| April | 69 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 69.00 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| May | 75 | 1 | 1:0.01 | 72.05 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| June | 139 | 29 | 1:0.21 | 72.02 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| July | 94 | 35 | 1:0.37 | 26.98 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| August | 33 | 47 | 1:1.42 | 2.45 |  |
| September | 16 | 135 | 1:8.44 | 93.78 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| October | 13 | 18 | 1:1.38 | 0.81 |  |
| November | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| December 95 | 2 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 2.00 |  |
| January 96 | 2 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 2.00 |  |
| February | 7 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 2.00 |  |
| Total | 664 | 420 | 1:0.63 | 3.20 |  |
| Heterogeneity |  |  | df Chi-Sqaure |  |  |
|  | Sum of C | uares | 24 | 522.62 |  |
|  | Pooled C | quares | $=1$ | 3.20 |  |
|  | Heterogeneit |  | ty 23 | 519.42 | P<0.005 |

Table 5.2 Sex ratio of Macrobrachium rosenbergii recorded from the upstream region of Vembanad lake.

| Month | Male | Female | M : F | Chi-square | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 94 | 50 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 50.00 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| April | 146 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 146.00 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| May | 153 | 1 | 1:0.01 | 150.03 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| June | 63 | 10 | 1:0.16 | 38.48 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| July | 153 | 80 | 1:0.52 | 22.87 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| August | 78 | 167 | 1:2.14 | 32.33 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| September | 14 | 267 | 1:19.07 | 227.79 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| October | 20 | 134 | 1:6.70 | 84.39 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| November | 9 | 111 | 1:12.33 | 86.70 | $\mathrm{P}<0.025$ |
| December 94 | 2 | 52 | 1:26.00 | 46.30 | $\mathrm{P}<0.025$ |
| January 95 | 19 | 5 | 1:0.26 | 8.17 | $\mathrm{P}<0.025$ |
| February | 19 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 19.00 | $\mathrm{P}<0.025$ |
| March | 2 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 2.00 |  |
| April | 1 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 1.00 |  |
| May | 80 | 2 | 1:0.03 | 74420 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| June | 137 | 2 | 1:0.01 | 131.12 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| July | 91 | 57 | 1:0.63 | 7.81 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| August | 75 | 83 | 1:1.11 | 0.41 |  |
| September | 16 | 153 | 1:9.56 | 111.06 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| October | 13 | 118 | 1:9.08 | 84.16 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |
| November | 1 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 1.00 |  |
| December 95 | 3 | 4 | 1: 1.33 | 0.14 |  |
| January 96 | 2 | 3 | 1:1.50 | 0.20 |  |
| February | 1 | 0 | 1:0.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Total | 1148 | 1249 | 1:1.09 | 4.26 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
| Heterogeneity |  |  | df Chi-Sqaure |  |  |
|  | Sum of Ch | quares | 24 | 1326.14 |  |
|  | Pooled Ch | quares | 1 | 4.26 |  |
|  |  | terogene | 23 | 1321.88 | $\mathrm{P}<0.005$ |

Table 5.3 Sex ratio of Macrobrachium rosenbergii recorded from the downstream region of Vembanad lake.


Table 5.4 Sex ratio of Macrobrachium striatus recorded from Vembanad lake.

|  | Males | Females | M:F | Chi-Square | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apr 94 | 0 | 2 |  | 2.00 |  |
| May | 0 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Jun | 0 | 2 |  | 2.00 |  |
| Jul | 1 | 17 | 1:17 | 14.22 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| Aug | 6 | 22 | 1:3.67 | 9.14 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
| Sep | 18 | 20 | 1:1.11 | 0.11 |  |
| Oct | 21 | 18 | 1:0.86 | 0.23 |  |
| Nov | 12 | 15 | 1:1.25 | 0.33 |  |
| Dec 94 | 10 | 16 | 1:1.6 | 1.38 |  |
| Jan 95 | 18 | 13 | 1:0.72 | 0.81 |  |
| Feb | 5 | 6 | 1:1.2 | 0.09 |  |
| Mar | 9 | 6 | 1:0.67 | 0.60 |  |
| Apr | 0 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| May | 0 | 0 |  | 0.00 |  |
| Jun | 1 | 5 | $1: 5$ | 2.67 |  |
| Jul | 7 | 13 | 1:1.86 | 1.80 |  |
| Aug | 9 | 12 | 1:1.33 | 0.43 |  |
| Sep | 11 | 24 | 1:2.18 | 4.83 | $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ |
| Oct | 18 | 18 | $1: 1$ | 0.00 |  |
| Nov | 20 | 18 | 1:0.9 | 0.11 |  |
| Dec 95 | 15 | 9 | 1:0.6 | 1.50 |  |
| Jan 96 | 9 | 10 | $1: 1.11$ | 0.05 |  |
| Feb | 11 | 4 | 1:0.36 | 3.27 |  |
| Mar 96 | 1 | 11 | 1:11 | 8.33 | $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ |
|  | 202 | 261 | 1:1.29 | 7.52 P<0.01 |  |
| Heterogeneity |  |  | df | Chi-square |  |
| Sum of Chi-SquarePooled Chi-Square |  |  | 24 | 53.90 |  |
|  |  |  | 1 | 7.52 |  |
|  | terogen |  | 23 | 46.38 P | P<0.01 |



Fig. 5.1 Pattern of distribution of temperature and salinity in three regions of the lake




Fig. 5.2 Number of males and females of Macrobrachium rosenbergii collected from different regions of the lake during March 94- February 96.


Fig. 5.3 Monthly occurrence of berried females of Macrobrachium rosenbergii in the exploited stock of Vembanad lake


Fig. 5.4 Length frequency distribution of males and females of Macrobrachium rosenbergii in three regions of Vembanad lake


Fig. 5.5 Numerical abundance of different male and female morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii in three regions of Vembanad lake

# Biochemical Characterisation of Male Morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man) 

Sexually mature male population of Macrobrachium rosenbergii belonging to same age group has been differentiated into three distinct morphotypes such as Small Males (SM), Orange Clawed Males (OC) and Blue Clawed Males (BC) representing three phases in the developmental pathway of males (Brody et al., 1980; Cohen et al., 1981). Besides, the two transitional stages of both $O C$ and $B C$ are also distinguishable from the fully differentiated males (Kuris et al., 1987; Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997a). A review of literature showed that no concerted attempt has so far been made to evaluate the biochemical variation if any, taking place in these morphotypes commensurate with the change in phases of reproduction and somatic growth as shown by these morphotypes, though the biochemical aspects of $M$. rosenbergii were studied by Maugle et al. (1980), Rubbi et al. (1985), Ghosh and Ray (1992), Sherief et al. (1992) and Sherief and Xavier (1994). Therefore, an attempt was made to explain the biochemical basis of morphotypic differentiation seen in male population of M.rosenbergii. Similar studies in female morphotypes of M.rosenbergii has not been attempted as there is no report assigning them to either reproductive or somatic growth phases as in the case of male morphotypes. Sarojini et al. $(1982,1985)$ and Mirajkar et al. $(1983)$ studied the biochemical aspects of M.kistnensis with respect to reproductive stages while Joseph et al. (1991) studied the same in M.idella in relation to different biotypes.

## Materials and Methods

Prawns for the present study were collected from a polder based monoculture grow out of $M$. rosenbergii situated adjoining to the Vembanad lake on the day of harvest. The samples from the culture system were collected to ensure the uniformity in age and sampling of morphotypes were done based on the existing information (Brody et al., 1980; Cohen et al., 1981; Kuris et al., 1987; Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997a). The specimens so collected were transported to the laboratory in live condition and segregated into different morphotypes (Kuris et al., 1987; Harikrishnan and Kurup 1997a). Length and weight of different morphotypes were measured (Table 6.1) and specimens were sacrificed and muscle and hepatopancreas were taken for analysis, whereas, each samples of testes were collected from three specimens. A weighed portion of the sample was kept in a hot air oven at temp. $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Sherief et al., 1992) and dried to constant weight in order to determine the moisture content. Protein (Micro- Kjeldahl's Method), carbohydrates and lipids were estimated following AOAC (1976), Heath and Barnes (1970) and Folch et al. (1957) respectively. RNA and DNA were extracted from the tissues and estimated using following procedure of Schneider (1957). 0.5 g sample was homogenised in $2.5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{10} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{TCA}$, centrifuged and the residue so obtained was washed once with 2.5 ml TCA. The final residue after removal of acid soluble compounds was extracted twice with $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{95} \mathrm{\%}$ ethanol and centrifuged. The lipid free tissue residue was suspended in 1.3 ml of water and 1.3 ml of $10 \%$ TCA and the mixture was heated for 15 min at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and centrifuged. In order
to estimate $D N A, 1 \mathrm{~mJ}$ of the nucleic acid extract was mixed with 2 ml diphenyl amine reagent and heated for 10 min in boiling water bath and absorbency was read at 600 nm along with standard (Calf thymus DNA). Whereas in the case of RNA, 0.5 ml of nucleic acid extract was diluted to 5 ml and heated with 5 ml Orcinol reagent and absorbency was read at 660 nm . The results of the biochemical studies were analyzed statistically using ANOVA and t-test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

## Results

a) Muscle tissue

The mean values of protein, carbohydrates, lipids, DNA, RNA and RNA/DNA ratios estimated from the body muscle tissue of different morphotypes are given in Table 6.2. Results of analysis of variance showed that there was significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) in protein, DNA, and RNA contents of muscle among various male morphotypes (Table 6.2). Highest values of DNA and RNA were recorded in SOC and t-SOC on the contrary, least was in WBC and SM. Results of pair-wise analysis showed that muscle protein and DNA contents of orange clawed male SOC and its transitional stage, t -SOC showed significant difference from that of Blue Clawed male (SBC) and the transitional stages of latter viz. WBC and OBC (Table 6.5). On the contrary, no significant difference could be seen in the muscle protein content of SM with other morphotypes studied (Table 6.5).

## b)Hepatopancreas

Mean values of various biochemical constituents estimated from the hepatopancreas of male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii are presented in Table 6.3. In general, the moisture and protein content were found to be lower in hepatopancreas than in muscle, but the lipid content was higher. Resuits oi ANOVA showed that there was significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) in carbohydrate and RNA contents of hepatopancreas in various morphotypes (Table $6 . \mathrm{I}^{\circ}$. Carbohydrate levels in the hepatopancreas of SM showed significant difference from that of SOC, t-SOC and WBC and similar results could be seen betweez SOC and SBC as well as t -SOC and SBC (Table 6.5). t-SOC also showed significant difference in RNA content of the hepatopancreas with that oi SM and SBC. Similarly, SOC and SBC were also found to differ significantly with regard to carbohydrate content of hepatopancreas (Table 6.5).
c) Gonads

Higher values of protein and lipids were recorded in SBC while the carbohydrates valuef were high in t-SOC (Table 6.4). RNA content in gonads or WBC and SBC was very high, on the contrary, lower values could invariatiy te observed in SM, WOC and SOC. Results of the ANOVA revealed that DNA and RNA content of the gonads of male morphotypes showed signincant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) (Table 6.4). SM showed significant difference in $\mathfrak{y}$ ) RNA content with all other morphotypes (Table 6.5). Similarly RNA contemi of gonads of WOC also differed significantly from all other morphotipefexcer: SOC. RNA content of gonads of SOC showed significant difference with cil tree
three BC morphotypes, whereas t -SOC showing significant difference only with WBC (Table 6.5).

## Discussion

In the present study, the protein content in the muscle of different morphotypes was found varying from 16.2 to $20.3 \%$ and this in comparison to Sherief et al. (1992) is lower. Significant differences in protein, DNA and RNA content of muscle in various morphotypes may serve as an index of difference in cellular activity (Lemmens, 1995) which would manifest the possibilities of heterogeneous growth rates in various morphotypes of $M$. rosenbergii as reported by Kuris et al. (1987). RNA content can be directly related to the protein synthesis (Ikeda, 1989). Interestingly among male morphotypes studied, high protein and RNA values were observed in the muscle tissue of SOC and this manifest the possibilities of higher rate of protein synthesis. The same has been recorded in the case of juvenile spider crab, Hyas araneus (Anger and Hirche,1990). Similarly, muscle DNA content was also higher in SOC and t-SOC which fully corroborates with the observations of Bulow (1970) and Anger and Hirche (1990) who reported that increase of DNA can well be taken as an indication of faster growth rate of fishes and crustaceans. Among the various morphotypes, SOC and its transitional stages are reported to be the fastest growing animals (Karplus et al.. 1987; Kurup et al., 1997a) and the highest protein, RNA and DNA content of the body muscle recorded in this morphotypes fully explain the biochemical basis of the above biological manifestation exhibited by SOC.

Mean values of protein, DNA and RNA content in muscle tissue were found to be lower in SM, which occupies the initial stage of morphogenetic pathway. Growth of SM is also reported to be very slow as they convert a large part of their energy for mating attempts using a sneak mating behaviour (Teleckey, 1984; Ra'anan and Sagi 1985). In BC morphotypes and its transformation stages, protein, DNA and RNA values were found to be increasing gradually. Ghosh and Ray (1992) observed $1.242 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{mg}$ and $0.51 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{mg}$ RNA and DNA respectively in muscle tissue of $M$. rosenbergii and this in comparison with the present study is on a higher side. This difference may be attributed to the stages of maturity of the animal used for the study. Anger and Hirche (1990) also observed a decrease in RNA-DNA ratio with the advancements of developmental stages in crabs. However, in the present study RNA-DNA ratio in the muscle of male morphotypes did not show any specific pattern commensurate with the stages of morphogenesis as observed by Anger and Hirche (1990).

Though the lipid content in muscle, hepatopancreas and gonads does not show remarkable difference among the morphotypes, the values were low in SM and thereafter gradually increasing trend commensurate with the advancement of developmental pathway could be discernible. An exception to this trend was in WBC, in which lipid was found to be less when compared to $t$ SOC and SBC, and this finding would lend to support the possibility of an alternative transformation pathway from SM to WBC as proposed above. SM showed changes in regard to colouration similar to that of $B C$ when it was
maintained with females in the absence of a dominant BC male (Sureshkumar and Kurup, 1998) and this finding will also support the above inference. Sherief et al. (1992) reported lower values of lipids in stunted males and this finding fully conform to the present observation.

Hepatopancreas plays a significant role in the food assimilation and mobilization of energy during moulting, pigmentation, gluconeogenesis and carbohydrate storage (Dall and Moriarty, 1983; Skinner, 1985; Ghidalia, 1985). Significant variations ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) in carbohydrate level and RNA content of the hepatopancreas observed in the present study will lend support the difference in growth, pigmentation and relative size of hepatopancreas among various male morphotypes as reported by Cohen et al., 1981; Kuris et al., 1987; Sagi and Ra'anan 1988. Juinio et al.(1992) reported a rapid increase in total DNA and RNA in postmoult Homarus americanus immediately after ecdysis with an increased availability of food. In M.rosenbergii hepatopancreas of SOC is higher when compared to other morphotypes and this coupled with higher RNA content as observed would suggest the possibility of better food assimilation and carbohydrate storage and a corresponding activity of hepatopancreas of SOC which in turn contribute to the higher somatic growth (Dall and Moriarty, 1983; Sagi and Ra'anan, 1988). Carbohydrate content of hepatopancreas of SOC and $\mathfrak{t}$-SOC also showed significant variation with SBC and this findings would also support the structural and functional variations of hepatopancreas between OC and BC males (Sagi and Ra'anan 1988), commensurate with the respective growth and reproductive stages represented by these morphotypes.

Protein content in hepatopancreas was found to be lower when compared to body muscle as reported previously (Sherief and Xavier 1994). In the muscle tissue of M.rosenbergii the protein content is distinctly higher than lipid content (Sherief et al., 1992) and similar observation could be made in penaeid prawns also (Achuthankutty and Parulekar, 1984). In the present study lipid content in hepatopancreas was much higher than protein content and this fully conform to Sherief and Xavier (1994).

In the present study a gradual increase in RNA content of the gonad could be observed from SM to SBC, which occupies the initial and penultimate stages respectively of male morphogenesis of M. rosenbergii (Table 6.4) However, a decrease in RNA content of gonad of OBC, which forms the terminal stage is noteworthy. This observation is in agreement with that of Ra'anan and Sagi (1985) who reported that OC have a reduced reproductive ability when compared to BC , the latter is reported to be reproductively very active. RNA content of SM was apparently the lowest among all the morphotypes studied and conversely this finding may not be conforming to their high reproductive activity using a sneaking mating strategy as reported by Ra'anan and Cohen (1985). Although the RNA content in the gonad of WOC and SOC were found to be relatively higher than SM, however, these are reproductively submissive (Ra'anan and Sagi, 1985). It would thus appear that the gonads of OC males are also endowed with the high cellular activity identical with that of SM, however, the reproductive submissiveness shown by this group manifested by non-protection or grooming of female prior to mating, fatally hurting its counter parts, etc. (Ra'anan and Sagi, 1985) may be taken as
the a reason for the reduced reproductive activity. RNA synthesis in gonads was found to be controlled by androgenic hormones before meiotic prophase (Pochon-Masson 1983). The significant difference in the RNA content in gonads of male morphotypes encountered in the present study may be due to the varying activity of androgenic glands as opined by Sagi (1988).

A clear and specific variation in biochemical contents in different male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii could be observed in the present study and this manifest the possibility of biochemical characterisation. However, Cohen et al. (1981) are of the opinion that neither genetic difference nor parental manipulation can account directly for male polymorphism. Differential growth rates exhibited by male morphotypes can well be explained with the help of difference noticed in the protein, RNA and DNA content of the muscle tissue. Faster somatic growth encountered in SOC can be correlated with the high carbohydrate and RNA content of hepatopancreas of the group and this finding would also unravel the physiological adaptation seen in this group for rapid assimilation of food. According to Sagi and Ra'anan (1988) in male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii there exists an antagonism in energy demand between the somatic growth and reproductive activity and the results of the present biochemical evaluation showed that the antagonism between energy demand of morphotypes characterised with somatic growth is very much perceptible rather than in morphotype which are reproductively very active.

Table 6.1 Total length and weight of male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii used for biochemical analysis

|  | SM | WOC | SOC | t-SOC | WBC | SBC | OBC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 88.00 | 135.00 | 155.00 | 172.00 | 130.00 | 192.00 | 211.00 |
| Maximum | 121.00 | 206.00 | 299.00 | 221.00 | 272.00 | 311.00 | 311.00 |
| Mean | 107.89 | 165.50 | 201.92 | 199.22 | 186.50 | 249.55 | 271.50 |
| Total weight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 5.70 | 25.80 | 33.20 | 41.00 | 27.10 | 68.10 | 102.50 |
| Maximum | 16.50 | 105.90 | 224.80 | 120.00 | 191.10 | 294.10 | 286.20 |
| Mean | 11.10 | 46.87 | 89.90 | 82.57 | 72.00 | 186.24 | 217.47 |

Table 6.2 Biochemical composition of muscle tissue of various
male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Morphotypes | Moisture (\%) | Protein (\%) | carbohydrates (\%) | lipids <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DNA } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RNA } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | RNA/DNA Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SM | $76.12+/-1.50$ <br> (9) | $18.50+/-0.97$ <br> (9) | $1.04+/-0.15$ <br> (9) | $1.62+/-0.22$ <br> (9) | $0.306+/-0.05$ <br> (8) | $0.412+J-0.11$ <br> (8) | $1.334+1-0.18$ <br> (8) |
| WOC | $\begin{gathered} 75.19+/-1.09 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.99+/-0.95 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.15+/-0.29 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.66+/-0.31 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $0.440+1-0.02$ <br> (4) | $0.510+1-0.05$ <br> (4) | $1.166+1-0.17$ <br> (4) |
| SOC | $\begin{gathered} 7520+\beta-1.14 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.10+/-1.20 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.25+l-0.26 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.75+/ / 0.25 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $0.688+1-0.02$ <br> (6) | $1.020+l-0.18$ <br> (6) | $1.489+1-0.29$ <br> (6) |
| t-SOC | $75.20+/-0.73$ <br> (9) | $18.62+1-0.50$ <br> (9) | $1.30+1-0.35$ <br> (9) | $1.79+1-0.31$ <br> (9) | $0.652+1-0.12$ <br> (14) | $\begin{gathered} 0.977+/-0.30 \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.492+1-0.34 \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ |
| WBC | $\begin{gathered} 76.01+/-0.95 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $17.71+/-0.71$ <br> (12) | $\begin{gathered} 1.13+l /-0.16 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.72+l-0.28 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $0.362+/-0.09$ <br> (8) | $0.441+l-0.09$ <br> (8) | $1.292+1-0.27$ <br> (8) |
| SBC | $\begin{gathered} 75.91+1-0.99 \\ \text { (11) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.83+/-0.81 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.21+/-0.16 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $1.88+/-0.34$ <br> (11) | $\begin{gathered} 0.407+1-0.07 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.641+l-0.10 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.626+/-0.38 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ |
| OBC | $76.48+/-1.20$ <br> (6) | $17.52+1-0.58$ <br> (6) | $1.46+/-0.41$ <br> (6) | $1.94+1-0.44$ <br> (6) | $0.371+l-0.05$ <br> (4) | $0.482+1-0.06$ <br> (4) | $1.298+l-0.01$ <br> (4) |
| MSS Bet.Samples | $\begin{gathered} 2.457 \\ d f=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.963 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1410 \\ d f=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1100 \\ d f=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.239 \\ & \mathrm{df}=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.545 \\ d f=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.221 \\ d f=6 \end{gathered}$ |
| MSS within samples | $\begin{aligned} & 1.320 \\ & d f=61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.829 \\ & \mathrm{df}=61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0740 \\ d f=61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1010 \\ d f=61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.007 \\ & \mathrm{df}=55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.032 \\ & \mathrm{df}=55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.102 \\ & d f=55 \end{aligned}$ |
| F- ratio | $1.8614+$ | 4.7805** | $1.9054+$ | 1.0891+ | 34.1429** | 17.0313** | $2.1667+$ |

[^13]Table 6.3 Biochemical composition of hepatopancreas of male morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Morphotypes | Moisture <br> (\%) | Protein <br> (\%) | Carbohydrates (\%) | Lipids (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DNA } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RNA } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | RNADNA Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SM | $53.86+/-2.28$ <br> (9) | $9.22+/-1.64$ <br> (9) | $1.89+1-0.34$ <br> (9) | $29.45+/-1.87$ <br> (9) | $0.371+1-0.13$ <br> (8) | $0.532+/-0.21$ <br> (8) | $1.453+1-0.26$ <br> (8) |
| WOC | $53.22+1-2.55$ <br> (10) | $\begin{gathered} 8.90+/-2.34 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $2.00+/-0.39$ <br> (10) | $30.22+1-1.90$ <br> (10) | $0.438+1-0.08$ <br> (4) | $0.530+1-0.03$ <br> (4) | $1.243+1-0.16$ <br> (4) |
| SOC | $53.04+/-2.73$ <br> (11) | $\begin{gathered} 9.34+/-1.67 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.37+l-0.35 \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ | $31.06+/-2.12$ <br> (11) | $0.537+1-0.10$ <br> (6) | $0.744+/-0.18$ <br> (6) | $1.381+/-0.17$ <br> (6) |
| t-SOC | $52.91+/-3.24$ <br> (9) | $9.21+/-1.41$ <br> (9) | $2.28+1 / 0.35$ <br> (9) | $29.73+/-2.36$ <br> (9) | $0.536+/-0.17$ <br> (14) | $0.716+/-0.14$ <br> (14) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.555+l-0.76 \\ & (14) \end{aligned}$ |
| WBC | $\begin{gathered} 53.58+1-2.12 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.88+/-1.66 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $2.11+l-0.37$ <br> (12) | $\begin{gathered} 28.80+/-2.49 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $0.351+l-0.10$ <br> (8) | $0.414+/-0.06$ <br> (8) | $1.281+l-0.35$ <br> (8) |
| SBC | $\begin{gathered} 53.29+1-3.04 \\ 111) \end{gathered}$ | $8.77+l-2.04$ <br> (11) | $\begin{gathered} 1.93+l-0.23 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.73++-2.87 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.385++-0.13 \\ & (14) \end{aligned}$ | $0.468+l-0.19$ <br> (18) | $\begin{gathered} 1.355+l-0.31 \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ |
| OBC | $54.37+l-2.81$ <br> (6) | $9.67+l-1.95$ <br> (6) | $2.06+l-0.44$ <br> (6) | $28.89+1-2.30$ <br> (6) | $0.395+l-0.04$ <br> (4) | $0.497+/-0.12$ <br> (4) | $1.252+1-0.18$ <br> (4) |
| MSS Bet.Samples | $\begin{aligned} & 1.786 \\ & d f=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.607 \\ & \mathrm{df}=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.333 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.448 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.049 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.160 \\ & \mathrm{df}=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.197 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ |
| MSS within samples | $\begin{aligned} & 7.918 \\ & d f=61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.693 \\ & d f=61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.149 \\ & d f=61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.829 \\ & d f=61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.024 \\ & d f=51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.023 \\ & d f=55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.209 \\ & d f=51 \end{aligned}$ |
| F ratio | 0.2256+ | $0.4351+$ | 2.2349* | $1.1062+$ | $2.0417+$ | 6,9565** | 0.9426+ |

[^14]Table 6.4 Biochemical composition of gonads of different male morphotypes

| Morphotypes | Moisture (\%) | Protein <br> (\%) | Carbohydrates (\%) | Lipids (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DNA } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RNA } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{g}) \end{gathered}$ | RNAIDNA Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SM | $75.08+/-1.18$ <br> (9) | $18.36+/-1.12$ <br> (6) | $1.06+/-0.47$ <br> (10) | $3.50+1-0.38$ <br> (6) | $0.439+l-0.01$ <br> (4) | $0.493+1-0.03$ <br> (4) | $1.126+/-0.11$ <br> (4) |
| WOC | $75.19+1-1.03$ | $17.01+l-0.52$ (10) | $1.37+l-0.38$ (12) | $3.58+j-0.28$ <br> (6) | $0.534+l-0.01$ <br> (4) | $0.610+1-0.03$ <br> (4) | $1.141+l-0.02$ <br> (4) |
| SOC | $\begin{gathered} 74.71+/-0.68 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.77+1-0.56 \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ | $1.69+l-0.36$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.68+l-0.28 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.530+1-0.09 \\ \text { (6) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.697+i-0.12 \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.322+\rho-0.12 \\ \text { (6) } \end{gathered}$ |
| t-SOC | $74.70+i-1.15$ <br> (9) | $\begin{gathered} 18.52+f-0.92 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.76+/-0.47 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $3.80+1-0.10$ <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} 0.635+1-0.11 \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.942+1-0.17 \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.495+/-0.21 \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ |
| WBC | $\begin{gathered} 74.45+l-0.93 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $18.35+/-1.14$ <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} 1.45+/-0.38 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $3.72+l-0.24$ <br> (6) | $0.751+/-0.09$ <br> (8) | $1.065+l-0.11$ <br> (8) | $1.567+/-0.25$ <br> (8) |
| SBC | $\begin{gathered} 73.95+1-0.73 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.95+/-0.95 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.58+1-0.40 \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $3.81+/-0.29$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} 0.643+/-0.16 \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $1.159+/-0.31$ <br> (18) | $1.800+1-0.81$ <br> (18) |
| OBC | $74.38+l-1.06$ <br> (6) | $18.07+/-1.32$ <br> (8) | $1.22+1-0.48$ <br> (8) | $3.77+1-0.39$ <br> (6) | $0.891+1-0.07$ <br> (4) | $0.916+1-0.07$ <br> (4) | $1.029+/-0.01$ <br> (4) |
| MSS <br> Bet.Samples | $\begin{aligned} & 1.805 \\ & \mathrm{df}=6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.961 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.381 \\ d f=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.080 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.070 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.319 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.366 \\ \mathrm{df}=6 \end{gathered}$ |
| MSS within samples | $\begin{aligned} & 0.999 \\ & d f=63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.682 \\ & d f=67 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.196 \\ & d f=71 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.095 \\ & d f=39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.015 \\ & \mathrm{df}=51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.032 \\ & \mathrm{df}=51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.259 \\ & d f=51 \end{aligned}$ |
| F- ratio | $1.8068+$ | $1.4080+$ | $1.9439+$ | $0.8889+$ | 4.6667* | $9.4167^{* *}$ | $1.4131+$ |

[^15]Results of pairwise analysis using t-test on various biochemical components
showing variation in different morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Combination | df Muscle <br> Protein <br> $t$ |  | Muscle DNA df t |  |  | Muscle RNA |  | Hepatopancreas Carbohydrates |  |  | Hepatopancreas RNA |  |  | Gonad DNA |  |  | Gonad RNA |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | df | t | df | t |  | df | , |  | df | , |  | df | t |  |
| 1 SMX WOC | 17 | $1.0392+$ |  |  | 10 | 4.7153 | ** | 10 | 1.1614 + | 17 | 0.7262 | + | 10 | 1.4190 | + | 6 | 8.9805 |  | 6 | 4.7539 |  |
| $2 \text { SM X SOC }$ | 18 | 1.1668 + | 12 | 22.4763 | ** | 12 | 7.3644 ** | 18 | 2.6182 | + | 12 | 3.5925 | * | 8 | 1.7747 | + | 8 | 2.9502 |  |
| $3 \mathrm{SMXt-SOC}$ | 16 | $0.3155+$ | 20 | 7.5512 | ** | 20 | 4.9376 ** | 16 | 2.3475 |  | 20 | 4.5752 | ** | 16 | 3.2813 |  | 16 | 4.8679 |  |
| 4 SMX WBC | 19 | 2.0611 + | 14 | 1.2364 | + | 14 | 0.5050 + | 19 | 1.4404 | $+$ | 14 | 1.0356 | + | 10 | 6.3096 |  | 10 | 10.8084 |  |
| 5 SMX SBC | 18 | 1.5971 + | 24 | 3.5927 | ** | 24 | 5.2732 ** | 18 | 0.4032 | $+$ | 24 | 0.1524 | + | 20 | 2.3561 | * | 20 | 3.8120 |  |
| 6 SMX OBC | 13 | 2.0810 + | 10 | 1.9475 | + | 10 | $1.1231+$ | 13 | 0.8564 | $+$ | 10 | 0.5955 | + | 6 | 7.1500 | ** | 6 | 9.4342 |  |
| 7 WOC X SOC | 19 | 0.2340 + | 8 | 21.0484 | ** | 8 | 4.9655 ** | 19 | 1.9113 | $+$ | 8 | 2.0954 | + | 8 | 0.0880 | $+$ | 8 | 1.2753 |  |
| 8 WOC Xt-SOC | 17 | 0.9686 + | 16 | 3.3848 | ** | 16 | $2.9369{ }^{* *}$ | 17 | 1.5469 | $+$ | 16 | 2.5531 |  | 16 | 1.6866 | $+$ | 16 | 3.6077 |  |
| 9 WOC $\times$ WBC | 20 | 3.4516 ** | 10 | 1.3120 | + | 10 | 1.3056 + | 20 | 0.6424 | + | 10 | 3.2870 | * | 10 | 4.3909 |  | 10 | 9.0003 |  |
| 10 WOC X SBC | 19 | 2.8634 ** | 20 | 0.9286 | $+$ | 20 | 2.5395 * | 19 | 0.5098 | $+$ | 20 | 0.6133 | + | 20 | 1.2211 | + | 20 | 2.8905 |  |
| 11 WOC X OBC | 14 | 3.2205 ** | 6 | 2.2385 | + | 6 | $0.6289+$ | 14 | 0.2524 | + | 6 | 0.4844 | + | 6 | 4.9801 | ** | 6 | 7.1387 | + |
| 12 SOC Xt-SOC | 18 | 1.0668 + | 18 | 0.9917 | + | 18 | $0.3087+$ | 18 | 0.4184 | $+$ | 18 | 0.3603 | $+$ | 20 | 1.9224 | + | 20 | 2.9887 |  |
| 13 SOC X WBC | 21 | 3.3229 ** | 12 | 9.6390 | ** | 12 | 7.2913 ** | 21 | 1.4463 | $+$ | 12 | 4.4628 | ** | 12 | 4.2080 |  | 12 | 6.8972 |  |
| 14 SOCX SBC | 20 | 2.8204 ** | 22 | 13.3791 | ** | 22 | $6.3437{ }^{* *}$ | 20 | 2.7665 | + | 22 | 2.9592 | * | 22 | 1.4905 | $+$ | 22 | 2.6022 |  |
| 15 SOC X OBC | 15 | 2.8945 * | 8 | 16.9830 | ** | 8 | 5.1982 ** | 15 | 1.2913 | $+$ | 8 | 2.1724 | + | 8 | 3.6135 | ** | 8 | 2.9343 |  |
| 16 t-SOC X WBC | 19 | 3.1432 ** | 20 | 5.4062 | ** | 20 | 4.7365 ** | 19 | 1.0225 | + | 20 | 5.6710 | ** | 20 | 2.3914 |  | 20 | 3.0451 |  |
| 17 t -SOC X SBC | 18 | $\underline{2.4244 * * * * * * * * * ~}$ | 30 16 | 7.1541 | *** | 30 | 4.3560 ** | 18 | 2.5309 | * | 30 | 3.9632 | ** | 30 | 0.0347 | + | 30 | 0.4285 |  |
| $18 \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{SOC} \times \mathrm{OBC}$ | 13 | 5.1838 ** | 16 | 6.2009 | ** | 16 | 4.4030 ** | 13 | 1.4255 | + | 16 | 3.9131 | ** | 16 | 2.5805 | * | 16 | 0.3846 | + |
| 19 WBC X SBC | 21 | $0.3696+$ | 24 | 1.2257 | + | 24 | 4.8416 ** | 21 | 1.3463 | + | 24 | 0.7506 | $+$ | 24 | 1.8241 | + | 24 | 1.9737 | + |
| 20 WBC 21 OBC | 16 | 0.5373 + | 10 | 0.1574 | $+$ | 10 | $0.7653+$ | 16 | 0.2485 | $+$ | 10 | 1.4938 | $+$ | 10 | 0.0200 | $+$ | 10 | 3.6718 |  |
| 21 SBCXOBC | 15 | 0.7849 + | 20 | 0.9239 | + | 20 | 3.0669 ** | 15 | 0.7523 | + | 20 | 0.2734 |  | 20 | 1.3221 | $+$ | 20 | 0.4646 |  |
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## Chapter 7

## Reproductive Capability of Male Morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (de Man) and Their Performance in Brood Stock Rearing and Larval Production

## Introduction

Seed production of Macrobrachium rosenbergii has met with different levels of success in India (Nair, 1993a; Sebastian and Nair, 1995; Kurup, 1994), however, the non availability of mother prawns from the natural habitat pose as a major bottleneck in the year round production of seed in commercial hatcheries. The male population of M.rosenbergii is distinguishable as three morphotypes viz. Blue Clawed ( BC ), Orange Clawed (OC) and Small Males (SM) which are probably representing the three maturation stages of males (Cohen et al., 1981) which are characterised with difference in social hierarchy and participation in courtship and mating (Ra'anan and Sagi, 1985; Kuris et al., 1987). Therefore identification of most reproductively active male morphotype and delineation of its optimum ratio which can produce maximum oviposition will be quite useful for the brood stock development in commercial hatcheries.

Generally brood stock for the hatchery operation is collected from grow-out ponds or from wild population(New, 1995; Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997b). Brood stock rearing of M.rosenbergii in the hatchery premises could be practised for year round supply of mother prawns (Varghese et al., 1992; Daniels et al., 1992; Malecha, 1983). Effect of temperature (Daniels et al., 1992), dietary protein (Murugadas and Pandian, 1991), eye stalk ablation
(Marian and Murugadas, 1991; Ang et al., 1992) on the maturation of various species of Macrobrachium were studied extensively.

## Materials and Methods

Live specimens of different morphotypes of male M.rosenbergii such as Small Males (SM), Orange Clawed Males (OC) and Blue Clawed Males (BC) were collected from Vembanad lake, and spent females were procured from a commercial Macrobrachium hatchery to ensure uniformity in stages of maturation. Experiments were designed with a view to ascertain the success of oviposition in the sex ratio maintained between male and female from 1:2 to 1:8 in $\mathrm{BC}, 1: 2$ to $1: 7$ in OC and $1: 2$ and $1: 3$ in SM. Experiments were conducted in one ton FRP tanks provided with broken tiles and PVC pipes kept submerged for giving shelter in order to minimise stress and continuous aeration was also provided. Tank management was done following standard procedures (Ra'anan and Sagi, 1985). The small males when stocked in higher ratios with females, a change in colour pattern similar to that of BC was perceptible and therefore, the results of the same have not been included here. The period taken for oviposition was reckoned as the period from the commencement of the experiment till the day on which appearance of the berry (Shed berries were not counted) could be noticed. Period of incubation was taken as the days from the berry formation till hatching whereas, total number of zoea produced was counted soon after the completion of hatching and percentage of larval survival was calculated from the number of post-larvae produced from each experiment. The individuals of each tank were observed on a daily basis for registering
moulting and oviposition. The berried females were removed immediately and the experiments were continued for a period of 25 days. The berried females so developed were kept in 400L round FRP tanks having 5 ppt sea water for hatching. The larval rearing was done following the standard procedures (Sebastian and Nair, 1995). The number of larvae obtained per gram body weight of the berried female, the period of incubation and the survival of the larvae were recorded. The data so gathered in respect of percentage of moulting in females, percentage of fertilisation among moulted females and total females, period for oviposition, period of incubation, zoea produced and survival of zoea in different combinations of BC, OC and SM morphotypes were tested using ANOVA and t -test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

## Results

Results of the experiments carried out under different sex ratios of three morphotypes of males of M.rosenbergii with females are given in the Table 7.1. BC produced more number of berried females ( $51.04 \%$ ) whereas OC $(12.59 \%)$ and $\mathrm{SM}(35.5 \%)$ showed lower performance. The average number of zoea produced by females treated with blue male ( $\mathrm{M}=52,471$ larvae $/ 100 \mathrm{gm}$ body weight) were distinctly higher when compared to females treated with OC (41,475 larvae/ 100 gm body weight) and $\mathrm{SM}(\mathrm{M}=31,578$ larvae $/ 100 \mathrm{gm}$ body weight). Percentage of oviposition among females and the larvae produced by females kept with different morphotypes showed significant variation (Table 7.2). Result of $t$-test to quantify the variation in different parameters observed during the present experiment in respect to different morphotypes are presented in the Table 7.3.

## Discussion

Blue clawed males showed superior performance in terms of fertilisation and number of zoea produced. It would thus appear that among various male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii blue clawed males are reproductively more active as evident from high rates of fertilisation, larval production and larval survival. When BC males were maintained in the ratio $1: 4,100 \%$ fertilisation of the moulted females could be observed. Besides, the highest percentage development of berried females could also be noticed in this combination. This clearly substantiates the suitability of BC males in the brood stock development due to their superior reproductive activity. Among the various ratios of $B C$ studied, the results were found to be excellent in 1:4 and this finding is very much in agreement with the report of Varghese et al. (1992) who stated that sex ratio of 1:3.75, 1:4 and 1:4.75 were suitable for maximum oviposition in M.rosenbergii. Malecha et al. (1983) also recommended a sex ratio $1: 4$ or $1: 5$ for maximum oviposition in M.rosenbergii. However, the morphotypic difference in M.rosenbergii has totally been ignored in all these studies and therefore the reproductive activity of various male morphotypes could not be adjudged from these studies.

In the present study successful fertilisation could also be seen in OC males and this observation would suggest the reproductive potential of this morphotype in the absence of a dominant male morphotype (Table 7.1). However, shedding of berries due to non-fertilisation was also observed in few
females treated with OC males. On the contrary, small males could fertilise $75 \%$ of females which are found moulted when kept with them. The results of ANOVA and t-test (Table 7.2 and 7.3 ) revealed that significant difference could be noticed in the percentage of development of berried females between BC and OC whereas the difference was found insignificant between BC and SM as well as OC and SM. These observations clearly support the earlier findings that the $B C$ and $S M$ are reproductively active while $O C$ is reproductively submissive and it becomes active only in the absence of BC (Ra'anan and Sagi, 1985; Kuris et al., 1987) .

The total number of females moulted in each experimental protocol was analysed statistically using ANOVA and the results (Table 7.2) showed that there is no significant variation in the total number of females moulted when these were kept along with different morphotypes of males. In M.rosenbergii mating occurs only between hard shelled male and soft shelled female ie. female just completed the premating moult (Rao, 1965; New and Singholka, 1985). The experimental condition provided for each morphotype for oviposition were identical and therefore it may be inferred that the rate of moulting may be independent of the presence of reproductively active male morphotypes. However, a higher percentage of moulting could be noticed in the experimental protocol of all morphotypes in which lower sex ratios were maintained. This may be due to the possibility of attaining faster growth as a result of less competition for food and space and also due to least disturbance from other individuals.

The result of analysis of variance and $t$-test on percentage of oviposition, period for oviposition, incubation period, pre-zoea produced and
survival among the three morphotypes of M.rosenbergii are presented in Tables 7.2 and 7.3. It could be seen that the percentage of berried females developed out of total moulted females and total stocked females and also the prezoeal production showed significant differences among various male morphotypes. This finding would strongly support earlier observations that the three morphotypes are well distinguishable in their reproductive capacity and activity (Kuris et al., 1987). A high degree of reproductive success viz. attractiveness for females, advantage in agnostic behaviour with other males and high survival probability of fertilised females after mating are reported in BC by Ra'anan and Sagi (1985). The post larvae produced showed significant difference between BC and OC as well as BC and SM whereas there is no significant difference between OC and SM . This result further supports the suitability of BC in brood stock development of M.rosenbergii. Time taken for oviposition, period of incubation and rate of survival of larvae have showed no significant difference in females treated with different morphotypes (Table $7.2 \& 7.3$ ). This may be due to the relationship of former two characters with the maturity and physical condition of female and latter to the efficiency of larval rearing techniques being followed in the hatchery. Survival of the larvae also showed no significant difference among the various treatments, however, the average survival is found to be higher in treatments with $B C$ parentage.

The OC males of M.rosenbergii were further classified into Weak Orange Clawed (WOC), Strong Orange Clawed (SOC) and Pre-transforming SOC (t-SOC) according to the propodus colouration (Kuris et al., 1987). In the present study the success of oviposition varied between $0 \%$ to $25 \%$ in WOC,
$0 \%$ to $33.33 \%$ in SOC and $0 \%$ to $50 \%$ in t -SOC in various combinations, however there was no significant variation ( $\mathrm{F}=1.536, \mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) among them. Therefore, various OC morphotypes were pooled and treated as OC males. Varghese et al. (1992) introduced more than one male with females in the same tank itself in order to adjust the sex ratio for the optimisation of sex ratio, regardless of morphotypic variation. It may be inferred that by doing so a condition might have existed in which one dominant male can suppress the reproductive activity of the rest of males and therefore effective sex ratio can vary considerably and consequently the higher number of individuals may interfere in moulting as well as mating. Varghese et al.(1992) registered low rates of fertilisation in M.rosenbergii and this may be due to the presence of higher percentage of OC among the experimental males due to the maintenance of higher stocking rate. In the present study the percentage of oviposition in females treated with OC males ranged from 0 to 50 which is very much in agreement with Ra'anan and Sagi (1985) who recorded $37 \%$ and $16.6 \%$ successful fertilisation in OC in absence and presence of BC respectively.

Small males performed successfully in the sex ratio 1:3 and the result appeared as intermediate between that of BC and OC in the same sex ratio. This observation clearly substantiates the earlier finding that the chances of successful mating in SM males by performing the sneak copulation strategy (Ra'anan and Sagi, 1985). SM showed traces of colour development viz. appearance of bluish tint in the exoskeleton and strong blue colouration in propodus of the second peraeopod during the experiments and this would suggest the possibility of transformation of SM to BC in the presence of females
and also in the absence of dominant males. The small males showed strong colour changes when kept in higher sex ratios and therefore the results of these experiments were not considered for discussion in the present study.

Statistical analysis on success of fertilisation in different sex ratios using various morphotypes revealed that the reproductive activity of BC males varied significantly ( $\mathrm{F}=4.5058, \mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) in different sex ratios. Pair-wise analysis of different sex ratios showed that there is no difference among the percentage oviposition in the lower sex ratios (1:2, 1:3, 1:4 and 1:5) whereas a significant difference could be observed between lower ratios and higher ratios. In $\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{F}=0.4230, \mathrm{P}>0.05)$ there was no significant variation in success of oviposition at various sex ratios and this finding clearly substantiates the high reproductive activity of BC males at lower sex ratios. The sex ratio $1: 4$ with females showed $100 \%$ success in oviposition and therefore BC morphotypes at a sex ratio of 1:4 could be recommended for the brood stock development in commercial hatcheries of 1 frosenbergil.
Table 7.1 Mean values of percentages of females moulted, berries formed, duration of oviposition, incubation period, pre-zoea produced and larval survival in different sex ratios of various morphotypes of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Sex ratio | Percentage of females molted | Percentage of berries obtained (of molted) | Percentage of berries obtained (of stocked) | average time for oviposition (Days) | Average weight of female (g) | Average period of incubation (Days) | Avg. No. of prezoea/ 100g body weight | Survival of larvae $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLUE CLAWED MALES (BC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1: 2$ (4)* | 87.50 | 87.50 | 75.00 | 11.25 (4) | 67.5 (8) | 17 (2) |  |  |
| $1: 3$ (3) | 88.89 | 88.89 | 77.79 | 12.33 (3) | 62.0 (9) | 17 (3) | 47,199 61,703 | 21.4 21.4 |
| 1:4 (4) | 81.25 | 100.00 | 81.25 | 15.25 (4) | 80.0 (16) | 18 (3) | 62,000 (3) | 35.0 (3) |
| 1:5 (4) | 75.00 | 66.67 | 50.00 | 15.00 (4) | 53.3 (20) | 18 (3) | 57,411 (3) | 23.8 (3) |
| 1:6 (3) | 55.56 | 58.33 | 33.33 | 13.66 (3) | 56.0 (18) | 20 (1) | 45,652 (1) | 26.1 (1) |
| $1: 7$ (3) | 52.38 | 41.67 | 19.05 | 16.00 (3) | 53.5 (21) | 19 (2) | 46,520 (2) | 27.3 (2) |
| 1:8 (3) | 50.00 | 41.11 | 20.83 | 15.33 (3) | 55.8 (24) | 19 (2) | 43,814 (2) | 24.6 (2) |
| ORANGE CLAWED MALES (OC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:2 (3) | 83.33 | 20.00 | 16.67 | 14.0 (1) | 52.0 (6) | 19 (1) | 44,230 (1) |  |
| $1: 3$ (4) | 66.67 | 12.50 | 8.83 | 12.0 (1) | 55.4 (12) | 17 (1) | 42,354 (1) | 21.5 (1) |
| $1: 4$ (6) | 75.00 | 22.22 | 16.67 | 15.25 (4) | 46.0 (24) | 19 (1) | 43,478 (1) | 22.5 (1) |
| 1:5 (3) | 66.67 | 30.00 | 20.00 | 14.5 (2) | 65.0 (15) | 18 (1) | 33,846 (1) | 25.0 (1) |
| $1: 6$ (3) 1.7 | 44.44 | 12.50 | 5.50 | 16.0 (1) | 49.8 (18) | 18 (1) | 46,255 (1) | 21.3 (1) |
| 1:7 (2) | 50.00 | 14.28 | 7.84 | 15.0 (1) | 51.9 (14) | 17 (1) | 38.688 (1) | 21.9 (1) |
| SMALL MALES (SM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1:2 (1) | 50.0 | Berry not formed |  | -- | - |  |  |  |
| $1: 3$ (2) | 100 | 66.67 | 66.67 | 17.5 (4) | 11.0 (4) | 19 (1) | 31,578 (1) | 20.8 (1) |

Table 7.2 Results of ANOVA of different parameters recorded with respect to various morphotypes of

| Parameters | n | df2 | df1 | SS | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSS } \\ \text { bet. Samples } \end{gathered}$ | MSS <br> Within Samp. | F-value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of moulting in females | 15 | 14 | 2 | 2435.89 | 143.38 | 179.09 | 0.80 | (NS) |
| \% Oviposotion (of Moults) | 15 | 14 | 2 | 7988.46 | 2021.44 | 328.80 | 6.15 | (s) |
| \% Oviposotion (of total) | 15 | 14 | 2 | 5699.60 | 1050.08 | 299.95 | 3.50 | (S) |
| Period for Oviposition | 14 | 13 | 2 | 38.59 | 5.17 | 2.57 | 2.01 | (NS) |
| Incubation period | 14 | 13 | 2 | 12.36 | 0.46 | 1.05 | 0.44 | (NS) |
| Prezoea produced | 14 | 13 | 2 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.02 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 6.20 | (S) |
| Survival of larvae | 14 | 13 | 2 | 78.19 | 9.96 | 5.30 | 1.88 | (NS) |

S-Significant at $5 \%$ level ( $P<0.05$ )
NS- Not Significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ )
Table 7.3 Results of t-test of different parameters recorded with respect to various morphotypes of
Combination of various morphotypes

|  | BC and OC |  |  | BC and SM |  |  | OC and SM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | df | $t$-value |  | df | $t$-value |  | df | t-value |  |
| \% Moulting in females | 11 | 0.703 |  | 7 | 0.777 | (NS) | 6 | 1.099 |  |
| \% Oviposotion (of Moults) | 11 | 4.3703 |  | 7 | 1.5591 | (NS) | 6 | 0.325 |  |
| \% Oviposotion (of total) | 11 | 3.5599 |  | 7 | 0.8775 | (NS) | 6 | 0.66 |  |
| Period for Oviposition | 11 | 0.3827 |  | 6 | 1.6515 |  | 5 | 2.317 |  |
| Incubation period | 11 | 0.5034 |  | 6 | 0.6008 |  | 5 | 1.036 |  |
| Prezoea produced | 11 | 2.7854 |  | 6 | 2.2581 |  | 5 | 2.032 |  |
| Survival of larvae | 11 | 1.7064 |  | - | 1.0067 | (NS) | 5 | 1.042 | (NS) |
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## Chapter 8

## Packing of mother prawns and zoea I of Macrobrachium rosenbergii for Safe Duration Transportation

## Introduction

The mother prawns of Macrobrachium rosenbergii for the commercial hatcheries are mostly procured either from natural habitats (Harikrishnan and Kurup, 1997b) or grow-out ponds (New and Singholka, 1985). Since the hatcheries are distantly located from the regions of broodstock availability, berried prawns are subjected to transport over longer duration. Recently, a new practice of hatching the berry in collection site itself and transporting the early larvae to the hatchery have been observed. The earlier studies on this line are mainly focused on the transportation of juveniles and postlarvae of M.rosenbergii (Singholka 1982; Smith and Wannamaker, 1983; Alias and Siraj 1988; and Vadhyar et al., 1992; Joshi and Raje, 1993), while Venkataswamy et al. (1992) conducted preliminary experiments on transportation of the broodstock of M.malcomsonii. Therefore, in the present study, an attempt was made to evolve safe duration for transportation of the broodstock as well as zoea 1 of Macrobrachium rosenbergii under different packing densities and oxygen to water ratio. The role of different coloured packages and perforated carrier tubes in safe duration for transportation were also investigated.

## Materials and Methods

## Brood stock transportation.

Berried prawns for the present study were collected from Vembanad lake and were acclimatised in well aerated ambient freshwater for five hours. Conditioning of the animals after acclimation were done by inserting a plastic tube on the rostrum and trimming of spines of the carapace so as to avoid puncturing of polythene bags. Experiments were designed to standardise number of animals per bag or weight of animals per litre to achieve best results for safe duration of more than one day in different ratios of oxygen and water. Animals were weighed and packed in transparent polythene bags of total effective capacity of 18L with oxygen to water ratio $2: 1$ and $1: 1$ and the bags so prepared were kept in darkness under ambient temperature. The containers were observed at every one hour intervals. Safe duration which was taken as the period at which the initial mortality has been observed, was arrived at in respect of different oxygen-water ratios and number of individuals per package. In the best combination so arrived at, the effect of colour of packing material and the perforated carrier tubes on safe duration have further investigated. Effect of different colouration of packing materials were studied by initially packing the animals in transparent polythene bags and placing them into green, blue and black plastic bags. Observations on mortality in these containers were made by removing the outer coloured bags. Perforated carry tubes were fabricated with the help of PVC pipes having three inch diameter and 10 inch length. The experiments were conducted in duplicate. The water quality parameters such as dissolved oxygen, pH and ammonia were also estimated initially as well as on termination of the experiments using standard
procedures (Strickland and Parsons, 1972; Greenberg et al., 1993). Arimals maintained as described above were kept for hatching following standard procedure (New and Singholka, 1985). Hatching rate was calculated from prezoea produced and the unhatched eggs and dead larvae. The results of the experiments were analysed statistically (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967)

## Zoea 1 Transportation

Berried prawn collected from Vembanad lake were subjected to hatching in freshwater and the zoea 1 so produced were packed in transfarent polythene bags of 181 capacity under two ratios of oxygen and freshwate: viz. 2:1 and 1:1. The corners were rounded off with rubber band to prevent an:mals getting trapped there. The top is twisted and sealed tightly with rubber jand after the bag has been filled with oxygen to the desired quantity. The packing density selected was @1000, 2000 and 3000 zoea 1 per litre and the paciages so prepared were kept in ambient temperature. A control was also kert by maintaining a density of @1000 larvae/1 in an open aerated plastic bag. Each treatment consists of four polythene bags which were simultanecusly maintained and observation from each bag were made at $12^{\text {th }}, 24^{\text {th }}, 36^{\text {th }}$ anc $+8^{\text {th }}$ hour to assess the survival of the prezoea along with the observation on arater quality parameters. Packs were shaken at random with a view to simulate the conditions prevailing during actual transportation and also to avoid settling of the larvae. The result of the preliminary experiments showed that $1: 1$ ox:gen water ratio with a packing density 2000 larvae/l have given better results and therefore, effect of container colouration was studied only in the azove
combination. In order to study the effect of the colour of the packing material larvae were packed in transparent bags before inserting in the coloured bags viz. green, blue and black. All the treatments were carried out in triplicate. Dissolved oxygen and ammonia were estimated following Strickland and Parsons (1972) and Greenberg et al.(1993) whereas pH was recorded at the time of opening, using a digital pH pen. Mortality of larvae in every 6 hr . was enumerated for each combination and compared using analysis of variance and pair-wise analysis was performed using t-test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967). Water quality parameters were also compared using analysis of variance and ttest (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967). Adequate data base required for perform ANOVA could not be generated with respect to broodstock transportation due to financial constraints and limitations in the infrastructural facilities, however, ANOVA was performed with the available data for a meaningful interpretation of the results.

## Result

## Brood stock transportation.

Among the berries having different stages of maturity used for packing experiments, neither hatching nor shedding could be observed in yellow and grey berries and therefore found to be suitable for transportation. On the contrary, orange berried prawns showed the tendency of shedding during oxygen packing, while hatching could be seen in black berried prawns and therefore caused total mortality of the brooder as well as newly hatched larvae.

In all the packing densities studied, animals kept in oxygen water ratio 1:1 was endowed with longer safe duration when compared to 2:1 (Table 8.1). A 30 hr safe duration could be achieved when the oxygen-water ratio was kept at $1: 1$ with a density of three animals per container and this would work out to be 29.78 g body mass per litre (Table 8.1). Among the various combinations studied, three animals per bag under 1:1 oxygen water ratio gave good results and therefore found ideal for one day transportation. Based on above findings, further experiments on the effect of packing material were conducted only in this combination. Green, blue and black coloured packages found to have a safe duration of $38 \mathrm{hr}, 36 \mathrm{hr}$ and 42 hr respectively which are found to be on a higher side when compared to 30 hr registered in transparent bags (Table 8.2). When perforated carry tubes were used in transparent bags, a safe duration of 40 hr could be obtained which appears to be on a higher side when transparent bags without carry tubes were used for transportation (Table 8.2).

The final dissolved oxygen content was $2.1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{l}$ in the bag with three animals under $2: 1$ oxygen water ratio whereas the same was $2.4 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{l}$ in the ratio $1: 1$ (Table 8.1). Final pH varied between 6.8 and 7.1 in various experiments when oxygen water ratio was maintained at $2: 1$ whereas values showed variation between 6.8 and 7.0 only in the ratio 1:1 (Table 8.1). In general, dissolved oxygen, pH and ammonia values were found to be within limit in all packing densities when oxygen water ratio was maintained at 1:1 when compared to $2: 1$ ratio (Table 8.1). Final values of ammonia was observed as $18.56,21.58$ and $14.95 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ when animals were kept in green, blue and black containers respectively against $25.98 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ estimated in transparent
bag (Table 2). However, ammonia content was found as low as $16.58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ when perforated carry tubes were used in transparent bags.

Results of statistical analysis showed that there is significant difference in the safe duration ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) and final ammonia content ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) in different packing densities and between different oxygen water ratio (Table 8.3). On the contrary, $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$ and hatching rate $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$ showed no significant variation in different packing densities as well as in different oxygen water ratio (Table 8.3). Final dissolved oxygen content also showed significant difference in packages with different oxygen water ratios whereas it was insignificant in different packing densities (Table 8.3). Variations in safe duration ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) and ammonia concentration ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) was significant when different container colouration were used, on the contrary, variations of dissolved oxygen and pH were insignificant (Table 8.4). Hatching rate showed significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) among different coloured packing materials studied. Blue colour registered lowest hatching rate of $77 \%$ whereas transparent and black registered highest of $82 \%$, however, the variation in hatching rate with respect to container colouration showed no specific pattern. The results of pair-wise analysis using t -test revealed that there exists significant difference in the safe duration between transparent and black containers $(t-4.24 \mathrm{P}<0.05)$ and transparent container with carry tubes ( $t=4.47$ $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). Final ammonia content also showed significant difference when comparing transparent against green bags ( $\mathrm{t}=5.25 \mathrm{P}, 0.05$ ), black ( $\mathrm{t}=7.80$ $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) and transparent bag with perforated carry tubes ( $\mathrm{t}=6.65 \mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

Hatching rate also showed significant difference between transparent and blue bags ( $\mathrm{t}=4.69 \mathrm{P}<0.1$ ) and blue and black containers $(\mathrm{t}=3.54 \mathrm{P}<0.1)$

## Zoea 1 Transportation

Details of survival rates of zoea 1 in different experimental protocols are presented in Table 8.5. In packing experiments in which the oxygen water ratio of $1: 1$ was maintained, a survival of $48.23 \%$ could be recorded at 48 hr . packing when density was maintained at 3000 larvae litre, and this in comparison with oxygen water ratio $2: 1$ is on a higher side (Table 8.5). Dissolved oxygen content during the experiments have showed a gradual decrease from initial $8.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ to 3.5 and $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ in packing density @ 3000 larvae/l in 2:1 and 1:1 oxygen water ratios respectively (Table 8.6). A decreasing trend in the order of 7.4 to 6.8 could be seen in the case of pH . (Table 8.7). Water became slightly acidic at 36 hr . in the trials when higher density (3000 larvae/l) was maintained. Ammonia concentration in the medium showed a direct relationship with the duration of experiment, on the contrary, rate of excretion of ammonia at different intervals studied showed a decreasing trend (Table 8.8).

Percentage mortality observed in every 6 hr . interval was compared using analysis of variance. The result revealed that in $2: 1$ oxygen water ratio ( $\mathrm{F}=16.078 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) significant difference could be seen between control and different stocking densities studied (Table 8.9). Mortality of larvae in stocking densities of $1000(\mathrm{t}=2.763 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001), 2000(\mathrm{t}=4.280 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ । and 3000 larvae/l $(\mathrm{t}=10.143 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001)$ showed a significant variation when
compared to that of control. The result of $t$-test showed a significant difference in the mortality between densities 1000 and 3000 larvae/l and 2000 and 3000 larvae/l, on the contrary, difference was insignificant between 1000 and 2000 larvae/l $(\mathrm{F}=0.866 ; \mathrm{P}>0.05)$. Similar results could also be obtained with the experiments at 1:1 stocking density (Table 8.10). For comparing the difference in mortality in $1: 1$ and $2: 1$ oxygen water ratio, $t$-test was used and the result $(t=0.422 ; \mathrm{P}>0.05)$ revealed that there is no significant difference between the different air water ratio.

Against this background stocking density @2000 in 1:1 air water ratio was taken as the best combination and this combination has been selected to study the effects of different colouration of packing on individuals.

High survival rates in coloured bags used for the packing experiments was quite discernible and among them black coloured bag gives the maximum survival rate ( $91.16 \%$ ) (Table 8.11). Blue coloured bag ( $74.12 \%$ ) showed an inferior performance when compared to control ( $95.06 \%$ ) and transparent bag (74.25\%). Lower dissolved oxygen and higher ammonia content were observed in transparent bag when compared to coloured bags (Table 8.12) on the contrary, low pH was recorded in blue bags.

Final dissoived oxygen content in various packing densities with $2: 1(\mathrm{~F}=10.735 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001)$ and $1: 1$ ratio $(\mathrm{F}=34.164 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001)$ showed significant difference. Whereas, final dissolved oxygen content between the two ratios were found to be insignificant $(\mathrm{t}=0.828 ; \mathrm{P}>0.05)$. Variation in pH in different packing densities with oxygen water ratio $2: 1(\mathrm{~F}=24.696 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001)$ showed significant difference and similar was the result in the ratio $1: 1(\mathrm{~F}=13.102$ : $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ ). Similarly, ammonia level also showed significant difference at
various stocking densities in $2: 1(\mathrm{~F}=6.287 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001)$ and $1: 1(\mathrm{~F}=6.214$; $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) ratios. Among the two ratios of 2:1 and 1:1 oxygen water studied, pH ( $\mathrm{t}=0.228 ; \mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) and ammonia ( $\mathrm{F}=0.438 ; \mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) showed no significant difference.

Mortality showed significant difference ( $\mathrm{F}=6.619 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) among the control, transparent and coloured bags. Pair wise analysis showed that mortality in transparent ( $\mathrm{t}=4.705 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), green ( $\mathrm{t}=4.815 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), blue $(\mathrm{t}=4.307 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001)$ and black $(\mathrm{t}=3.766 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001)$ bags showed significant difference when compared to that of control. On the contrary, the mortality in transparent and coloured bags showed no significant difference between them (Table. 8.13).

Among control, transparent and various coloured bags studied variation in dissolved oxygen ( $\mathrm{F}=33.348 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{F}=4.079 ; \mathrm{P}<0.01)$ showed significant difference, on the contrary, ammonia concentration ( $\mathrm{F}=2.978 ; \mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) showed no significant variation. Pair-wise analysis using t test showed that physico-chemical parameters showed significant ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) difference between control and packed samples, on the contrary, the difference is insignificant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) between transparent and coloured bags and among the different coloured bags studied.

## DISCUSSION

Since there is a distinct localisation of source of berry, hatchery and farm sites, transportation of larvae and broodstock is indispensable in freshwater prawn aquaculture. Dissolved oxygen, Carbon dioxide, ammonia,
alkalinity and pH are factors of concern in transporting live organisms (Hattingh et al. 1975). Lowering of dissolved oxygen resuited in the respiratory stress as well as increase in the toxicity of un-ionized ammonia (Hora and Pillay, 1962). In the present study pH values were found to be decreased from an initial value of 7.4 to 6.8 and this may be due to the dissociation of carbonic acid to release bicarbonate and further dissociate to give carbonate and hydrogen ions (Alias and Siraj, 1988). In the experiments conducted on transportation of prawn larvae, the ammonia values were reported to be very high (Vadhyar et al., 1992; Alias and Siraj, 1988), on the contrary, in the present experiments maximum ammonia concentration registered was $45.81 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ and this in comparison with earlier report is found to be on a lower side. It may be due to the size and age of the animal and larger volume of the packing medium employed. The final ammonia content registered in the present study is found to be well below $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ which is found to be lethal to larvae of M.rosenbergii at a pH of 6.8 as reported by Armstrong et al. (1978). It may, therefore, be inferred that the increase in ammonia does not act as a stress factor in the experimental condition of the present study. The final value of dissolved oxygen, pH and ammonia is relatively higher in the packages when oxygen water ratio was maintained at 1:1. It would thus appear that the above parameters may not be attaining lethal limits due to the provision for dilution of the wastes and might have resulted in better survival. According to Vadhyar et al. (1992) the combined effect of low dissolved oxygen and high carbon dioxide, pH , and bacterial population of the packing medium can be attributed as the main reasons for the mortality of the prawn seeds under
oxygen packing for transportation rather that the stress caused by any of these parameters singly.

Significant variation was observed in safe duration ( $\mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) in different packing densities and also with respect to different oxygen water ratios. This variation can be attributed to the difference in body mass per unit volume of water caused due to variations in packing densities as well as difference maintained in the water oxygen ratios. The results of the experiments with different packing density showed that the period of safe duration is inversely proportional to the total body mass per litre of the carrying medium. This finding is favourably comparable to the observation of Alias and Siraj (1988) and Vadhyar et al. (1992).

The results of the experiments conducted to assess the effect of different coloured packages on the safe duration for transportation showed that there is a significant difference $(\mathrm{P}<0.01)$ among the different colours studied and maximum safe duration could be encountered in black containers. An enhancement in the safe duration from 30 hr in transparent bags without carry tubes to 40 hr in transparent bags with carry tubes could also be observed (Table 8.5). These results revealed the fact that the animal kept in black containers as well as in perforated carry tubes were subjected to minimum stress and therefore showed a substantial increase in the safe duration. According to Rao (1965) and Raman (1967), M.rosenbergii is a nocturnal animal and always prefer darker habitat and again characterised with the sluggish behaviour. It may therefore be seen that the above conditions will
totally match with requirements preferred by the animal, and therefore effected in the better performance. The final ammonia content were also found to be relatively low in black bags as well as in containers having perforated tubes which would also manifest the possibility that the activity of the animals kept in these containers were very low.

The result of the investigations on the packing of zoea 1 revealed that the density of packing is a critical factor influencing the survival of the larvae during transportation. A direct relationship could be observed between mortality and packing density, and this is favourably comparable with the earlier reports (Alias and Siraj, 1988; Vadhyar et al., 1992). The mortality rate at packing density @2000 showed no significant difference with 1000 larvae/l. Similarly, variations in mortality rate between $2: 1$ and $1: 1$ oxygen water ratio was also found to be insignificant. It would thus appear that packing density @2000 larvae/l with an oxygen water ratio 1:1 is suitable for the transportation of zoea 1 of $M$. rosenbergii. In a given container the quantity of water when packed in the oxygen water ratio $1: 1$ will be higher when compared to the ratio 2:1 and hence higher number of larvae can be transported.

The time span between $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ zoeal stage of Macrobrachium spp. is regarded as critical period and same for $M$. rosenbergii is found to be 5 days (Pandian, 1987). Furthermore, zoea must reach estuarine water for the successful development (Ling and Merican, 1961). Since feed was not administered during the experiments there is a possibility of larvae to become more cannibalistic, if moulted during the packing. Therefore, all the experiments were conducted in freshwater in order to prevent the moulting of
the larvae. Majority of the berry procurement centres were located in the freshwater regions and in such areas larvae could only be packed in freshwater During commercial level larval rearing light feeding or no feeding is practised in the first 1 to 3 days since embryological food reserves are still being utilised by the newly hatched $1^{\text {st }}$ stage (Malecha, 1983).

Levels of dissolved oxygen, pH and ammonia showed significant variation in different stocking densities and this is well in agreement with earlier studies (Hora and Pillai, 1962; Alias and Siraj, 1988; Vadhyar et al., 1992). The final ammonia content recorded during the present study is well below $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$, the lethal limit of ammonia for $M$. rosenbergii ( Armstrong et al., 1978). The final values of dissolved oxygen, pH and ammonia between oxygen water ratio $2: 1$ and $1: 1$ showed no significant variation and this can be due to the maintenance of similar biomass per litre water.

Eventhough a distinct improvement in the survival rates could be seen when different coloured bags were used for packing, however, the variation was found to be not statistically significant among them. Therefore it can be concluded that the colour of the bag is not an important factor influencing the survival of the larvae of $M$. rosenbergii during transportation. Survival of the larvae is found to be higher when larvae were packed in black containers in the oxygen water ratio $1: 1$ with a stocking density of 2000 larvae per litre. The results of physico-chemical parameters also lend to support the above finding as it showed no significant variation in different coloured bags.
Table 8.1 Results of experiments in transparent package with different oxygen-water ratios

| No. of Berries | Total Weight | Weight per liter | First morality observed | 'Dissolved Oxygen | *pH | *Ammonia mg I | Hatching rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oxygen Water Ratio 2:1 (6L water and 12L Oxygen) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 98 g | 16.33 | 44 Hr. | 2.3 | 6.9 | 9.56 |  |
| 2 | 182 g | 30.33 | 32 Hr . | 1.8 | 7.0 | 12.30 | 73\% |
| 3 | 265 g | 44.17 | 28 Hr . | 2.1 | 7.1 | 36.82 | 8t\% |
| 4 | 285 g | 47.50 | 24 Hr . | 1.1 | 6.8 | 45.81 | 76\% |
| Oxygen Water Ratio 1:1 (9L water and 9L Oxygen) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 135 g | 15.00 | 52 Hr . | 2.2 | 6.8 | 9.78 | -- |
| 2 | 178 g | 19.78 | 40 Hr . | 2.4 | 6.9 | 10.59 | 88\% |
| 3 | 268 g | 29.78 | 30 Hr . | 2.4 | 7.0 | 25.98 | 82\% |
| 4 | 312 g | 34.67 | 24 Hr | 2.8 | 7.0 | 38.90 | 74\% |
| * Initial Values of Dissolved Oxygen - $8.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{pHH}-7.4$ and Ammonia - $0.08 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 8.2 Results of experiments with three animals under different coloured packing in oxygen-water ratio 1:1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Packing Colour | Total Weight | Weight per liter | First mogality * observed | Dissolved Oxygen | *pH | *Ammonia $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{A}$ | Hatching rate |
| Transparent | 268 g | 29.78 | 30 Hr . | 2.4 | 7.0 | 25.98 | 82\% |
| Green | 284 g | 31.56 | 38 Hr . | 2.5 | 7.1 | 18.56 | 78\% |
| Blue | 271 g | 30.11 | 36 Hr . | 2.6 | 6.9 | 21.58 | 77\% |
| Black | 279 g | 31.00 | 42 Hr . | 2.4 | 6.9 | 14.95 | 82\% |
| With Carrier Tubes | 266 g | 29.56 | 40 Hr . | 2.4 | 7.0 | 16.58 | 79\% |

[^18]

* Significant at $1 \%$ level ( $P<0.01$ )
+ Not Significant ( $P>0.01$ )

Table 8.4 Results of analysis of variance carried out on various parameters observed during the packing of the broodstack of Macrobrachium rosenbergii in different coloured packages

|  | Source of Variation | Degrees of freedom | Sum of squares | Mean sum of F-ratio squares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safe Duration | Between samples | 4 | 169.60 | 42.40 7.57* |
|  | Within Samples | 5 | 28.00 | 5.60 |
|  | Total | 9 | 197.60 |  |
| Dissolved Oxygen | Between samples | 4 | 0.06 | 0.02 1.25+ |
|  | Within Samples | 5 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
|  | Total | 9 | 0.12 |  |
| pH | Between samples | 4 | 0.06 | $0.020 .53+$ |
|  | Within Samples | 5 | 0.14 | 0.03 |
|  | Total | 9 | 0.20 |  |
| Ammonia | Between samples | 4 | 152.85 | $38.21{19.11^{* *}}$ |
|  | Within Samples | 5 | 10.00 | 2.00 |
|  | Total | 9 | 162.85 |  |
| Hatching Rate | Between samples | 4 | 42.40 | $10.605 .30^{*}$ |
|  | Within Samples | 5 | 10.00 | 2.00 |
|  | Total | 9 | 52.40 |  |

* Signaficant at $5 \%$ level ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ )
* Significant at $1 \%$ level $(\mathrm{P}<0.01)$
+ Not Significant ( $\mathrm{P}>0.01$ )

Table 8.5 Mean survival of early larvae of Macrobrachium rosenbergii obtained in different water-oxygen ratios and packing density

|  | 12 hr . | 24 hr . | 36 hr . | 48 hr . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:2 Water:Oxygen (6L water and 12L Oxygen) |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 100.00 | 99.49 | 96.85 | 94.70 |
| 1000/L | 97.47 | 97.63 | 83.63 | 75.94 |
| 2000/L | 97.26 | 94.12 | 76.75 | 69.41 |
| 3000/L | 82.60 | 74.70 | 59.72 | 44.14 |
| 1:1 Water:Oxygen (9L water and 9L Oxygen) |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 100.00 | 97.83 | 97.33 | 95.06 |
| 1000/L | 99.32 | 98.06 | 87.12 | 80.12 |
| 2000/L | 94.51 | 92.30 | 79.64 | 74.25 |
| 3000/L | 91.67 | 73.81 | 62.42 | 48.23 |

Table 8.6 Dissolved oxygen recorded during the packing experiments with different water-oxygen ratios with early zoea larvae of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

|  | 12 hr . | 24 hr . | 36 hr | 48 hr . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:2 Water:Oxygen (6L water and 12L Oxygen) |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 8.5 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 8.6 |
| 1000/L | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 5.4 |
| 2000/L | 7.2 | 6.4 | 5.4 | 4.8 |
| 3000/L | 7.2 | 6.1 | 4.5 | 3.5 |
| 1:1 Water:Oxygen (9L water and 9L Oxygen) |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.5 |
| 1000/L | 7.2 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 5.5 |
| 2000/L | 7.1 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 4.8 |
| 3000/L | 6.9 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 3.7 |

Initial dissolved oxygen $8.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$, ammonia $0.07 \mathrm{mg} /$.

Table 8.7 Final pH recorded during the packing experiments with different water oxygen ratios with early zoea larvae of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

|  | 12 hr. | 24 hr. | 36 hr . | 48 hr . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:2 Water:Oxygen 6L water and 12L Oxygen |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
| 1000/L | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| 2000/L | 7.6 | 7.2 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| 3000/L | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 6.8 |
| 1:1 Water:Oxygen 9L water and 9L Oxygen |  |  |  |  |
| Control | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.3 |
| 1000/L | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.1 |
| 2000/L | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.2 | 7.1 |
| 3000/L | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.9 |

Initial dissolved oxygen $8.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$, ammonia $0.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.

Table 8.8 Ammonia excreted during the packing experiments with different water oxygen ratios with early zoea larvae of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

|  | 12 hr. | 24 hr . | 36 hr . | 48 hr . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:2 Water:Oxygen (6L water and 12L Oxygen) | (6L water and 12L Oxygen) |  |  |  |
| Control | 3.45 | 8.24 | 12.55 | 16.80 |
| 1000/L | 4.89 | 9.86 | 12.92 | 17.66 |
| 2000/L | 7.56 | 14.86 | 22.45 | 25.84 |
| 3000/L | 9.23 | 16.44 | 24.82 | 28.32 |
| 1:1 Water:Oxygen (9L water and 9L Oxygen) |  |  |  |  |
| Conirol | 3.80 | 8.14 | 13.20 | 18.51 |
| 1000/L | 5.82 | 10.29 | 13.86 | 16.99 |
| 2000/L | 8.96 | 16.75 | 21.84 | 24.93 |
| 3000/L | 10.24 | 15.88 | 22.84 | 27.50 |

Initial dissolved oxygen $8.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$, ammonia $0.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$.

Table 8.9 Result of analysis of variance and t-test of mortality in control and various stocking densities with water to oxygen ratio 1:2


Table 8.10 Result of analysis of variance and $t$-test of mortality in control and various stocking densities with water to oxygen ratio 1:1

| Source | df | SS | MSS | F | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bet. samples within samples | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1447.87 \\ & 1046.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 482.62 \\ 23.79 \end{array}$ | 20.29 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Total | 47 | 2494.57 |  |  |  |
| Combination | df | $t$ | P |  |  |
| Control X 1000LI | 18 | 2.568 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Control X 2000LI | 21 | 4.588 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Control $\times 3000 \mathrm{LI}$ | 21 | 10.281 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| 1000LI X 2000LI | 20 | 1.114 |  |  |  |
| 1000 $11 \times 3000 \mathrm{LI}$ | 16 | 4.464 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| 2000LI $\times 2000 \mathrm{~L} /$ | 19 | 3.996 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |

Table 8.11 Mean survival of the early post larvae of Macrobrachium rosenbergii packed in different coloured packing materials

Stocking @2000 larvae/l water: Oxygen 1:1 9L Water: 9L Oxygen

|  | 12 hr . | 24 hr . | 36 hr | 48 hr . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | 100.00 | 97.83 | 97.33 | 95.06 |
| Transparent | 94.51 | 92.30 | 79.64 | 74.25 |
| Green | 95.49 | 94.62 | 88.64 | 86.55 |
| Blue | 92.41 | 89.38 | 86.99 | 84.12 |
| Black | 98.89 | 97.55 | 93.17 | 91.16 |

Table 8.12 Water quality parameters recorded during the experiments with eally post larvae of Macrobrachium rosenbergii packed with different coloured packing materials.

Stocking @2000larvaell water: Oxygen 1:1 (9L Water: 9L Oxygen)
a) Dissolved oxygen

|  | 12 hr . | 24 hr . | 36 hr . | 48 hr . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control | 8.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.5 |
| Transparent | 7.1 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 4.8 |
| Green | 7.2 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 5.8 |
| Blue | 7.3 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 5.7 |
| Black | 7.3 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 5.8 |

b) pH

|  | 12 hr | 24 hr | 36 hr | 48 hr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Control | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.3 |
| Transparent | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.1 |
| Green | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.2 |
| Blue | 7.5 | 7.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| Black | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 7.1 |

c) Ammonia content

|  | 12 hr | 24 hr | 36 hr. | 48 hr |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 3.80 | 8.14 | 13.20 | 18.51 |
| Control | 8.96 | 16.75 | 21.84 | 24.93 |
| Transparent | 8.45 | 15.92 | 20.86 | 22.8 |
| Green | 8.68 | 14.56 | 19.82 | 21.45 |
| Blue | 8.32 | 14.53 | 16.37 | 20.96 |
| Black. |  |  | - |  |

Initial dissolved axygen $8.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{pH} 7.6$, ammonia $0.07 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$.

Table 8.13 Result of analysis of variance and t-test on mortality in control and various coloured containers

| Source | df | SS | MSS | F | Prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bet. samples within samples | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 55 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 632.47 \\ 1313.79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 158.12 \\ 23.89 \end{array}$ | 6.619 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |
| Total | 59 | 1946.26 |  |  |  |
| Combination | df | $t$ | P |  |  |
| Control $\times$ Transparent | 21 | 4.705 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Control x green | 21 | 4.815 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Control $\times$ blue | 22 | 4.307 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Control $x$ black | 22 | 3.766 | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ |  |  |
| Transparent $\times$ green | 19 | 0.282 |  |  |  |
| Transparent $\times$ blue | 20 | 0.068 |  |  |  |
| Transparent $\times$ black | 20 | 0.536 |  |  |  |
| green $\times$ blue | 21 | 0.425 |  |  |  |
| green $x$ black | 22 | 0.307 |  |  |  |
| blue x black | 22 | 0.445 |  |  |  |

# Chapter 9 <br> Two Phase Larval Rearing of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man) Adopting Clear Water System 

## Introduction

Larval culture of Macrobrachium rosenbergii (De Man) is being carried out by adopting different techniques (Ling,1969a; Fujimura and Okamoto, 1972; Malecha, 1983; Daniels et al., 1992). In India, the seed production of this species is being done on a semi commercial level by the modified closed clear water system (Sebastian, 1994). However, the average survival in successful runs in the above system is reported to be less than $20 \%$ and this could be attributed to the various problems associated with poor water quality management and primary failure in maintaining the tank hygiene which may eventually lead to the infestation of protozoans or bacteria. In the present study an attempt has been made to evolve a new management system for rearing the larvae of M.rosenbergii with slight modification of the clear water system (Aquacop, 1977;1983).

## Materials and Methods

Larval rearing experiments were conducted in round FRP tanks of two different capacities, ie $200-450 \mathrm{~L}$ and $400-1000 \mathrm{~L}$ having an inner smooth surface with oxford blue coloration, the former were used in phase I while the latter in phase II respectively. In phase I the rearing was continued for 10 days under opaque roofing where there was only low light intensity and thereafter the larvae have been serially transferred to the bigger tanks of phase II which
were kept under translucent roofing. Initial six runs were carried out by adopting the new management system successfully at the Macrobrachium hatchery of School of Industrial Fisheries. Later this system has been transferred to a commercial hatchery of Kerala from where results of 6 runs were incorporated. Mother prawns of M.rosenbergil in the weight range of 70 124 gm were collected from the Vembanad lake and transported and while reaching the hatchery quarantine measures were applied (New and Singholka, 1985). Hatching was performed in 5 ppt saline water in 50 L plastic buckets. Prezoeal stage larvae were stocked in tanks of phase I @ 80-130 larvae/l. Dupiicates were also kept along with all experiments. Salinity was maintained at $12 \pm 2 \mathrm{ppt}$ in both phases. Feeding was commenced from the second day by giving frozen Artemia nauplii and from the third day onwards, feed was particulated by mixing thelly' (Metapenaeus sp.) meat and egg and coagulated by steam cooking. Cod-liver oil and vitamins were also added and the feed was prepared into suitable size by passing through test sieves. Prepared suspension feed was given four times daily and live Artemia nauplii was fed one time at 8 pm (Adisukresno et al., 1980, Lin and Ishiwata, 1993 a\&b). Feed size used were $200 \mu$ ( 2 to 4 day), $400 \mu$ ( 5 to 10 day ), $600 \mu$ ( 11 to 24 day) and $800 \mu$ (24 day onwards). Daily observations on temperature, salinity, pH and ammonia were made following standard procedures (Strickland and Parsons,1972). In the evening bottom and sides of the larval culture tanks were thoroughly scrubbed in order to remove algae and other organic accumulations with the help of a sponge mop (Daniels et al.,1992), $50 \%$ of the rearing medium was exchanged with freshly prepared saline water of 12 ppt in phase I while in phase II $30 \%$ exchange was given. After ten days, the tanks of
the second phases were provided with PVC frames/webbing for facilitating larval settlement and the post larvae so settled were harvested in three to four batches from individual tanks. The population size in the first phase was recorded daily by taking multiples of 50 ml samples while in the second phase the survival was computed from the number of post larvae segregated from the individual tanks. The survival registered among various stocking densities were compared using ANOVA (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

## RESULTS

Variations of the physico-chemical parameters in 12 runs of larval rearing were as follows: temperature $23.8-29.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, salinity $13.5-14.5 \mathrm{ppt}, \mathrm{pH}$ 7.5-8.4 and ammonia 0.01-0.05 ppm. Details on initial stocking density, survival in phase I and II and overall percentage survival of the 12 runs are presented in Table 9.1. In Phase I, survival percentage varied from 49.09 to $92.5 \%(\mathrm{M}=72.54 \%)$ when the initial stocking density was maintained from 80 to 130 larvae $/$. In the phase II, it varied from 19.06 to $47.41 \%$ ( $\mathrm{M}-32.42 \%$ ) with a stocking rate of 27-48 larvae/l. And overall survival percentage of 14.88 to $36.75 \%(\mathrm{M}=23.00 \%)$ was thus obtained. All the runs were successfully completed without encountering any eventualities. Results of the ANOVA revealed that there was no significant difference in the survival percentages in phase I $(\mathrm{F}=2.254, \mathrm{P}>0.05)$, phase II $(\mathrm{F}=1.4411, \mathrm{P}>0.05)$ and overall $(\mathrm{F}=1.2875$, $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). The first and final settlements of post larvae were noticed on 22-24 and 33-36 days respectively and the number of post larvae produced varied from 7.5-18 /l(Table 9.1).

## DISCUSSION

Larval rearing of M.rosenbergii adopting clear water technique is considered to be an improvement over green water system and widely employed by incorporating minor modifications with varied levels of success. New and Singolka (1985) reported PL production in the range 10-20/L whereas according to Sandifer and Smith (1976) it varied from 6.6-40.6/L and the results of the present study are comparable with the above results. However, Aquacop $(1977,1983)$ could achieve a production of $32-60$ larvae/I in mass larval rearing in clear water rearing system while Malecha (1983) and Chineah (1982) reported it as 30 and 52 larvae/l respectively. The results of the present study while making comparison with the above is on a lower side. Suharto et al.(1982) could achieve a survival rate up to $84.6 \%$ when the larval raning was carried out in small FRP conical tanks of 50 L capacity with an initial stocking density of 200 larvae/ 1 and this is comparable with the survival rate registered in phase I of the present study in which 49.09 to $92.5 \%$ could be obtained with an initial stocking density of 80 to 130 larvae/l. However, in bigger tanks of phase II only 19.06 to 47.41 with a mean survival of $32.42 \%$ was achieved in the present study against $62.5 \%$ as reported by Suharto et al.(1982).

In India, green water and modified clear water systems are being adopted with different degrees of success in the seed production of M.rosenbergil in a semi commercial level. Although the production output in the commercial hatcheries in the successful runs is only around $20 \%$ with an
initial stocking density of $60 / 1$ which would work out to be 12.0 larvae/l (Sebastian and Nair, 1995), higher survival of $75 \%$ could also be obtained (Sebastian, 1994). In the conventional clear water closed larval rearing system being mostly adopted in India, the full cycle is completed in one and the same tank with or without a biofilter (Sebastian et al., 1993). Failures in production cycle in the commercial hatcheries of India either due to protozoan infestation and or bacterial infections (Sebastian, 1994; Rao and Tripathi, 1993) are regular features and these lead to erratic seed supply and therefore, none of the hatcheries could achieve the designated production capacity. On the contrary, all the 12 runs carried out by adopting the two phase system could successfully be completed without encountering the problem of total mortality and therefore the accomplishment of production cycles could be considered as an advantage over the prevailing system. It may therefore, be inferred that steady production could be accomplished in the two phase system mainly due to the changes made in the rearing tank after 10 days and also on account of water exchange @50 and $30 \%$ in the first and second phases respectively. The higher rate of water exchange favoured in maintaining not only a clear water but also a clean system free of excessive levels of heterotrophic bacteria and also characterized with minimum organic load in the culture tanks (Daniels et al., 1992) in contrast to the poor hygiene normally seen in the rearing tanks as well as rearing medium of conventional systems. Besides, the problem of severe fluctuations invariably observed in regard to pH , ammonia and nitrite in the conventional systems (Rao and Tripathi, 1993) could also be set right to certain extent in the present system as the sublethal levels especially of total ammonia and nitrite can reduce the growth rate of larvae and can also increase their
susceptibility to parasites and diseases (Daniels et al., 1992). The results of the present study also fully conform to Baticados et al. (1990) who concluded that prevention of disease through rigorous water management and sanitation was the best methodology for the control of pathogens.

The time taken for a larval batch to metamorphose varies according to the efficiency maintained with regard to water quality management and feeding and in properly maintained systems, most of the larvae should have metamorphosed into post larvae by day 25-28 (New and Singolka, 1985). In the present study the first and final settlement of the post larvae were observed on the days of 22-24 and 33-36 respectively. However, the settlement of about $60-75 \%$ of post larvae could be observed by days $27-30$ and therefore appears that the system is almost comparable with the one as envisaged by New and Singolka(1985). Sandifer and Smith (1976) reported the first and final settlement days as 20-24 and 34-45 days respectively and this in comparison with the present finding shows that the duration of the final settlement is very lengthy in the former. On the contrary, the appearance of the post larvae on day 19 has been reported (Aquacop,1983) against day 22 observed in the present study. Nair and Hameed (1992) reported the initial and final settling days as 24-30 and 36-51 when rearing was accomplished in synthetic sea water. It would thus appear that in the presently evolved two phase system, the time taken for the metamorphosis of larvae and its completion were also relatively short in comparison with other reports (Sandifer and Smith. 1976; Nair and Hameed, 1992) and therefore it would be advantageous in making the operations economically more viable when compared to other conventional
systems. Nevertheless, the water requirement of this system is very high, especially when compared to the clear water closed system and therefore the two phase larval rearing system can be recommended to only those hatcheries where both sea water and freshwater are available to the desired level. By fixing the rearing duration in first and second phases as 10 and 30 days respectively, a tank ratio of $1: 3$ could be maintained serially whereby it would be possible to accomplish at least 18 full runs per annum. On completion of every 10 day the larvae of the first phase tanks can serially be transferred into the tanks of phase II where the rest of the cycle can be completed within another 30 days. The tanks of phase I so emptied shall be thoroughly cleaned, disinfected and sun dried with a period of 3-4 days and used for the stocking of the successive batches. It would thus be possible to maintain continuous production provided there are sufficient stocking material at the changing phases and a management system so evolved shall be useful in utilizing the full tank capacity and achieving the designated capacity of the hatchery: As there was no difference in the survival percentages under different stocking densities studied in phase I, it may be possible for further enhancement of stocking densities to $500-700 / 1$ as suggested by Rao and Tripathi(1993). Appropriate modifications can be made in the two phase rearing system so evolved based on evaluation of the results gathered in the preliminary trials whereby the post larval production may be further improved.


| Experimental Protocol | $1{ }^{+\cdots}$ | 2** | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stocking density* | 80 |  | 90 |  | 100 |  | 110 |  | 120 |  | 130 |  |
| First Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial stocking density * | 80 | 80 | 90 | 90 | 100 | 100 | 110 | 110 | 120 | 120 | 130 | 130 |
| Final density* | 74 | 58 | 72 | 70 | 80 | 92 | 54 | 74 | 72 | 86 | 96 | 70 |
| Sunival (\%) | 92.50 | 72.50 | 80.00 | 77.78 | 80.00 | 92.00 | 49.09 | 67.27 | 60.00 | 71.67 | 73.85 | 53.85 |
| Average survival (\%) | 72.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Second phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial stocking density * | 37 | 29 | 36 | 35 | 40 | 46 | 27 | 37 | 36 | 43 | 48 | 35 |
| Total post larvae produced (No..liter) | 9.63 | 7.50 | 8.11 | 10.00 | 12.50 | 18.38 | 12.80 | 14.85 | 10.21 | 16.37 | 9.15 | 14.63 |
| Second phase survival (\%) | 26.01 | 25.86 | 22.53 | 28.57 | 31.25 | 39.95 | 47.41 | 40.14 | 28.37 | 38.06 | 19.06 | 41.79 |
| Average survival (\%) | 32.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First appearance of post larvae (in days) | 24 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Completion of larval settlement (in days) | 33 | 36 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 36 | 34 |
| Overall survival (\%) | 24.08 | 18.75 | 18.02 | 22.22 | 25.00 | 36.76 | 23.27 | 27.00 | 17.02 | 27.28 | 14.08 | 22.51 |
| Average surviva' (\%) | 23.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^19]Chapter 10

## Effect of container colouration on the larval culture of Macrobrachium rosenbergii.

## Introduction

A positively skewed size distribution curve with time is an inherent character of natural as well as domesticated population of Macrobrachium rosenbergit, especially in males. From zoea I onwards, the larvae showed variation in relative growth (Malecha, 1983; Howlader and Kiortsis, 1978; Sankaran and Nair, 1992) and therefore non-uniformity in larval moulting might have caused difference in growth and heterogeneity in size of post larvae which were initially categorised as 'jumpers' and 'laggards' (Karplus and Hulata, 1995) and subsequently as 'morphotypes' in grownup population (Kuris et al., 1987). The hatching from a clutch over a period of $96-\mathrm{hrs}$. leads to an initial dispersal in the number of larval stages (Malecha, 1983). Skinner (1985) stated that larval stages in some crustaceans moult very frequently, passing from one proecdysis to the next without an intervening anecdysis when they are growing in optimal environmental conditions. In M.rosenbergil, uniform moulting cannot be observed from a mass culture system due to the variation in general health and well being and therefore, uniformity in moulting stage can be taken as a criterion for predicting post larval production from a larval rearing cycle (Howlader and Kiortsis, 1979; Sankaran and Nair, 1992). Under controlled conditions the transition from a free swimming larva to crawling adult like post larva takes place over a period of 15 days (Ling, 1969a) and it may extend up to 36 to 42 days in M.rosenbergii (Suharto et al., 1982; Adisukresno et al., 1980). The difference in the time taken for the completion
of larval stages can mainly be attributed to the effects of different physicochemical parameters on growth and moulting (Malecha, 1983).

The progression of larval metamorphosis (Malecha, 1983; Sankaran and Nair, 1992) with respect to varying physico-chemical parameters of the rearing medium is yet to be reorted. The light intensity induces excitation and adversely affect the feeding of larva of M.rosenbergii (Lin and Omori, 1993) however, the optimal spectral quality required for the successful larval development is quite unknown (New, 1995). Against this background an investigation was carried out to assess the effect of different container colouration on the survival and metamorphosis of the larvae of M.rosenbergii. The results of the present study will be useful for the selection of tanks with appropriate colouration for the commercial level seed production of M.rosenbergii.

## Materials and Methods

Larval rearing experiments were undertaken by using different coloured plastic and FRP containers having an effective capacity of 50 litre, following standard methods (Rao and Tripathi, 1993) at the prawn iatchery of the School of Industrial Fisheries. Larvae were stocked @50 larvae per litre and fed with newly hatched Artemia nauplii and egg-prawn custard (Rao and Tripathi, 1993; Sebastian and Nair, 1995). Colour of the tanks used were green, light blue, red, white, deep blue, dull white and black. Light intensity of different coloured tank was measured on a bright day at 2.00 PM using Lutron LX-101 Lux Meter and the results are presented in Table 10.1 for differentiating the light intensity in different containers. Samples of 25 animals
were randomly collected on every $5^{\text {th }}$ day from the tanks till completion of the larval metamorphosis.

11 larval stages were identified following the morphological variation before transforming into post larvae as described by Ling (1969a) and Uno and Kwon (1969) and recently by Malecha (1983), New and Singholka (1985) and Rao and Tripathy (1993). Frequency of distribution of larval stages in different coloured tanks were assessed on a daily basis and from the data so obtained the mean stage and standard deviation were worked out and presented. Since the PL were not further classified, a decrease in the mean deviation could be seen after $25^{\text {th }}$ day and therefore mean deviation and coefficient of variation (Gupta, 1981) of larval stages up to $25^{\text {th }}$ day were subjected to discussion. The initial appearance of post larvae ('scout PL time', Malecha, 1983), completion of metamorphosis of $>95 \%$ larvae ( $95 \%$ PL drop time, Malecha, 1983) and the percentage survival were recorded from each tank. Performance of different coloured containers were compared with respect to survival, scout PL time, $95 \%$ PL drop time and uniformity in larval stages.

## Results

During the larval rearing period, temperature of the rearing medium varied from $25-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, however, at the observation time the variations in different tanks were below $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Maximum pH recorded during the rearing period was 8.2 from black coloured tank while, lowest of 7.3 was recorded in deep blue tank.

The experiments were conducted in duplicate and the days at which the initial and final settlement were reported in different coloured tanks are presented in Table 10.2. The rate of metamorphosis and survival recorded in different coloured containers are given in Table 10.3. Survival of the larvae recorded from various tanks with different colours are given in Table 10.3 and Fig. 10.1. Final survival was highest in red tank (34.12\%) followed by deep blue ( $26.80 \%$ ) followed by black ( $18.56 \%$ ) and green tanks ( $18.52 \%$ ) whereas, survival was relatively poor in dull white tank (12.52\%), white tanks (12.80\%) and light blue ( $13.88 \%$ ).

Significant variation could be noticed in respect of time taken for the first appearance of post larvae and completion of larval metamorphosis in different coloured containers (Table 10.2). Post larvae appeared first in deep blue and light blue coloured tanks on $22^{\text {nd }}$ day whereas, it was delayed up to $24^{\text {th }}$ day in white, dull white and black coloured tanks. Time taken for the $95 \%$ PL drop time varied from $36^{\text {th }}$ to $42^{\text {nd }}$ day in different containers (Table 10.2).

Post larval stage was found to be the median stage at $35^{\text {th }}$ day of culture in green, deep blue and black tanks showing faster rate of metamorphosis (Table 10.3). On the contrary, in white, light blue and dull white tanks, $11^{\text {th }}$ stage larva was found to be the median stage on $35^{\text {th }}$ day which indicated that the rate of metamorphosis was very slow. The progression of mean stages were faster in deep blue, black and red tanks when compared to other colours studied (Table 10.3).

Invariably in all the tanks, standard deviation showed an increasing trend up to $20-25^{\text {th }}$ day showing an initial increase in the dispersal of larval stages in the population, however, a decreasing trend could be observed
subsequently (Table 10.3). Coefficient of Variation showed a gradual decrease in light blue, deep blue, white and green tanks whereas an initial increase and a subsequent decrease was observed in black, dull white and red tanks (Table 10.3, Fig. 10.2). Mean deviation of larval stages showed an increasing trend up to $15^{\text {th }}$ day except in dull white in which a decreasing trend could be discernible after $10^{\text {th }}$ day (Table 10.3, Fig. 10.3).

## Discussion

Salinity was maintained at 12 ppt which was found to be optimal for the larval rearing of M.rosenbergii (Ling, 1969a; Rao and Tripathi, 1993) while, fluctuation of temperature and pH were found to be within tolerable limits (New and Singholka, 1985; Rao and Tripathi, 1993) in the rearing medium. Extreme temperature and pH were not observed in any of the tanks during the present study. It may therefore, be inferred that the variation encountered in the survival and metamorphosis cannot be attributed to adverse physico-chemical parameters of the rearing environment. The difference observed in the larval survival and metamorphosis in different containers may be due to the difference in the light intensity variation observed in the tanks against the colour variations of the tank.

All the 11 larval stages described by Uno and Kwon (1969) could easily be identified following the descriptions of New and Singholka (1985), Malecha (1983) and Rao and Tripathi (1993). Gomez-Diaz and Kasahara (1987) described six new zoeal instars besides 11 instars which have already been described. Since the morphological distinction of additionally reported
stages lack clarity and therefore, in the present study, these stages were not given proper emphasis.

In the present study, survival of the larvae varied from 12.52 to $34.12 \%$ and the post larvae so obtained was in the range 6.26 to 17.03 per litre. This is favourably comparable with the report of New (1988) from Thailand where a post larval production of $10-20 / 1$ could be obtained when an initial stocking density of $30-50 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{l}$ was maintained. However, the post-larval production/litre in the present experiments was much low when compared to Chineah (1982) and Suharto et al. (1982). An inverse relationship could be obtained when survival was correlated with light intensity ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.599 ; \mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) which showed a relatively good survival in diffused light. Invariably, survival was highest in red and deep blue coloured tanks in which the light intensity was very low when compared to other coloured tanks studied (Table 10.3). This is favourably comparable with New (1995) from Thailand who reported that good results were obtained in tanks covered to minimise the bright light in backyard hatcheries.
'Scout PL time' was found to fall in a narrow range between 22 to 24 days whereas ' $95 \%$ PL drop time' varies considerably from 36 to 42 days. The scout PL time was comparable with Sandifer and Smith (1979), Nair and Hameed (1992). On the contrary, the $95 \%$ PL drop time was found comparatively low in the present study. $95 \%$ PL drop time in deep blue coloured tanks was 36 days and this was found to be comparatively earlier when compared to other coloured tanks. But white tanks have shown a lengthy $95 \%$ PL drop time of 42 days, besides having low survival and less uniformity in larval metamorphosis, and therefore it can reasonably be assumed that this
colour is not at all suitable for larval culture of M.rosenbergii. This may be due to the higher light intensity in the white tanks which may lead to lower feeding rate and consequent growth retardation as suggested by Lin and Omori (1993). The $95 \%$ PL drop time was found to be relatively lower in dark containers which fully agrees with the observation of Lin and Omori (1993).

With the progression of larval metamorphosis, an increase in mean deviation could be noticed, corresponding to the dispersal seen in the number of larval stages (Table 10.3). This is well in agreement with Malecha (1983) who reported that the larval stage frequency is skewed right with the advancement of rearing. A marked variation in the mean deviation in different coloured containers could be discernible and this can be taken as an index of variation due to the effect of container colouration on the frequency of larval moulting which may ultimately result in the spread of number of stages.

Coefficient of variation of larval stages showed a decreasing trend with the progression of larval stages. This is at variance with the observation of Sankaran and Nair (1992) who reported a gradual increase in coefficient of variation with the progression of larval rearing. A decreasing trend observed in the coefficient of variation may be due to the higher mortality rate, which may in turn remove the unhealthy larvae. Sankaran and Nair (1992) are of the view that the increase in the number of larval stages was due the occurrence of unhealthy larvae, which will not moult as frequently as the healthy larvae. Coefficient of variation also showed a negative correlation with light intensity ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.639 ; \mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) and was found to be significant, which clearly shows that light intensity and spectral quality have profound influence on the larval metamorphosis in controlled conditions. While comparing the stages appeared
on $30^{\text {th }}$ day in different tanks, it could be seen that in the present study the larvae were in more advanced stages against the presence of very earlier stages in the culture as reported by Sankaran and Nair (1992) which can be attributed to the selective mortality of the lower stages in the present study. This is further supported by the lower coefficient of variation. Coefficient of variation, which can be taken as an indication of uniformity in larval stages, showed highest uniformity after $25^{\text {th }}$ day in deep blue tank, which further confirms its suitability for larval rearing. Whereas, white tank showed an inferior performance in respect of uniformity of larval stages.

Intensity of light inversely affect the feeding of larvae (Lin and Omori, 1993) which may influence the timely moulting and ultimately lead to differential growth. The physiological effects on the larvae when exposed to varying light intensity and spectral quality are not yet fully unravelled. Dark coloured tanks showed a superior performance with respect to survival, scout PL time, $95 \%$ PL drop and hence, are found to be suitable for larval rearing of M.rosenbergii. It may, therefore, be inferred that the selection of different coloured tanks for seed production is an important criteria for the successful and viable operation of commercial hatcheries. The results of the present study showed that deep blue coloured containers are relatively very efficient in getting more post larvae and therefore suitable for larval rearing of M.rosenbergil followed by red and black tanks, on the contrary, white, dull white and light blue coloured tanks showed inferior performance in post larval production. It would therefore be advisable to avoid tanks with white and light blue colouration for the larval culture of Mrosenbergii in commercial hatcheries.

Table 10.1 Light intensity recorded in rearing tanks having different colouration at 2 PM on a bright day

| Location | Intensity <br> (Lux) |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1. Hatchery Premises |  |
| Outside the hatchery | 8,450 |
| Inside the hatchery | 3,750 |
| 2. Tanks |  |
| Green | 1,050 |
| Light blue | 1,200 |
| Red | 990 |
| White | 3,000 |
| Deep blue | 970 |
| Dull white | 2,800 |
| Black | 890 |

Table 10.2 Effect of container colouration on initial and final settlement of post larvae of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Tanks | Initial Settlement <br> (Days from starting) | Final Settlement <br> (Days from starting) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Green | 23 | 38 |
| Light blue | 22 | 39 |
| Red | 23 | 37 |
| White | 24 | 42 |
| Deep blue | 22 | 36 |
| Dull white | 24 | 39 |
| Black | 24 | 37 |

Table 10.3 Effect of container colouration on larval metamorphosis and survival of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

| Green tank | 5th day | 10th day | 15th day | 20th day | 25th day | 30th day | 35th day | 38th day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lowest stage | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Highest stage | 5 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean stage | 3.05 | 4.92 | 7.11 | 8.42 | 9.63 | 10.43 | 11.56 | 12.00 |
| Median | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 8.5 | 10.0 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.69 | 1.12 | 1.59 | 1.56 | 1.64 | 134 | 0.73 | 0.00 |
| Standard Error of Mean | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| Skewness | 1.02 | -0.81 | -0.31 | -0.32 | -0.44 | -0.27 | -1.50 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | 22.50 | 22.66 | 22.44 | 18.51 | 17.01 | 12.87 | 6.29 | 0.00 |
| Mean Devation | 0.35 | 0.72 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.29 | 1.14 | 0.44 | - |
| Survival (\%) | 93.21 | 87.55 | 83.21 | 62.18 | 48.44 | 36.12 | 23.68 | 18.52 |
| Light Blue tank | 5th day | 10th day | 1519 day | 20th day | 25th day | 30th day | 35th day | 39hday |
| Lowest stage | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| Highest stage | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean stage | 307 | 5.21 | 6.89 | 7.90 | 8.50 | 9.58 | 11.13 | 12.00 |
| Median | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 8.5 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.73 | 0.92 | 1.29 | 1.33 | 1.53 | 1.39 | 0.92 | 0.00 |
| Standard Error of Mean | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| Skewness | 1.27 | -0.46 | -0.66 | -0.39 | -0.32 | 0.17 | -0.94 | - |
| Coefficient of Varation | 23.77 | 17.61 | 18.66 | 16.88 | 18.03 | 14.48 | 8.22 | 0.00 |
| Mean Deviation | 0.36 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.11 | 0.67 | - |
| Survival (\%) | 91.47 | 74.21 | 68.56 | 54.44 | 38.21 | 21.22 | 16.50 | 13.88 |
| Red tank | 5th day | 10th day | 15th day | 20th day | 25th day | 30th day | 35th day | 37th day |
| Lowest stage | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Highest stage | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean stage | 3.13 | 4.61 | 6.13 | 7.78 | 9.36 | 10.86 | 11.42 | 12.00 |
| Median | 3.0 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 11.5 | 12.0 |
| Standard Deviation | 080 | 1.20 | 1.65 | 1.66 | 1.59 | 1.20 | 0.67 | 0.00 |
| Standard Eror of Mean | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 0.00 |
| Skewness | 0.69 | -0.54 | -0.53 | -0.81 | -0.05 | -0.86 | -0.74 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | 25.52 | 25.95 | 26.94 | 21.40 | 17.01 | 11.01 | 5.86 | 0.00 |
| Mean Deviation | 0.46 | 0.91 | 1.38 | 1.22 | 1.29 | 0.90 | 0.58 | - |
| Survival (\%) | 91.12 | 87.62 | 73.11 | 60.42 | 49.74 | 44.68 | 38.59 | 34.12 |
| White tank | 5th day | 10th day | 45th day | 20th day | 25th day | 30th day | 35th day | 40th day |
| Lowest stage | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 19 |
| Highest stage | 5 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean stage | 2.88 | 4.68 | 6.33 | 7.04 | 8.00 | 9.91 | 11.06 | 11.75 |
| Median | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.93 | 1.25 | 1.71 | 1.60 | 1.72 | 1.41 | 1.00 | 0.45 |
| Standard Error of Mean | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.13 |
| Skewness | 0.26 | -0.31 | -0.65 | -0.07 | 0.56 | -0.05 | -0.60 | -1.33 |
| Coefficient of Vanation | 32.18 | 26.69 | 26.98 | 22.74 | 21.51 | 14.24 | 9.02 | 3.85 |
| Mean Deviation | 0.59 | 0.96 | 1.33 | 1.29 | 1.31 | 1.09 | 0.81 | - |
| Survival (\%) | 86.72 | 75.11 | 52.73 | 32.11 | 22.96 | 20.20 | 16.41 | 12.80 |

Table 10.3 (Contd.)

| Deep Blue tank | 5th day | 10th day | 15th day | 20th day | 25th day | 30th day | 35th day | 36th day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lowest stage | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 12 |
| Highest stage | 6 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean stage | 335 | 520 | 676 | 8.60 | 963 | 1085 | 1173 | 1200 |
| Median | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.99 | 1.11 | 1.44 | $\dagger .54$ | 1.30 | 0.99 | 0.47 | 0.00 |
| Standard Error of Mean | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| Skewness | 1.37 | -0.44 | -0.68 | -0.69 | 0.10 | -0.26 | -1.19 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | 29.50 | 21.25 | 21.25 | 1786 | 13.50 | 9.10 | 3.98 | 0.00 |
| Mean Deviation | 0.55 | 0.80 | 1.06 | 1.20 | 1.00 | 0.77 | 0.27 | - |
| Survival (\%) | 85.46 | 77.92 | 71.44 | 61.52 | 52.93 | 42.55 | 31.98 | 26.80 |
| Dull White Tank | 5th day | 10th day | 15th day | 20th day | 25th day | 30th day | 35th day | 39th day |
| Lowest stage | $\uparrow$ | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Highest stage | 4 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean stage | 2.71 | 4.61 | 6.54 | 7.16 | 8.76 | 9.87 | 11.15 | 12.00 |
| Median | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.73 | 1.34 | 1.39 | 1.30 | 1.48 | 1.19 | 0.80 | 0.00 |
| Standard Eror of Mean | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 030 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.00 |
| Skewness | -0.89 | 0.06 | -0.09 | 0.52 | 0.33 | 0.59 | -0.31 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | 26.76 | 29.07 | 21.28 | 18.19 | 96.89 | 12.03 | 718 | 0.00 |
| Mean Deviation | 0.43 | 1.09 | 1.08 | 1.00 | 1.06 | 0.93 | 0.63 | - |
| Survival (\%) | 87.22 | 81.44 | 63.21 | 42.77 | 34.56 | 29.27 | 18.16 | 12.52 |
| Black tank | 5th day | 10th day | 15:h day | 20th day | 25th day | 30th day | 35th day | 37th day |
| Lowest stage | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Highest stage | 4 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mean stage | 3.00 | 5.33 | 6.48 | 6.96 | 8.75 | 10.53 | 11.50 | 12.00 |
| Median | 3.0 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.60 | 1.11 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.48 | 1.12 | 0.63 | 0.00 |
| Standard Error of Mean | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.30 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.16 | 0.00 |
| Skewness | 0.00 | -0.41 | -0.64 | -0.12 | 0.26 | -0.47 | -0.90 | - |
| Coefficient of Variation | 20.10 | 2086 | 24.23 | 22.61 | 16.94 | 10.68 | 5.50 | 0.00 |
| Mean Deviation | 0.33 | 0.87 | 1.19 | 1.25 | 1.15 | 0.89 | 0.50 | $-$ |
| Survival (\%) | 99.75 | 76.42 | 68.25 | 61.54 | 43.79 | 35.66 | 26.22 | 18.56 |



Fig. 10.1 Survival of larvae of Macrobrachium rosenbergii in different coloured containers

Fig 10.2 Coefficient of variation of larval stages of Macrobrachium rosenbergii during the rearing period in different coloured containers

Fig. 10.3 Mean deviation of larval stages of Macrobrachium rosenbergii during the rearing period in different coloured containers

Summary

## Summary

Freshwater prawns of the genus Macrobrachium support a lucrative fishery in the inland water bodies of India, especially in Vembanad lake and adjoining rivers. Moreover, commercial farming of this group has been developing as a major industry on a global basis ever since the realisation of scientific techniques in seed production and innovative farming. Human interventions in the Vembanad lake of south-west coast of India, have brought out serious alterations in the ecology which in turn has adversely affected the exploited prawn fishery resource of this water body. Major conjectures exist behind the depletion of stock of freshwater prawns are the reduction brought about in the extent of its natural habitat owing to the intensification of agriculture, physical obstruction imposed on the migratory path of females, overfishing and pollution hazards. Recently, there has been a renewed interest is seen in the production of Macrobrachium rosenbergii by resorting to scientific farming in Kerala in general and polders adjacent to Vembanad lake in particular. Major constraints presently met with in the commercial farming of $M$. rosenbergii are the non-availability of seed in required numbers at right time and the highly skewed size disparity problems inherent in males of this species due to the differential growth associated with the developmental profile of male morphotypes and their dynamics of interaction.

No concerted attempts have so far been made to explicate the pattern of distribution of Macrobrachium spp. in Vembanad lake and also to understand the bearing of various physico-chemical parameters on the pattern of distribution. The biological and biochemical variations among different male
morphotypes of $M$. rosenbergii inhabiting the natural ecosystem are hitherto unknown. M. striatus has been conferred with a distinct species status quite recently, though earlier workers considered this only as a striped variety of $M$. equidens, and therefore the biology of this species remained unravelled. Although the seed production of $M$. rosenbergii became a reality, none of the hatcheries could so far been attained the designated production capacity due to the uncertainty met with the larval rearing cycles. Brood stock rearing technique has not been developed so far by giving adequate attention to variations shown by the male morphotypes in their reproductive capability. Against these backgrounds, an indepth investigation on the systematics and distribution of Macrobrachium spp. inhabiting Vembanad lake was attempted while bionomics of Mrosenbergii and M.striatus were studied in detail whereas, investigations on various aspects of seed production were focused only on M.rosenbergii.

A detailed survey was conducted at 29 selected stations representing 13 zones of the Vembanad lake and adjoining rivers to study the occurrence of various Macrobrachium spp. and characterise them allometrically giving due emphasis to morphotypic differentiation seen in M.rosenbergii. Six species under the genus Macrobrachium have been identified such as M.rosenbergii, M.idella, M.equidens, M.striatus, M.scabriculum and M. rude. Only males of M.rude could be collected during the present study and its availability could be recorded only from a single station. Morphotypes of males and females of M.rosenbergii could be identified in the exploited stock. An identification key was prepared on the basis of easily measurable and clearly distinguishable morphological characters.

Size structure of various male and female morphotypes of M.rosenbergii and their transitional stages collected from the Vembanad lake showed a considerable overlapping when compared to domesticated population. Total lengths of t-SOC and WBC ranged between 106 to 293 mm and 122 and 286 mm respectively showing distinct heterogeneous nature of size structure. Body dimensions showed linearity in all the male morphotypes except OBC whereas, among females all body dimensions of only TOF and SBF showed linearity while many of the relationships in WOF, SOF, and WBF were found to be non-linear.

Most of the morphometric measurements of $M$. rosenbergii, $M$. idella, M. equidens and $M$. striatus showed linearity, on the contrary, in M.scabriculum and M.rude a non-linear relationship could be discernible. Comparison of regression coefficients of relationship between rostral length, length of ischium, merus, carpus and total length of $2^{\text {nd }}$ cheliped to total length and carapace length revealed that there exists species specific variation in growth of various body parts among the various species of Macrobrachium inhabiting Vembanad lake.

Sexual dimorphism in Macrobrachium spp. was quantified and the results show that among various species, M.scabriculum ( $\mathrm{D}=3.285, \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ) showed maximum morphological difference between the sexes. Morphometric differences among different species was also brought out using $\mathrm{D}^{2}$ analysis and the results revealed that difference between M.rosenbergii and other species was very high.

Vembanad lake has all the characteristics of a tropical positive estuary and this water body receives influx of freshwater from four major river
systems. Variation of temperature, salinity, pH and DO from 13 zones of the lake were recorded with a view to delineate the bearing of these parameters on the distribution and abundance of the Macrobrachium spp. in 29 stations representing the above zones of the lake. The commissioning and operation of Thanneermukkom salinity barrier caused severe alterations in the ecology as the lake has got separated into southern freshwater region and northem brackish water region. Surface temperature varied from 25 to $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the study period with highest temperature in March-April, however, it showed a steep decrease in July due to monsoon.

Highest salinity was recorded in March -April period in downstream region proximal to bar mouth, whereas, with the onset of monsoon the entire lake has transformed into freshwater body. The salinity intrusions to the upper stretch of the lake is well restricted due to the operation of salinity barrier and the highest salinity recorded from this region was only 8 ppt . Dissolved oxygen content ranged between 3.16 to $10.64 \mathrm{ml} / 1$ and generally low DO values were registered in most of the stations during pre-monsoon while in monsoon period higher values of DO could be recorded.

Macrobrachium spp. were found to be abundant in the downstream area during July to January, when the salinity was low, whereas, in the upstream area, their occurrence was registered in almost all months. Presence of M.rosenbergii could be seen in almost all stations of the lake with distinct peaks in monsoon and post-monsoon seasons. On the contrary, M.idella, which is the second commercially important species of Vembanad lake was available almost year round in downstream region though the salinity fluctuation in this part of the lake was invariably high. M.equidens showed a regular occurrence in the
'padal' catches during October to May periods, when salinity was high and also a positive correlation existed between its abundance and salinity which indicates that this species prefers high saline conditions as the habitat. A differential occurrence of $M$. equidens and M. striatus could be noticed during the present study in which the dominance of latter could be seen from June to November whereas the former was found abundant during December to May. This differential availability can be taken as an ecological tool for establishing their distinct taxonomic identity.
M.scabriculum does not constitute a subsistence or commercial level fishery in the Vembanad lake. This species showed more or less a regular occurrence in the southern part of the upstream region, however its occurrence in downstream region was well restricted to monsoon season and therefore would suggest that M.scabriculum is a pure freshwater species.

While examining the species abundance in a selected station at Kumbalam, it could be seen that highest number of Macrobrachium spp. were registered during October while it was lowest in May. Species richness was highest in January (0.830) and December ( 0.828 ) when the presence of all the species identified from the lake could be registered in the catches. Evenness index was maximum in April and May during when only Midella and Mequidens were present and their numerical abundance was also appeared to be more or less similar. Highest Shannon Diversity Index could be registered in December ( 0.847 ) showing highest diversity of freshwater prawn community in the lake in this month.

Qualitative analysis of gut contents of Mrosenbergii and Mistriatus showed that both the species are bottom feeders. M.rosenbergil showed a
preference to detritus while M.striatus preferred plant matter. The presence of animal matter as well as plant matter in the gut of M.rosenbergii revealed its omnivorous feeding nature. Both M.rosenbergii and M.striatus showed no specific seasonal variation in their food preference. In females of both the species a reduced feeding rate with the advancement of maturation could be observed. Gut content of SOC showed a higher percentage of animal matter and the gastrosomatic index was also high in this morphotype and this finding would lend to support the fast growing nature of this morphotype.

Gastrosomatic index of M.rosenbergii showed highest value in June 1994 in the first year whereas, in the second year it was in May 1995. In both the years, Gastrosomatic index of males and females showed a decreasing trend from May-June to December-January. Among the male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii studied, highest Gastrosomatic index was recorded in strong orange clawed males (SOC) (3.585) whereas among females, immature females (4.479) showed highest Gastrosomatic index. In males of M. striatus no such variation in gastrosomatic index could be seen and moreover the trend also showed no similarity between the two years, on the contrary, in females a gradual decrease could be observed from June to August and thenceforth increased up to March.

Among the females of both the species, five maturity stages were identified viz. immature, maturing, matured, berried and spent. Berries of $M$. rosenbergii were further divided into four stages based on the extent of embryonic development whereas, such variation in the development of M.striatus was not perceptible. In M.rosenbergii males cannot be classified into maturity stages on the basis of length groups as the morphotypes showed
difference in their reproductive capability. Three maturity stages were identified among males of M.striatus viz. immature, maturing and matured based on total length, nature of second cheliped and development of testis. By observing the percentage occurrence of males and females as well as different maturity stages and gonadosomatic index, the breeding season of M.rosenbergii and M.striatus were demarcated as July to December and September to January respectively. Numerical abundance of reproductively inactive morphotypes during the commencement of breeding season and a subsequent increase in the number of reproductively active morphotypes of M.rosenbergii along with the progression of the breeding season clearly manifests the possibility of morphotypic transformation undergone by males in the natural population. All the female morphotypes were found to be sexually active except small female and from the percentage occurrence of various maturity stages in different morphotypes it is postuiated that unlike in males, morphotypic expression seen in females are manifestation of age rather than reproductive potential.

In both M.rosenbergii and M.idella, variations in GSI and HSI were prominent in females when compared to their male counterparts. HSI of females of both the species showed an inverse relationship with GSI. In males and females of M.rosenbergii GSI showed a gradual increase from April to October in both the years of study, on the contrary, HSI of males showed higher values during July to November in 1994 and in July and September-November in 1995. Among male morphotypes of M.rosenbergii, highest GSI was recorded in strong blue clawed males (SBC) (0.445), on the contrary, HSI was highest in SOC (6.351) manifesting higher reproductive capability of the former against the provision for higher somatic growth rate in the latter. A gradual increase in

GSI could be observed in both M.rosenbergii and M.idella commensurate with the advancement of embryonic development and this finding would suggest the possibility of rematuration of the ovary in the same season and therefore it is inferred that this species is characterised with more than one spawning in a breeding season.

Fecundity recorded in $M$. rosenbergii was found to fall in the range of 30,666 to $2,27,161$ eggs per animal for the specimens ranging in size from 33.7 to 208.0 g , whereas that of $M$. striatus it varied between 1,175 and 9,625 in specimens ranging in size from 1.88 to 8.02 g . Average fecundity was estimated to be 95,687 eggs and 9,625 in M.rosenbergii and M.striatus respectively. No significant variation could be noticed in the relative fecundity among different berry colour and different morphotypes however, the variation was significant among various length groups. In M.striatus also significant variation could be observed in the relative fecundity of different length groups. In both the species fecundity showed strong positive correlation with total length, carapace length and total weight and therefore, these characters can reliably be used for the indirect estimation of fecundity. The number of eggs per unit body length, carapace length and body weight was calculated as 447,1623 , and 896 in and
M.rosenbergii and 66, $229{ }_{\wedge} 1033$ in M.striatus.

A specific pattern in the seasonal availability of Mrosenbergii could be discernible in all the three regions of the Vembanad lake which indicates the migratory nature of the stock. Females evinced a distinct migratory path when compared to males whereas, only a small portion of the male population was found to be migrating far down along with females. Sex ratio of Mrosenbergii in the Vembanad lake skewed considerably during
different months with a predominance of males from April-May to June-July and thenceforth females dominated the catch. Females outnumbered males when the overall sex ratio was worked out with a male to female ratio of 1:1.33 in $M$. rosenbergii and 1:1.29 in M. striatus. Return migration of the breeding stocks through Muvattupuzha and Pampa rivers were noticed during DecemberJanuary periods. Occurrence of a resident stock of M.rosenbergil was delineated in the upstream region where salinity was invariably very low. Length frequency distribution of the population in three regions of the lake showed almost similar pattern with $180-200 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $200-220 \mathrm{~mm}$ as modal groups in males and females respectively. A regular occurrence of matured males and females of M.striatus at Kumbalam may be due to the higher salinity profile registered from this region.

A clear and specific variation in the biochemical composition viz. protein, carbohydrate, lipid, DNA and RNA were observed in the muscle tissue, hepatopancreas and gonads of male morphotypes of $M$. rosenbergii. Significant difference could be noticed in protein, DNA and RNA contents in muscle tissue, carbohydrate and RNA content in hepatopancreas and DNA and RNA content in gonads of various male morphotypes. Highest values of DNA and RNA were recorded from SOC and $\mathfrak{t - S O C}$ whereas the least were in WBC and SM. The moisture and protein contents were found to be lower in hepatopancreas than in muscle. Faster somatic growth in SOC can well be explained with the help of higher values noticed in the protein, DNA and RNA content of muscle tissue. Carbohydrate content of hepatopancreas of SOC and t -SOC showed a significant variation from SBC which manifest the structural and functional variations of hepatopancreas of OC and BC of M.rosenbergii
commensurate with the respective growth and reproductive stages represented by these morphotypes. A clear and specific variation in biochemical contents in different male morphotypes of $M$. rosenbergii could be brought out in the present study and this would manifest the possibility of biochemical characterisation of male morphotypes and therefore the results of the present study would immensely be useful in providing biochemical explanation for morphotypic differentiation among male population of M. rosenbergii.

In the experiments conducted to evaluate the reproductive potential of various male morphotypes of $M$.rosenbergii, best results yielded in respect of oviposition ( $51.04 \%$ ), hatchability of eggs ( 62,000 larvae per 100 gm body weight of berry) and survival of larvae ( $35.0 \%$ ) in trials conducted with blue clawed ( BC ) males when the ratio was maintained at 1 male: 4 female. Whereas percentage of oviposition was least in the case of orange clawed males while small males showed intermediary performance at lower ratios. In trials with BC extrusion of eggs could be noticed within 16 days and period of incubation lasted for 15 to 19 days. When BC males maintained in the ratio $1: 4$ with female, the average prezoea produced and larval survival worked out to be $62,000 / 100 \mathrm{~g}$ body weight and $35 \%$ respectively. SM showed an intermediary performance by showing $66.67 \%$ fertilisation of moulted females in lower ratios whereas, in higher ratios it showed a change in colour pattern like BC.

Among various berried females of M.rosenbergii used for packing experiments neither shedding nor hatching is observed in yellow and grey berries and therefore found to be suitable for packing especially for long duration transportation. Among various combinations tried, three animal per bag (@ 29.78 g berried prawn/litre) in the oxygen-water ratio 1:1 was found to
be ideal for transportation. The safe duration was substantially enhanced when coloured bags were used, among them black colour has given longer safe duration when compared to the other colours studied. The variations of dissolved oxygen, pH and ammonia were minimum in $1: 1$ oxygen water ratio when compared to that of $2: 1$ ratio.

Among various combinations tried for zoea I transportation of M.rosenbergii, a stocking density of 2000 larvae/l in 1:1 oxygen water ratio was found to be ideal. High survival rates was quite discernible when coloured bags were used for the packing and among them black coloured bag shown maximum survival rate (91.16\%) during 48 hr . transportation with a stocking density @2000 larvae/litre.

Two phase clear water system developed for the larval rearing of M.rosenbergii is found to be superior over the existing system in respect to its functional efficiency, operational easiness and consistency in production. Rearing was completed in two phases which differ from each other with regard to degree of light intensity in rearing medium, size of rearing tank, percentage of water exchanged daily and duration of the rearing period. In phase I a higher stocking density of 80-130 larvae/L was maintained and a survival percentage of 49.09 to 92.5 ( $\mathrm{M}=72.54 \%$ ) could be registered after 10 days. While senially transferring to tanks of phase II, 19.06 to $47.41 \%$ ( $\mathrm{M}=32.42 \%$ ) survival was obtained. This would work out to be an overall survival of 14.88 to $36.75 \%$ ( $M=23.0 \%$ ). First and final settlement of post larvae was noticed on days 22-25 and 33-36 respectively.

Experiments were carried out to assess the effect of different coloured containers on the survival and metamorphosis during the larval rearing
of M.rosenbergii. Highest number of PL were obtained in respect of red container, while, earliest settlement of PL could be observed in respect of Oxford blue tank. White container showed inferior performance in terms of both PL production and time taken for PL settlement. Dark coloured containers showed a superior performance with respect to survival and first and final settlement of post larvae and are found to be suitable for larval rearing and the results of the present study show that selection of tank colouration for seed production is an important criterion for the viable and successful operation of commercial hatcheries of M.rosenbergii.
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[^0]:    Table 1.1.4. Minimum, maximum and standard deviation of various morphometric
    measurements recorded In orange clawed females of Macrobrachium rosenbergii

[^1]:    2 CH - Length of and chelliped
    
    
    

[^2]:    2 CH - Length of 2 nd chellped
    

[^3]:    2i- Ischium of 2nd cheliped 1 CH - Length of 1st cheliped
    2 m - Merus of 2 nd cheliped $\quad 2 \mathrm{CH}$ - Length of 2 nd cheliped
    $\begin{array}{ll}2 \mathrm{c} \text { - Carpus of } 2 \mathrm{nd} \text { cheliped } & 3 \mathrm{CH} \text { - Length of 3rd walking leg } \\ 2 \mathrm{p} \text { - Propodus of } 2 \text { nd cheliped } & 4 \mathrm{CH} \text { - Length of } 4 \text { th walking leg }\end{array}$
    2 d - Dactylus of 2 nd cheliped 5 CH -Length of 5 th walking leg

    TL- Total length
    CL- Carapace length
    RL- Rostral length
    TEL-Length of telson

[^4]:    $\begin{array}{ll}2 \mathrm{i}-\text { Ischium of } 2 \text { nd cheliped } & 1 \mathrm{CH} \text { - Length of } 1 \mathrm{st} \text { cheliped } \\ 2 \mathrm{~m} \text { - Merus of } 2 \text { nd cheliped } & 2 \mathrm{CH} \text { - Length of } 2 \text { nd cheliped }\end{array}$
    2 c - Carpus of 2 nd cheliped $\quad 3 \mathrm{CH}$ - Length of 3 rd walking leg
    2 p - Propodus of 2 nd cheliped 4 CH - Length of 4 th walking leg
    2 d - Dactylus of 2 nd cheliped 5 CH - Length of 5 th wa!king leg

    TL- Total length
    CL- Carapace length
    RL- Rostral length
    TEL- Length of telson
    PL. Pleural width

[^5]:    $+=$ Present
    $++=$ Common
    $+++=$ Abundant

    - = Absent

    Blank = Data not available

[^6]:    = Present
    $++=$ Common
    $\begin{aligned}++ & =\text { Abundan } \\ & =\text { Absent }\end{aligned}$
    Blank = Data not available

[^7]:    $+=$ Present
    $++=$ Common
    $+++=$ Abundant

[^8]:    $+=$ Present
    $++=$ Common

[^9]:    $+\quad=$ Present
    $+++=$ Abundant
    Blank = Data not available

[^10]:    * Significant at $1 \%$ level ( $P<001$ )
    **Percentage error of calculated fecundity on observed fecundity

[^11]:    * Significant at $5 \%$ level ( $P<0.05$ )

[^12]:    * $-\mathrm{Fec} / \mathrm{TL}=$ Relative fecundity with respect to total length
    *     - Fec./CL = Relative fecundity with respect to carapace length
    *     - Fec. $/$ TW $=$ Relative fecundity with respect to total weight

[^13]:    Values are presented as AVG+/-SD SM- Small Males
    Values in parenthesis denotes the number of observations woc- Woak Orange Clawed Males

    + Not Significant $(P>0.05) \quad$ SOC-Strong Orange Clawed Males
    t-SOC Pretransforming Strong Orange Clawed Males
    WBC- Weak Blue Clawed Males
    SUC- Strong Blue Clawed Males
    OBC- Old Blue Clawed Males

[^14]:    values presented as AVG+/-SD
    Values in paranthesis denotes number of samples WOC- Weak Orange Clawed Males

    + Not Significant SOC-Strong Orange Clawed Males
    t-SOC Pretransforming Strong Orange Clawed Males
    WBC- Weak Blue Clawed Males
    SBC- Strong Blue Clawed Males
    OBC- Old Blue Clawed Males

[^15]:    SM- Small Males
    WOC- Weak Orange Clawed Males
    t-SOC Pretransforming Strong Orange Clawed Males
    WBC- Weak Blue Clawed Males
    SBC- Strong Blue Clawed Males
    OBC- Old Blue Clawed Males

[^16]:    Small Males
    WOC- Weak Orange Clawed Males SOC- Strong Urange Clawed Males WBC- Weak Blue Clawed Males

    SBC- Strong Blue Clawed Maies

    Not Significant

    * Significant at $5 \%$ level $(P>0.05)$
    $*$ Significant at $1 \%$ level $(P>0.01)$
    -SOC Pretransforming Strong Orange Clawed Males
    OBC-Old Blue Clawed Males

[^17]:    S-Significant at $5 \%$ level
    NS- Not Significant

[^18]:    - Initial Values of Dissolved Oxygen - 8.7 mg , $\mathrm{pH}-7.4$ and Ammonia - $0.08 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$

[^19]:    *Stocking density in larvae per liter
    *" 1 and 2 denotes replicates

